

1

# Solutions to Assignment #4

1. Suppose that  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . We need to see that  $d(f+g) = d(f) + d(g)$  and  $d(fg) = d(f) + d(g)$ .

Let  $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  sending  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ .

Then if  $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ ,  $g = b_n x^n + \dots + b_0$  (we can assume we have coeff. up to  $x^n$  by setting some  $b$ 's or  $a$ 's to 0).

$$\begin{aligned}
d(f+g) &= \psi(a_n + b_n)x^n + \dots + \psi(a_0 + b_0) \\
&= \psi(a_n)x^n + \psi(b_n)x^n + \dots + \psi(a_0) + \psi(b_0) \\
&= d(f) + d(g).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $d(fg)$ , notice that the coeff. of  $x^m$  is

$$\sum_{i+j=m} a_i b_j \quad \text{so the coeff. of } x^m \text{ in } d(fg) \text{ is}$$

$$\psi\left(\sum_{i+j=m} a_i b_j\right) = \sum_{i+j=m} \psi(a_i b_j) = \sum_{i+j=m} \psi(a_i) \psi(b_j)$$

which is the coeff. of  $x^m$  for  $d(f)d(g)$  so  $d(fg) = d(f)d(g)$ .

(2)

b) Suppose that  $f$  is reducible, say  $f = gh$ .  
But then  $d(f) = d(g)d(h)$  and since the degree of  $f$  and  $d(f)$  are the same, we also must have the degrees of  $d(g)$ ,  $d(h)$  are not 0 so  $d(f)$  reducible.

c) Consider  $p = 5$ . Then  $d(x^3 + 17x + 36) = x^3 + 2x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . But  $x^3 + 2x + 1$  has no root in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  (check!) and it is of degree 3 so it is irreducible. Hence  $x^3 + 17x + 36$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that Eisenstein does not apply here.

2. a) To see that  $I$  is an ideal, suppose that  $\bar{a} = \langle a_\Sigma : \Sigma \in X \rangle$  and  $\bar{b} = \langle b_\Sigma : \Sigma \in X \rangle$  are both in  $I$ . Then there is some  $\Delta, \Phi \in X$  s.t. if  $\Delta \in \Sigma$  then  $a_\Sigma = 0$  and if  $\Phi \in \Sigma$  then  $b_\Sigma = 0$ . But  $\Delta \cup \Phi \in X$  and if  $\Delta \cup \Phi \in \Sigma$  then  $a_\Sigma + b_\Sigma = 0$  so  $\bar{a} + \bar{b} \in I$ .

If  $\bar{r} = \langle r_\Sigma : \Sigma \in X \rangle$  and  $\bar{a}$  is as above then for some  $\Delta \in X$ , if  $\Delta \in \Sigma$  then  $a_\Sigma = 0$ . But then if  $\Delta \in \Sigma$ ,  $r_\Sigma a_\Sigma = 0$  so  $\bar{r}\bar{a} \in I$ .

$I$  is proper since the constant sequence 1 is not in the ideal.

b) As we just noted, 1 is not in any proper ideal so  $I \not\subseteq J$  which implies  $\bar{\Phi}$  is an embedding since  $F$  is a field.

c) Suppose  $f \in F[x]$ . If  $f \in \Delta$  then  $f$  has a solution in  $F_\Delta$ ; call this  $a_\Delta$ . Let  $\bar{a} \in R$  be defined to be  $a_\Delta$  if  $f \in \Delta$  and 0 otherwise.

Compute  $f(\bar{a}) + J$ : If  $f \in \Delta$  then  $f(a_\Delta) = 0$  so  $f(\bar{a}) \in I \subseteq J$  so  $f(\bar{a}) + J = 0$  in  $K$ .

⌈ Note: This construction is known as an ultraproduct construction and works in much wider generality ⌋

3. a) Suppose that  $f, g \in I(S)$ . Then for any  $\bar{s} \in S$

$$f(\bar{s}) + g(\bar{s}) = 0 \quad \text{so } f + g \in I(S).$$

If  $f \in I(S)$  and  $g \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\bar{s} \in S$  then

$$(gf)(\bar{s}) = g(\bar{s})f(\bar{s}) = 0 \quad \text{so } gf \in I(S).$$

b) Suppose  $\bar{s} \in S$  and  $f \in I(S)$ . Then by definition,  $f(\bar{s}) = 0$  so  $\bar{s} \in V(I(S))$ .

c) There are several ways to construct a counter-example. Notice that  $V(I)$  for an ideal  $I$  is a closed set (it is in fact the intersection of finitely many zero sets of polynomials by HBT).

So if  $S$  is open, say the open unit ball then  $S \neq V(I(S))$ .

$S$  could also be closed and fail to equal  $V(I(S))$ ; for instance, if  $S$  was the closed unit ball then the only polynomials in two variables which is 0 on the entire unit ball is the 0 polynomial so in this case  $V(I(S)) = \mathbb{R}^2$ .