

# Continuous Model Theory

Lecture 2: Discrete vs. continuous; compare and contrast

Bradd Hart

June 13, 2016

# Theories

- For a language  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\text{Sent}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the set of sentences of  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- The theory of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is the function  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) : \text{Sent}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by, for any sentence  $\varphi$ ,

$$\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi) = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$$

Notice that  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  is a linear functional on the space of sentences and is in fact determined by its kernel. We then sometimes refer to  $\{\varphi \in \text{Sent}_{\mathcal{L}} : \varphi^{\mathcal{M}} = 0\}$  as the theory of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- An ( $\mathcal{L}$ -)theory is a set of sentences  $T$  which is contained in  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  for some  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Example

We will write out and interpret some formulas and sentences about Hilbert spaces.

- There are universal (sup) sentences expressing the fact that we have a complex inner product space. For instance, we have

$$\sup_{x \in B_1} \sup_{y \in B_1} d_{B_2}(x +_{1,1} y, y +_{1,1} x)$$

which evaluates to 0 and partially expresses that + is commutative.

- We have the relationship between the inner product and the metric:

$$\sup_{x \in B_n} \sup_{y \in B_n} (d_{B_n}(x, y)^2 - \operatorname{re}(\langle x - y, x - y \rangle)).$$

- We also have  $\sup_{x \in B_1} (d(x, 0) \div 1)$ .

## Example, cont'd

- Consider the sentence, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in B_n} \min\{1 - d(x, 0), \inf_{y \in B_1} d(x, i(y))\}$$

- There are sentences expressing that a Hilbert space is infinite-dimensional (exercise).
- All the sentences we have written out or alluded to specify the theory of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.
- Not too surprisingly it has exactly one separable model up to isomorphism - this is called being separably categorical.
- By an argument which only makes sense later this lecture but very similar to the discrete case, these sentences determine the theory of any model i.e. the theory is complete.

# Łoś' Theorem

## Theorem

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}_i$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures for all  $i \in I$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter on  $I$ ,  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula and  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M} := \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i / \mathcal{U}$  then

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \varphi^{\mathcal{M}_i}(\bar{a}_i).$$

# Satisfiability

## Definition

- We say a set of sentences  $\Sigma$  in a language  $\mathcal{L}$  is satisfied if there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  such that for every sentence in  $\Sigma$  holds in  $\mathcal{M}$  i.e. for every  $\varphi \in \Sigma$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}} = 0$ .
- We say such a  $\Sigma$  is finitely satisfied if every finite subset of  $\Sigma$  is satisfied.
- For a set of sentence  $\Sigma$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -approximation of  $\Sigma$  is

$$\{|\varphi| \leq \epsilon : \varphi \in \Sigma\}$$

- $\Sigma$  is approximately finitely satisfied if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -approximation of  $\Sigma$  is finitely satisfiable.

# Compactness

## Theorem

*TFAE for a set of sentences  $\Sigma$  in a language  $\mathcal{L}$*

- *$\Sigma$  is satisfiable.*
- *$\Sigma$  is finitely satisfiable.*
- *$\Sigma$  is approximately finitely satisfiable.*

## A metric on formulas

Fix a language  $\mathcal{L}$  and fix a tuple of variables  $\bar{x}$  from a sequence of sorts  $\bar{S}$ . We define a pseudo-metric on the formulas with free variables  $\bar{x}$  as follows: we define the distance between  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  and  $\psi(\bar{x})$  to be

$$\sup\{|\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a}) - \psi^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a})| : \mathcal{M}, \text{ an } \mathcal{L}\text{-structure, and } \bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

We will call this space  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$ . This can also be relativized to all structures satisfying a fixed theory.

Exercise: Check that this is a pseudo-metric on the set of formulas in the free variables  $\bar{x}$ .



# Density character

## Definition

We say that the density character of a topological space  $X$  is the infimum of the cardinality of a dense subset of  $X$ . We will write  $\chi(X)$  for the density character of  $X$ .

Note: An infinite separable space has countable density character.

## Proposition

*If  $\mathcal{L}$  is countable i.e. there are only countably many relation and function symbols, then for any tuple of sorts  $\bar{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$  is separable.*

Notation:  $\chi(\mathcal{L})$  will mean  $\sum_{\bar{S}} \chi(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}})$ .

## Embeddings and elementary submodels

- Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures such that the universe of  $\mathcal{M}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{N}$ .  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a submodel if all functions and relations from  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  are the restriction of those from  $\mathcal{N}$ . We write  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .
- For  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is an *elementary* submodel if, for every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  and every  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a}) = \varphi^{\mathcal{N}}(\bar{a})$ . We write  $\mathcal{M} \prec \mathcal{N}$ .
- An embedding between metric structures is a map which preserves the functions and relations. An embedding is elementary if its image is an elementary submodel of the range.
- For a theory  $T$ ,  $\text{Mod}(T)$  is the category of models of  $T$  with elementary maps as morphisms. Such a class is called an elementary class.

Notice that by Łoś' Theorem, any metric structure  $\mathcal{M}$  embeds elementarily into its ultrapower  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$  for any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  via the diagonal embedding.

# Downward Löwenheim-Skolem

## Proposition (Tarski-Vaught)

If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an elementary submodel if for every formula  $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$ , if  $(\inf_x \varphi(x, \bar{a}))^{\mathcal{N}} < r$  then there is  $b \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $(\varphi(b, \bar{a}))^{\mathcal{N}} < r$ .

## Theorem (DLS)

Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then there is an elementary submodel  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  such that

1.  $A$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}$  and
2. for every sort  $S$ ,

$$\chi(\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{M}}) \leq \chi(\mathcal{L}) + \chi(A)$$

# Some abstract model theory

## Theorem

*For a class of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\mathcal{C}$ , TFAE*

- 1.  $\mathcal{C}$  is an elementary class.*
- 2.  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under isomorphisms, ultraproducts and elementary submodels.*
- 3.  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under isomorphisms, ultraproducts and ultraroots.*

## Theorem

*Continuous first order logic is the maximal logic on metric structures which satisfies compactness, the downward Löwenheim-Skolem theorem and unions of elementary chains.*

# Types

Fix a theory  $T$  in a language  $\mathcal{L}$ . We consider (partial) functions  $p$  on the space of formulas  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$  for a tuple of sorts  $\bar{S}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Definition

1.  $p$  is a (partial) type if there is a model  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $T$  and  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$  of the appropriate sort such that  $p(\varphi) = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a})$  for all  $\varphi \in \text{dom}(p)$ . We say that  $\bar{a}$  realizes  $p$ .
2.  $p$  is called a complete type if the domain of  $p$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$ .

## Fact

- $p$  is a type iff it is finitely satisfied i.e. if the restriction to every finite subset of its domain is a type.
- A complete type is a linear functional on  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$ .

## A topology on the type space

We fix a language  $\mathcal{L}$  and a complete theory  $T$  in this language. For a tuple of sorts  $\bar{S}$  from  $\mathcal{L}$ , we define the set  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$  to be all complete types defined on  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$ .

The logic topology on  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$  is the restriction of the weak-\* topology on the dual space of  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{S}}$ . Equivalently, the collection of sets

$$\{p \in S_{\bar{S}}(T) : p(\varphi) < r\} \text{ for every formula } \varphi \text{ and real number } r,$$

form the collection of basic open sets.

### Fact

- *The logic topology on  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$  is compact and Hausdorff.*
- *If  $\varphi$  is a formula then the function  $f_{\varphi}$  from  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  given by  $p \mapsto p(\varphi)$  is continuous.*

# What is a formula?

## Proposition

The following are equivalent:

1.  $f$  is a continuous function from  $S_{\overline{S}}(T)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .
2.  $f$  is the uniform limit of functions of the form  $f_{\varphi}$  i.e. for every  $n$  there is a formula  $\varphi_n$  such that for all  $p$ ,  $|f(p) - p(\varphi_n)| \leq 1/n$ .

## Definition

A Cauchy sequence of formulas  $\overline{\varphi}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{S}}$  will be called a definable predicate and interpreted in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$\overline{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{a}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n^{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{a}).$$

Of course what we are doing is extending the notion of formula to the Banach space generated by  $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{S}}$ .

## A metric on the type space

Fix a complete theory  $T$ .

- Define a metric on  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$  as follows: for  $p, q \in S_{\bar{S}}(T)$ ,  $d(p, q)$  is the infimum of  $d^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  ranges over all models of  $T$ ,  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$  is a realization of  $p$  and  $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}$  is a realization of  $q$ .  $d$  is computed as the maximum of the values  $d_S$  as  $S$  ranges over the sorts in  $\bar{S}$ .
- Claim:  $d$  defines a metric on  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$ .
- Notice that  $d(p, q)$  is always realized - this follows by compactness as does the triangle inequality.

### Proposition

*The metric topology on  $S_{\bar{S}}(T)$  refines the logic topology.*

**Question:** When do the metric and logic topologies coincide?