Section 3.5 Question 12

(a) Prove that for all real numbers a and b, $|a| \le b$ iff $-b \le a \le b$.

Answer: (\rightarrow) : Suppose $|a| \leq b$. We aim to prove that $-b \leq a$ and $a \leq b$.

Clearly $a \leq |a|$ for all a, so $a \leq b$ is true.

Case 1: If $a \ge 0$, $a \ge -b$ is trivial since $b \ge 0$ as well.

Case 2: If a < 0, then |a| = -a and so $|a| \le b$ tells us $-a \le b$ and so $-b \le a$ (by multiplying both sides of the inequality by -1).

(\leftarrow): Suppose $-b \le a \le b$. $-b \le a$ tells us that $-a \le b$ (multiply both sides by -1). Since $a \le b$ and $-a \le b$, we get that $|a| \le b$.

(b) Prove that for any real number $x, -|x| \le x \le |x|$.

Answer: Let a=x and b=|x|. Clearly $|x|=a \le b=|x|$, so part (a) above tells us that $-b \le a \le b$, that is $-|x| \le x \le |x|$.

(c) Prove that for all real numbers x and y, $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$.

Answer: Part (b) above tells us that $-|x| \le x \le |x|$ and $-|y| \le y \le |y|$. Adding these together we get that $-|x| - |y| \le x + y \le |x| + |y|$. Using part (a), this tells us that $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ as required.

Section 4.2 Question 9

Suppose R and S are relations from A to B. Must the following statements be true? Justify your answers with proofs or counterexamples.

(a) $R \subseteq Dom(R) \times Ran(R)$.

Answer: True. Given any $(a,b) \in R$, we know that $a \in \text{Dom}(R) = \{x : \exists y((x,y) \in R)\}$ and $b \in \text{Ran}(R) = \{y : \exists x((x,y) \in R)\}$, therefore $(a,b) \in \text{Dom}(R) \times \text{Ran}(R)$. This tells us, by definition, that $R \subseteq \text{Dom}(R) \times \text{Ran}(R)$.

(b) If $R \subseteq S$ then $R^{-1} \subseteq S^{-1}$.

Answer: True. Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ and that $(a,b) \in R^{-1}$. Since $(a,b) \in R^{-1}$ we know that $(b,a) \in R$, so our initial assumption tells us that $(b,a) \in S$. By the definition of S^{-1} , this tells us that $(a,b) \in S^{-1}$ as required.

(c) $(R \cup S)^{-1} = R^{-1} \cup S^{-1}$.

Answer: True. We will first prove that $(R \cup S)^{-1} \subseteq R^{-1} \cup S^{-1}$.

Given any $(a,b) \in (R \cup S)^{-1}$, we know that $(b,a) \in R \cup S$ so either $(b,a) \in R$ or $(b,a) \in S$.

Case 1: If $(b,a) \in R$ then $(a,b) \in R^{-1}$ and so $(a,b) \in R^{-1} \cup S^{-1}$ as required.

Case 2: If $(b, a) \in S$ then $(a, b) \in S^{-1}$ and so $(a, b) \in R^{-1} \cup S^{-1}$ as required.

Note: Case 2 above is identical to Case 1, except that all 'S's are replaced by 'R's and vice versa. In this sort of scenario we would usually omit Case 2 and

simply say that Case 1 is sufficient to prove our claim by the symmetry of the argument.

Next we must prove that $R^{-1} \cup S^{-1} \subseteq (R \cup S)^{-1}$.

Clearly $R\subseteq R\cup S$ and $S\subseteq R\cup S$. Using part (b) above, this tells us that $R^{-1}\subseteq (R\cup S)^{-1}$ and $S^{-1}\subseteq (R\cup S)^{-1}$, therefore $R^{-1}\cup S^{-1}\subseteq (R\cup S)^{-1}$ as required.

Since $(R\cup S)^{-1}\subseteq R^{-1}\cup S^{-1}$ and $R^{-1}\cup S^{-1}\subseteq (R\cup S)^{-1}$, we conclude that $(R\cup S)^{-1}=R^{-1}\cup S^{-1}$.