

MATH 702, Winter 2015, Homework 1,

Due Monday, January 26

Total: 20 marks.

- (1) Let k be a field, A be the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and \mathbb{A}^n be the affine n -space over k . For each $f \in A$ we denote by
- (i) $Z(f)$ the affine algebraic set of f , i.e., the zero locus of f ;
 - (ii) U_f the complement of $Z(f)$ in \mathbb{A}^n .

Show that the collection of sets $\{U_f | f \in A\}$ form a *basis of open sets* in \mathbb{A}^n (for the Zariski topology), i.e., every open subset in \mathbb{A}^n is a union of members in $\{U_f | f \in A\}$. (2 marks)

Answer: For any open subset U of \mathbb{A}^n , write $U = \mathbb{A}^n - Z(I)$ for some ideal I of A . Then we can just write the tautology $I = \langle f \rangle_{f \in I}$. Since $Z(I) = Z(\langle f \rangle_{f \in I}) = \bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f)$, we have

$$U = \mathbb{A}^n - \left(\bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) \right) = \bigcup_{f \in I} (\mathbb{A}^n - Z(f)) = \bigcup_{f \in I} U_f.$$

(Remark: We don't need to use the fact that A is Noetherian.) □

Remark: We usually call the open sets in $\{U_f | f \in A\}$ *basic open sets* of \mathbb{A}^n , and say that the basic open sets *generate* arbitrary open sets. When we prove statements concerning open sets, it is usually enough to assume that the open sets involved in the questions are basic open sets.

- (2) Some topological properties of \mathbb{A}^n .
- (i) A topological space X is called
 - (a) *T1* if for every pair of distinct points $P, Q \in X$, there is an open subset U containing P but not Q , and another open subset V containing Q but not P ;
 - (b) *T2*, or *Hausdorff*, if it satisfies the same condition in T1 with U and V disjoint.

Show that if the base field k is infinite, then \mathbb{A}^n is T1 but not T2. (3 marks)

Answer: In \mathbb{A}^n , every point $P = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ is closed, because $P = Z(\langle x_1 - P_1, \dots, x_n - P_n \rangle)$. (1 mark. Note: I stress that this fact is important. Later we will see some topology of which a point may not be closed.) If $P \neq Q$, then $P \in U = \mathbb{A}^n - Q$, where U is open. Similarly, $Q \in V = \mathbb{A}^n - P$, where V is open. Therefore, \mathbb{A}^n is T1.

To show that \mathbb{A}^n is not T2, we show that two open subsets in \mathbb{A}^n must have non-empty intersection. It is enough to show that for every non-zero $f, g \in A = k[\mathbb{A}^n]$, the open sets U_f and U_g have non-empty intersection. Indeed we can check that

$$U_f \cap U_g = (\mathbb{A}^n - Z(f)) \cap (\mathbb{A}^n - Z(g)) = \mathbb{A}^n - (Z(f) \cup Z(g)) = \mathbb{A}^n - Z(fg) = U_{fg}$$

Since fg is also non-zero, U_{fg} is non-empty.

(2 marks. It is important to assume that k is infinite, since otherwise there exists polynomial f

whose corresponding open set is empty, e.g., when $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $f = x^p - x \in k[x]$, then $U_f = \emptyset$.) \square

- (ii) A topological space X is called *quasi-compact* if every open cover of X has a finite subcover, i.e. if there exists a collection (possibly infinite) $\{U_i\}$ of open subsets of X such that $X = \cup U_i$, then there exists a finite sub-collection $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_k}\}$ of $\{U_i\}$ such that $X = U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_k}$. Show that \mathbb{A}^n is quasi-compact. (2 marks)

Answer: Let $\mathbb{A}^n = \cup_{f \in S} U_f$ for some subset $S \subseteq A = k[\mathbb{A}^n]$. Taking complement, we have $\cap_{f \in S} Z(f) = Z(\langle f \rangle_{f \in S}) = \emptyset$. It means that there is a function in $\langle f \rangle_{f \in S}$ vanishing nowhere in \mathbb{A}^n . Such a function must be of the form $g = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i$ (a finite sum!) for some $f_1, \dots, f_k \in S$. Then we have $Z(\langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle) = \emptyset$ because $g \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ and is nowhere vanishing. We have found a finite subcover $\{U_{f_1}, \dots, U_{f_k}\}$ of the cover $\{U_f\}_{f \in S}$ such that $\mathbb{A}^n = \cup_{i=1}^k U_{f_i}$. Therefore, \mathbb{A}^n is quasi-compact.

(Remark: Just like Question 1, We don't need to use the fact that A is Noetherian.) \square

- (iii) Given two topological space X and Y , we define the *product topology* on $X \times Y$ by taking the collection of open subsets $U \times V$ as a basis, where $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ are open. Is the topology of \mathbb{A}^2 equal to the product topology of $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$? (2 marks)

Answer: Many arguments work in this case. For example, we can argue that the open subset $\mathbb{A}^2 - Z(x - y)$ (i.e., a plane without the diagonal) is not a union of

$$(\mathbb{A}^1 - \{\text{finite many points}\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{\text{finite many points}\}).$$

It is because, say, the above product of open subsets (and also their unions) can only exclude finitely many points in the diagonal of \mathbb{A}^2 . \square

- (3) (c.f. Dummit-Foote, Sec.15.1, Ex.5) Let M be an Noetherian R -module and $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ is an R -module endomorphism. Prove that

- (i) $\ker(\phi^n) \cap \text{image}(\phi^n) = 0$ if n is large enough; (2 marks) (Hint: Observe that $\ker \phi \subseteq \ker \phi^2 \subseteq \dots$)
(ii) if ϕ is surjective, then it is an isomorphism. (2 marks)
(iii) If ϕ is injective, is it necessarily an isomorphism? (1 mark)

Answer:

- (i) Observe that we have the increasing chain of R -submodules $\ker \phi \subseteq \ker \phi^2 \subseteq \dots$ in M , and so we have $\ker(\phi^n) = \ker(\phi^{n+1}) = \dots$ for large enough n , by that M is Noetherian. (1 mark) Now if $x \in \ker(\phi^n) \cap \text{image}(\phi^n)$, then $x = \phi^n(y)$ and $\phi^n(x) = \phi^{2n}(y) = 0$. Then $y \in \ker(\phi^{2n}) = \ker(\phi^n)$ and so $x = \phi^n(y) = 0$. (1 mark)
(ii) If ϕ is surjective, then ϕ^n is also surjective, or $\text{image}(\phi^n) = M$. Then $\ker(\phi^n) \cap \text{image}(\phi^n) = \ker(\phi^n) = 0$. Since $\ker(\phi) \subseteq \ker(\phi^n)$, we have $\ker(\phi) = 0$, and so ϕ is injective.
(iii) If ϕ is injective, then it is not necessarily an isomorphism. For example, take $M = R = \mathbb{Z}$, and $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $x \mapsto 2x$.

\square

- (4) (Dummit-Foote, Sec.15.2, Ex.2) Let R be a ring and I, J be ideals of R . Prove that

- (a) $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$. (2 marks)

Answer: We can check easily, by definition of \sqrt{I} , that if $I \subseteq J$, then $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{J}$. Therefore, since $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$, it is then easy to check that

$$\sqrt{IJ} \subseteq \sqrt{I \cap J} \subseteq \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}.$$

Suppose that $x \in \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$, then $x^m \in I$ and $x^n \in J$ for some m, n , then $x^{m+n} \in IJ$ and so $x \in \sqrt{IJ}$. Therefore

$$\sqrt{IJ} \subseteq \sqrt{I \cap J} \subseteq \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J} \subseteq \sqrt{IJ},$$

forcing all these ideals to be equal. \square

(b) $\sqrt{I+J} = \sqrt{\sqrt{I} + \sqrt{J}}$. (2 marks)

Answer: We have $I + J \subseteq \sqrt{I} + \sqrt{J}$ implies that $\sqrt{I+J} \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I} + \sqrt{J}}$. Conversely if $x \in \sqrt{\sqrt{I} + \sqrt{J}}$, then $x^m = y + z$, for some $y \in \sqrt{I}$, some $z \in \sqrt{J}$, and some integer m . Hence $y^n \in I$ and $z^p \in J$ for some integers n, p . Then $x^{mnp} \in I + J$ and $x \in \sqrt{I+J}$. \square

(5) A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between topological spaces is a *homeomorphism* (note the spelling!) if f is bijective and both f and f^{-1} are continuous.

Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a morphism of affine algebraic sets and $\tilde{\phi} : k[W] \rightarrow k[V]$ be the associated k -algebra morphism of coordinate rings. Prove that if $\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective, then ϕ is a homeomorphism of V onto a closed subset of W . (2 marks) (Hint: First describe the image of ϕ as a closed subset of W .)

Answer: Consider the ideal $\ker \tilde{\phi}$ of $k[W]$, which provides a closed subset $Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$ of W . We claim that ϕ is a homeomorphism of V onto $Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$.

We first show that ϕ maps V into $Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$ and is injective. The first claim is easy: for all $f \in \ker \tilde{\phi}$, we have $\tilde{\phi}(f) \equiv 0_V$ the zero function of V . Hence if $Q = \phi(P) \in \phi(V)$, then $f(Q) = f(\phi(P)) = (\tilde{\phi}(f))(P) = 0_V(P) = 0$. This implies that $Q \in Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$. To show that $\phi : V \rightarrow Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$ is injective, we check if $\phi(P) = \phi(P')$, then $(\tilde{\phi}(f))(P) = f(\phi(P)) = f(\phi(P')) = (\tilde{\phi}(f))(P')$ for all $f \in k[W]$. Since $\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective, we have $g(P) = g(P')$ for all $g \in k[V]$, and so $P = P'$.

We then show that $\ker \tilde{\phi} = IZ(\ker \tilde{\phi})$. (Note we always have $J \subseteq IZ(J)$ by definition, but in general $J = IZ(J)$ is not true. The equality only holds for some special ideals J .) If $f \in IZ(\ker \tilde{\phi})$, then $f|_{Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})} \equiv 0$. Since $\phi(V) \subseteq Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$, we have $f \circ \phi(V) \equiv 0$. This implies that $\tilde{\phi}(f) \equiv 0$ as a function of V . Therefore $f \in \ker \tilde{\phi}$.

That $\ker \tilde{\phi} = IZ(\ker \tilde{\phi})$ implies that $k[W]/\ker \tilde{\phi} \cong k[W]/IZ(\ker \tilde{\phi}) = k[Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})]$, which then implies that $\tilde{\phi} : k[Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})] \rightarrow k[V]$ is an isomorphism of k -algebra. Denote the inverse k -algebra morphism of $\tilde{\phi}$ by $\tilde{\psi} : k[V] \rightarrow k[Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})]$. There is a corresponding morphism of affine algebraic sets $\psi : Z(\ker \tilde{\phi}) \rightarrow V$, by the equivalence of categories shown in class. Since $\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\phi} = \text{id}_{k[Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})]}$ and $\tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\psi} = \text{id}_{k[V]}$, they induce the equalities $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})}$ and $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_V$, we have indeed V and $Z(\ker \tilde{\phi})$ are isomorphic as an affine algebraic set. In particular, ϕ is a homeomorphism onto its image (whose inverse is ψ). \square