

# Adding Weights to the Bernor Package

Yun Ju Sung      Charles J. Geyer

September 30, 2005

This document details a trivial modification of the `bernor` package and is itself a trivial modification of the first part of the document “Monte Carlo Likelihood Approximation” supplied in the `doc` directory of that package.

## 1 Monte Carlo Likelihood Approximation

[Quote from “Monte Carlo Likelihood Approximation”] Let  $f_\theta(x, y)$  be the complete data density for a missing data model, the missing data being  $x$  and the observed data being  $y$ . Suppose we have observed data  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  which are independent and identically distributed (IID) and simulations  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  which are IID from a known importance sampling distribution with density  $h$ .

The (observed data) log likelihood for this model is

$$l_n(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log f_\theta(y_j) \tag{1}$$

where

$$f_\theta(y) = \int f_\theta(x, y) dx$$

is the marginal for  $y$ . [End of Quote]

We modify this to allow for the possibility that  $y$  values are repeated many times. Suppose the value  $y_j$  is repeated  $w_j$  times. Then, purely for reasons of computational efficiency, we can rewrite (1) as

$$l_n(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \log f_\theta(y_j). \tag{2}$$

Note that the sample size is now  $w_1 + \dots + w_n$  (not  $n$  as before).

[Quote from “Monte Carlo Likelihood Approximation”] The Monte Carlo likelihood approximation for (1) is

$$l_{m,n}(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log f_{m,\theta}(y_j) \tag{3a}$$

where

$$f_{\theta,m}(y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{f_{\theta}(x_i, y)}{h(x_i)}. \quad (3b)$$

The maximizer  $\hat{\theta}_{m,n}$  of (3a) is the Monte Carlo (approximation to the) MLE (the MCMLE). [End of Quote]

Of course, corresponding to our rewrite of (1) as (2), we now must rewrite (3a) as

$$l_{m,n}(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \log f_{m,\theta}(y_j) \quad (4a)$$

where (3b) remains the same (because it does not involve a sum over  $y_j$ ).

Derivatives of (4a) are, of course,

$$\nabla^k l_{m,n}(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \nabla^k \log f_{m,\theta}(y_j)$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes differentiation with respect to  $\theta$ , and derivatives of (3b) remain as they were given in “Monte Carlo Likelihood Approximation” since (3b) itself has not changed.

## 2 Asymptotic Variance

The asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\theta}_{m,n}$ , including both the sampling variation in  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  and the Monte Carlo variation in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  is

$$J(\theta)^{-1} \left( \frac{V(\theta)}{n} + \frac{W(\theta)}{m} \right) J(\theta)^{-1} \quad (5)$$

where

$$V(\theta) = \text{var}\{\nabla \log f_{\theta}(Y)\} \quad (6a)$$

$$J(\theta) = E\{-\nabla^2 \log f_{\theta}(Y)\} \quad (6b)$$

$$W(\theta) = \text{var} \left\{ E \left[ \frac{\nabla f_{\theta}(X | Y)}{h(X)} \mid X \right] \right\} \quad (6c)$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  here have the same distribution as  $x_i$  and  $y_j$ , respectively. This is the content of Theorem 3.3.1 in the first author’s thesis.

The first two of these quantities have obvious “plug-in” estimators

$$\hat{V}_{m,n}(\theta) = \frac{1}{w_1 + \dots + w_n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j (\nabla \log f_{\theta,m}(y_j)) (\nabla \log f_{\theta,m}(y_j))^T \quad (7a)$$

$$\hat{J}_{m,n}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{w_1 + \dots + w_n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \nabla^2 \log f_{\theta,m}(y_j) \quad (7b)$$

The quantity (6c) has a natural plug-in estimator

$$\widehat{W}_{m,n}(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{S}_{m,n}(\theta, x_i) \widehat{S}_{m,n}(\theta, x_i)^T \quad (7c)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{S}_{m,n}(\theta, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{w_1 + \dots + w_n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j (\nabla \log f_{\theta}(x, y_j) - \nabla \log f_{\theta,m}(y_j)) \cdot \frac{f_{\theta}(x, y_j)}{f_{\theta,m}(y_j)h(x)} \end{aligned} \quad (7d)$$

See equations (2.7) and (2.9) in the first author's thesis.

### 3 Method of Batch Means

The “Monte Carlo Likelihood Approximation” document goes on about a “method of batch means” estimator of (6c). This does not seem to make sense in the present context. The groups of the original  $y_j$  that are the same are batches (of length  $w_j$ ) but not of the form the “method of batch means requires” (batch lengths fixed and equal, members a random sample from the population). So we just declare that feature (batch means estimation) to be incompatible with this feature (weights  $w_j$ ). When using weights ( $w_j$ ) we allow estimation of (6c) only by (7c) and (7d).