

Lagrangian and resonant invariant tori for Hamiltonian systems with infinitely many degrees of freedom

Walter Craig

Department of Mathematics & Statistics



Hamiltonian PDEs
Nantes and Ile Berder, France
June 30 - July 3, 2008

Hamiltonian systems

- ▶ **Hamiltonian vector field** on a *phase space*. $v \in \mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space

$$\partial_t v = X_H(v) = J \operatorname{grad}_v H(v), \quad v(x, 0) = v^0(x), \quad (1)$$

- ▶ **Symplectic form**

$$\omega(X, Y) = \langle X, J^{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad J^T = -J.$$

- ▶ **The flow** $v(x, t) = \varphi_t(v^0(x))$
- ▶ Interest in orbits where

$$\overline{\{\varphi_t(v^0) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}} = \mathbb{T}^m$$

an m -dimensional torus. This gives stable motions of (1).

- ▶ Invariant tori of maximal dimension are **Lagrangian** tori, $m = \infty$

lattice nonlinear Schrödinger equation

- ▶ Hamiltonian system posed on a lattice $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\frac{1}{i} \partial_t q_k = \mu_k q_k + |q_k|^2 q_k + \varepsilon (\Delta q)_k \quad (2)$$

with $q_0 = 0$, Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Phase space is $\mathcal{H} = \ell_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)$, and the symplectic form is

$$\omega = i \sum_k dq_k \wedge d\bar{q}_k$$

- ▶ The Hamiltonian is $H(q) : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_k \mu_k |q_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |q_k|^4 + \varepsilon \sum_k (\bar{q}_k q_{k+1} + q_k \bar{q}_{k+1}) \\ &= N + \varepsilon P \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Outline

Lattice nonlinear Schrödinger equations

Lagrangian invariant tori for lattice Schrödinger equations

A variational formulation for invariant tori

Details of the KAM iteration

Resonant situations

Normal form

- ▶ Integrable unperturbed problem, when $\varepsilon = 0$
Uncoupled anharmonic oscillators

$$\frac{1}{i} \partial_t q_k = \mu_k q_k + |q_k|^2 q_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Solutions of the unperturbed flow $\varphi_t^0(q)$

$$q_k(t) = \sqrt{I_k} e^{i(\mu_k + I_k)t}, \quad \Omega_k^0(I) = \mu_k + I_k$$

- ▶ gauge invariance and the ℓ^2 -norm $K := \|q\|_{\ell^2}^2$: for $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^1$

$$e^{i\theta} \varphi_t(q) = \varphi_t(e^{i\theta} q)$$

From the fact that $\{H, K\} = 0$ are Poisson commuting

Lagrangian tori

Theorem (WC & J. Geng (2008))

Let $\mu_k = k$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ there exists a Cantor-like set $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \subseteq \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ such that for $I \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ there is an invariant **Lagrangian torus** $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \ell^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ for (4).

The torus \mathbb{T} is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}q_k(t) &= \sqrt{I_k} e^{i\omega_k(I)t} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon I_k}), \\ \omega_k(I) &= \mu_k + I_k + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

The measure of the set \mathcal{O}_ε is positive (in some sense) and tends to full measure as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The point is that there are **no external parameters**.

parameters

- ▶ We use the classical KAM iteration scheme of iteration of symplectic transformations.
- ▶ Parameters are used in order to avoid near-resonances. In this case the **action variables** $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ play the rôle of parameters.
- ▶ In order to do this, the KAM iteration scheme has an augmented number of nonresonance conditions. Namely, at the ν^{th} step $\vec{\omega}^{(\nu)} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\nu)$ the first ν -many tangential frequencies satisfy

$$|\langle k, \vec{\omega}^{(\nu)} \rangle + \langle \ell, \vec{\Omega}^{(\nu)} \rangle| \geq \frac{\gamma_\nu}{|k|^{\tau_\nu}}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and for $|\ell| \leq 4$.

Theorem 2

Theorem (J. Geng (2008))

A similar result for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $[0, 2\pi]$ with Dirichlet or periodic boundary conditions.

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_t q = -\Delta q + \varepsilon h'(|q|^2)q$$

with $h(|q|^2) \simeq \pm|q|^4 + \dots$

Other results on Lagrangian tori

- ▶ J. Fröhlich, T. Spencer & E. Wayne (1986) discrete Schrödinger equation with random potential $V(x, \omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$
- ▶ J. Pöschel (1990), *Small divisors with spatial structure*
- ▶ L. Chierchia & P. Perfetti (1995) Frequencies μ_k which grow rapidly.
- ▶ J. Bourgain (1996) wave equation with a potential $V(x)$;

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u + V(x)u + F(u) = 0$$

- ▶ J. Pöschel (2002), smoothed NLS, with a potential $V(x)$
- ▶ J. Bourgain (2005), NLS, with a Fourier multiplier giving parameters

Extensions of the lattice NLS problem

1. linear frequencies $\mu_k = k^2$ the discrete harmonic operator, or the Fourier transform of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{S}^1 .
Additionally $\mu_k = k^n$.
2. The full line problem $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\mu_k \neq \mu_{-k}$ to avoid resonance.
Easy extensions, taking $q_{-k} = -q_k$ odd, or $q_{-k} = q_k$.
The general case is harder, but possible too.
3. Different nonlinearities and perturbations

$$H = \sum_k \mu_k |q_k|^2 + h(|q_k|^2) + \varepsilon \sum_{k,\ell} \bar{q}_k A_{k,\ell} q_\ell$$

with $A_{k\ell} = A_{\ell k}^*$, as long as

$$h(q) \simeq |q|^4 + \dots, \quad |A_{k,\ell}| \leq e^{-\rho|k-\ell|}$$

- ▶ Gauge invariance $\{q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \rightarrow \{e^{i\psi} q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$
- ▶ We may break gauge invariance, $h = h(q, \bar{q})$ with extra nonresonance conditions.

Resonant invariant tori

- ▶ Mapping of a torus $S(\theta) : \mathbb{T}^m \mapsto \mathcal{H}$
- ▶ Flow invariance $S(\theta + t\Omega) = \varphi_t(S(\theta))$
Frequency vector $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
- ▶ This implies that both

$$\partial_t S = J \operatorname{grad}_v H(S), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t S = \Omega \cdot \partial_\theta S \quad (5)$$

- ▶ **Problem:** Solve (5) for $(S(\theta), \Omega)$.
This is generally a small divisor problem.

Rewrite (5) as

$$J^{-1} \Omega \cdot \partial_\theta S - \operatorname{grad}_v H(S) = 0. \quad (6)$$

A variational formulation

Consider the space of mappings $S \in X := \{S(\theta) : \mathbb{T}^m \mapsto \mathcal{H}\}$.

Suppose that $m < +\infty$

- ▶ Define **action functionals**

$$I_j(S) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} \langle S, J^{-1} \partial_{\theta_j} S \rangle d\theta$$

$$\delta_S I_j = J^{-1} \partial_{\theta_j} S$$

This is the moment map for *mappings*

- ▶ The **average Hamiltonian**

$$\bar{H}(S) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} H(S(\theta)) d\theta$$

$$\delta_S \bar{H} = \text{grad}_v H(S)$$

interpretation

Consider the subvariety of X defined by fixed actions

$$M_a = \{S \in X : I_1(S) = a_1, \dots, I_m(S) = a_m\} \subseteq X$$

Variational principle: critical points of $\bar{H}(S)$ on M_a correspond to solutions of equation (6), with Lagrange multiplier Ω .

NB: All of $\bar{H}(S)$, $I_j(S)$ and M_a are invariant under the action of the torus \mathbb{T}^m ; that is $\tau_\alpha : S(\theta) \mapsto S(\theta + \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}^m$.

This poses several questions

► Two questions.

1. Do critical points exist on M_a ?

Note that the following operators are degenerate on the space of mappings X :

$$\Omega \cdot J^{-1} \partial_{\theta} S, \quad \Omega \cdot J^{-1} \partial_{\theta} S - \delta_S^2 \bar{H}(0)$$

2. How to understand questions of multiplicity of solutions?

► Proposal to address this question

1. Use KAM or Nash – Moser methods with parameters
Direct Nash – Moser methods rely on solutions of the linearized equations via resolvent expansions (Fröhlich – Spencer estimates)
2. Equivariant Morse – Bott theory of critical \mathbb{T}^m orbits.

prior results

Theorem (C-Q Cheng (1993))

The existence of a minimal $m = (n - 1)$ -dimensional resonant torus.

Hamiltonian at the ν -th KAM step

- ▶ The Hamiltonian after completing the $(\nu - 1)$ -th KAM step

$$H_\nu = N_\nu + P_\nu$$

where

$$N_\nu = \langle \omega^\nu(\xi), I^\nu \rangle + \sum_{k > \nu} \Omega_k^\nu(\xi) |q_k|^2$$

and where $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\nu)$ are the **parameters**

- ▶ Renormalization

$$\zeta = (\varepsilon_1^{3/2} \xi_1, \varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2^{3/2} \xi_2, \dots, (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{\nu-1})^2 \varepsilon_\nu^{3/2} \xi_\nu)$$

- ▶ functions of the frequency parameters will in general be smooth functions of ζ (and therefore satisfy ‘tame’ estimates in ξ).

frequency dependence

- ▶ The approximate ν -th tangential frequencies, $k = 1, \dots, \nu$ are

$$\omega_k^\nu = \omega_k^\nu(\xi) = \mu_k + \zeta_k + \varepsilon_1^{2/3} f_k^\nu(\zeta)$$

and the ν -th normal frequencies, $k > \nu$ are

$$\Omega_k^\nu = \Omega_k^\nu(\xi) = \mu_k + \varepsilon_1^{2/3} f_k^\nu(\zeta)$$

- ▶ The perturbation of the frequencies satisfies

$$\|\partial_{\zeta_j} f_k^\nu(\zeta)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O}^\nu)} \leq C e^{-\rho|j-k|}$$

- ▶ Decompose the perturbation

$$P_\nu = Q_\nu + R_\nu$$

where we count on the part Q_ν for its nonlinear term

$$Q_\nu = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \varepsilon_j^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \leq \nu} I_k^2 + \sum_{k > \nu} |q_k|^4 \right)$$

- ▶ The variables $(I^{(\nu)}, \theta^{(\nu)})$ are symplectic polar coordinates about a point ξ in action space

$$q_k = \sqrt{(\varepsilon_k^{3/2} \xi_k + \varepsilon_k^2 I_k)} e^{i\theta_k}$$

- ▶ The Hamiltonian R_ν contains the rest of the terms

$$R_\nu = \sum_{k\ell\alpha\beta; \text{rest}} (R_\nu)_{k\ell\alpha\beta} (I^{(\nu)})^\ell e^{i(k \cdot \theta^{(\nu)})} q^\alpha \bar{q}^\beta$$

Introduce an additional tangential degree of freedom

- Write the $(\nu + 1)$ -th oscillator as a new degree of freedom

$$z = q_{\nu+1} = \sqrt{(\xi_{\nu+1} + I_{\nu+1})} e^{i\theta_{\nu+1}}$$

- Study the terms of the Hamiltonian R_ν that need to be addressed to regain the normal form

$$R_\nu^N = \sum_{2|\ell| + |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 4, *} (R_\nu)_{k\ell\alpha\beta} (I^{(\nu)})^\ell e^{i(k \cdot \theta^{(\nu)})} z^{\alpha_1} \bar{z}^{\beta_1} q^{\alpha'} \bar{q}^{\beta'}$$

- The conditions * include $|k| + |\alpha - \beta| > 0$ and in addition that

$$2|\ell| + |\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq 3, \quad \text{diam}(\text{supp}(\alpha, \beta)) \leq -\log(\varepsilon_{\nu+1})$$

cohomological equation

- ▶ Let the mean value of R_ν be $[R_\nu]$, the cohomological equation is

$$\{N_\nu, F_\nu\} + (R_\nu^N - [R_\nu^N]) = 0$$

- ▶ The new Hamiltonian is given by composing with the time-one flow of X_{F_ν}

$$H_{\nu+1} = H_\nu \circ \varphi_{t=1}^{F_\nu}$$

- ▶ Renormalizing variables $\xi_{\nu+1} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{3/2} \xi_{\nu+1}$ and $I_{\nu+1} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^2 I_{\nu+1}$, we have

$$z = q_{\nu+1} = \sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{3/2} \xi_{\nu+1} + \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^2 I_{\nu+1})} e^{i\theta_{\nu+1}}$$

Finally rescale the Hamiltonian $H_{\nu+1} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{-2} H_{\nu+1}$

Rescaled Hamiltonian

- ▶ The rescaled Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\nu+1} = & \langle \omega^{(\nu)}, I^{(\nu)} \rangle + \Omega_{\nu+1}^{\nu} I_{\nu+1} + \sum_{k>\nu+1} \Omega_k^{\nu} |q_k|^2 \\
 & + \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \varepsilon_j \right)^2 \left(\varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{-1/2} \xi_{\nu+1} I_{\nu+1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\nu+1} I_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k>\nu+1} |q_k|^4 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus set $\omega_{\nu+1}^{(\nu+1)}(\xi) = \Omega_{\nu+1}^{\nu} + \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \varepsilon_j \right)^2 \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{3/2} \xi_{\nu+1}$

- ▶ The large-ish constant on the linear term

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \varepsilon_j \right)^2 \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{3/2} \xi_{\nu+1} I_{\nu+1}$$

is used in the excision procedure for the next parameter set $\mathcal{O}_{\nu+1}$.

- ▶ Choice of small parameter for a convergent scheme $\varepsilon_{\nu} = \varepsilon_1^{(9/5)^{\nu}}$

Descriptions of situations in which there are resonant tori

Thank you