Insurance Contracts in Markets with Stochastic Volatility *or* Stochastic Interest Rates

M. R. Grasselli and E. Alexandru-Gajura

Mathematics and Statistics McMaster University

CMS Winter Meeting, UWO, December 10, 2007

Toronto: the place to be in 2010!

- ▶ Where are you gonna be in 2010 ?
- ► The Fields Institute is going to host a thematic program in Mathematical Finance
- Activities from January to July 2010 include: 3 major workshops, 4-6 weekend meetings, 3 graduate courses.
- Main themes are: mathematical foundations, computational finance, and emerging applications.
- Opportunities for long and short term visitors, postdocs, graduate students, etc.
- Contact the organizers: T. Hurd and MRG (McMaster), M. Rindesbacher (U of T), V. Henderson (Warwick), Y. Ait-Sahalia (Princeton).

Market Model

We consider two factor stochastic volatility models of the form:

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma(t, Y_t) S_t dW_t^1$$

$$dY_t = a(t, Y_t) dt + b(t, Y_t) [\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2]$$

- ▶ Here μ and $-1 < \rho < 1$ are constants, $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ are deterministic functions, and W_t^1 and W_t^2 are independent one dimensional P-Brownian motions.
- ▶ In addition, we assume the existence of a risk-free bank account paying a constant interest rate r = 0.

Optimal Hedging and Investment

▶ We assume that, after selling an insurance contract B_T maturing at a future time T, the insurance company tries to solve the stochastic control problem

$$u^{s}(x, S, y, t) = \sup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} E^{x, S, y, t} \left[U \left(X_{T}^{H, x} - B_{T} \right) \right],$$

where $X_t^{H,x}$ is value of a selffinancing portfolio with initial wealth x and H_t units of the stock, with the remaining value invested in the bank account.

▶ When B = 0, this reduces to the Merton problem:

$$u^{0}(x, y, t) = \sup_{H \in A} E^{x, y, t} \left[U\left(X_{T}^{H, x}\right) \right]$$

Utility based pricing

▶ The sellers indifference price for the claim B is the solution π^s to the equation

$$u^{0}(x, y, t) = u^{s}(x + \pi^{s}(x, S, y, t), S, y, t)$$

► From now on, we consider an exponential utility function of the form:

$$U(x) = -e^{-\gamma x}, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

We can then write

$$u^{s}(x, S, y, t) = -e^{-\gamma x}G(S, y, t) = -e^{-\gamma x}e^{\phi(S, y, t)}$$

 $u^{0}(x, y, t) = -e^{\gamma x}F(y, t) = -e^{-\gamma x}e^{\psi(y, t)}$

▶ The indifference price is then given by

$$\pi^{s}(S, y, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \left(\frac{G(S, y, t)}{F(y, t)} \right) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (\phi(S, y, t) - \psi(y, t)).$$

The solution to Merton's problem

It is well-known that the power transformation $F(y,t) = f(y,t)^{1/1-\rho^2}$ leads to the linear equation

$$f_t + \left[a - rac{b
ho\mu}{\sigma}
ight]f_y + rac{1}{2}b^2f_{yy} = rac{(1-
ho^2)\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}f,$$

subject to f(y, T) = 1.

▶ Using Feynman–Kac, we obtain

$$f(t,y) = \widetilde{E}^{y,t} \left[e^{-\int_0^T \frac{(1-\rho^2)\mu^2}{2\sigma^2(s,Y_s)} ds} \right]$$

where

$$dY_s = \left(a - \frac{b\mu\rho}{\sigma}\right)ds + b\left(\rho d\widetilde{W}_s^1 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}d\widetilde{W}_s^2\right)$$

with $dW_t^1 = dW_t^1 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma}dt$ and $dW_t^2 = dW_t^2$

▶ Therefore, the solution to Mertons problem can be calculated explicitly for a large class of processes Y_t .

Life insurance

- ▶ Consider now a claim of the form $B_T = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}$.
- ▶ Here τ is the arrival time of the first jump of an inhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity $\lambda(t)$, that is

$$P(\tau > t) = e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds}.$$

- ▶ Crucially, we assume that τ is independent of (W^1, W^2) .
- ▶ In this case, we have

$$u^{s}(x + \pi^{s}, S, y, t) = \sup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} E \left[-e^{\gamma(x + \pi^{s} + \int_{0}^{T} H_{s} dS_{s} - B_{T})} \right]$$

$$= e^{-\gamma \pi^{s}} E \left[e^{\gamma B_{T}} \right] \sup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} E \left[-e^{\gamma(x + \int_{0}^{T} H_{s} dS_{s})} \right]$$

$$= e^{-\gamma \pi^{s}} E \left[e^{\gamma B_{T}} \right] u^{0}(x, S, y, t).$$

▶ Therefore, the indifference price in this case is given by

$$\pi^s = rac{1}{\gamma} \log E \left[e^{\gamma B_T}
ight].$$

Random horizon

To obtain a nontrivial indifference price for contracts that are independent of the financial market, we need to consider the following modified problem: where

$$u^{0}(x, y, t) = \sup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} E[U(X_{\tau \wedge T})]$$

$$= \sup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} U(X_{\tau \wedge T}) d\Phi(t)\right]$$

$$= E\left[U(X_{T})(1 - \Phi(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} U(X_{u}) d\Phi(u)\right]$$

$$\Phi(t) = P[\tau \leq t] = 1 - e^{\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(s) ds}$$

Solution to Merton's problem for random horizon

▶ Using dynamic programming, we find that the value function $u^0(x,y,t) = -e^{-\gamma x}F(y,t)$ for the random horizon satisfies the HJB equation

$$F_t + \left[a - \frac{b\mu}{\sigma}\right] F_y + \frac{1}{2}b^2 F_{yy}$$
$$-\left(\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} + \lambda(t)\right) F + \lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2}b^2 \rho^2 \frac{F_y^2}{F},$$

subject to $F(y, T) = e^{\int_0^T \lambda(t)dt}$

▶ Unfortunately, the power transformation used before does not lead to a linear equation. To proceed, we take $\rho=0$ and obtain

$$F(y,t) = e^{-\int_0^T \lambda(s)ds} \widetilde{E}^{y,t} \left[e^{-\int_t^T \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2(s,Y_s)} + \lambda(s)\right)ds} \right]$$

$$+ \int_t^T \widetilde{E}^{y,t} \left[\lambda(s)e^{-\int_t^s \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2(u,Y_u)} + \lambda(u)\right)du} \right] ds$$

Continuous life annuity - random horizon

- ▶ In the setting of the previous two slides, consider an insurance contract that pays a continuous annuity at a rate of 1 unit per period of time until $\tau \wedge T$.
- ▶ It turns out that the value function $u^s(x, y, t)$ in this case satisfies the same HJB equation satisfied by $u^0(x, y, t)$, expect for an extra term of the form $\gamma G(y, t)$.
- ▶ Therefore, still in the case $\rho = 0$, we have

$$G(y,t) = e^{-\int_0^T \lambda(s)ds} \widetilde{E}^{y,t} \left[e^{-\int_t^T \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2(s,Y_s)} + \lambda(s) - \gamma\right)ds} \right]$$
$$+ \int_t^T \widetilde{E}^{y,t} \left[\lambda(s) e^{-\int_t^s \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2(u,Y_u)} + \lambda(u) - \gamma\right)du} \right] ds$$

Equity-linked contracts

- ▶ For an indifference price that genuinely depends on the underlying market, consider the an insurance contract that pays $g(S_{\tau}, \tau)$ at time τ .
- ▶ In this case, inserting $u^s(x, S, y, t) = -e^{-\gamma x}e^{\phi(S, y, t)}$ into the corresponding HJB equation leads to

$$\begin{cases} \phi_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \phi_{SS} + \rho \sigma b S \phi_{yS} + \frac{1}{2}b^2 \phi_{yy} + \left(a - \frac{\mu b \rho}{\sigma}\right) \phi_y \\ + \frac{1}{2}b^2 (1 - \rho^2) \phi_y^2 + \lambda(t) \left[e^{\gamma g + \psi - \phi} - 1\right] = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ \phi(y, S, T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

(1)

Fast-mean reversion asymptotics

Let us now take

$$dY_t = \alpha(m - Y_t)dt + \beta(\rho dW_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}dZ_t)$$

and consider the regime $\frac{1}{\alpha}=\varepsilon<<1$, with $\beta=\sqrt{2\nu}/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ where ν^2 is a fixed variance for the invariant distribution of Y_t .

We then look for expansion of the form

$$\phi^{\varepsilon} = \phi^{(0)}(y, S, t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\phi^{(1)}(y, S, t) + \varepsilon\phi^{(2)}(y, S, t) + \dots$$

Operators

The previous PDE can be rewritten in compact notation as

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2\right)\phi + NL^{\phi} = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \tag{2}$$

where
$$\mathit{NL}^\phi = \lambda(t) \left[e^{\gamma \mathsf{g} + \psi - \phi} - 1 \right] + \frac{\mu^2}{\varepsilon} (1 - \rho^2) \phi_y^2$$
.

Here

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \nu^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} + (m - y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \sqrt{2} \rho \nu \left(\sigma(y) S \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y \partial S} - \frac{\mu}{\sigma(y)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(y) S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial S^{2}}$$

Main result

► The insurer's indifference price satisfy:

$$|P(y,S,t) - P^{(0)}(S,t) - \widetilde{P}^{1}(y,S,t)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$
 (3)

(4)

where

$$\widetilde{P}^{1}(y,S,t) = -(T-t)(V_{3}S^{3}P_{SSS}^{(0)} + V_{2}S^{2}P_{SS}^{(0)})$$

▶ Here P⁽⁰⁾ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} P_t^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\star}^2 P_{SS}^{(0)} + \frac{\lambda(t)}{\gamma} \left[e^{\gamma(g - P^{(0)})} - 1 \right] = 0 \\ P^{(0)}(S, T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_{\star}^2 = \langle \sigma^2 \rangle$.

Stochastic Interest Rates

Consider now

$$\begin{cases} dS_s = \gamma(r_s, S_s, s)S_s ds + \sigma_1(r_s, S_s, s)S_s dW_s^1 + \sigma_2(r_s, S_s, s)S_s dW_s^2 \\ S_t = S \end{cases}$$

We model the short rate as

$$\begin{cases} dr_s = (a_0(s)r_s + b_0(s))ds + \sqrt{c(s)r_s + d(s)}dW_s^1 \\ r_t = r \end{cases}$$

▶ We consider path-dependent claims of the form

$$C(S_s, r_s, s) = \int_t^{ au} c_1(S_s, r_s, s) ds + c_2(S_{ au}, r_{ au}, au), \quad t \leq s \leq au \leq T$$

The pricing equation

▶ Therefore, *P* satisfies the following nonlinear PDE:

$$\begin{cases} P_{t} + (ar+b)P_{r} + \frac{1}{2}(cr+d)P_{rr} + \sqrt{cr+d}\sigma_{1}SP_{Sr} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2})S^{2}P_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta^{2} + \frac{(\gamma - \theta\sigma_{1})^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right) \\ - \frac{\lambda(t)}{\gamma}\left(1 - e^{\gamma c_{2}e^{-\int_{0}^{t}r(s)ds} - \gamma P}\right) = 0 \\ P(S, r, T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

▶ Here λ is the market price of risk for the bond market and has the form

$$\lambda(r_s, s) = \frac{(a_0(s) - a(s))r_s + (b_0(s) - b(s))}{\sqrt{c(s)r_s + d(s)}}$$
(5)

for some deterministic functions a and b.