## Math 5531 Lecture 10-LP (Revisited)

In this talk, we will learn how to solve an LP problem using Excel Spreadsheet through an example.

## Gaspar's Diet Problem:

Gaspar's diet requires that all the food he eat come from one of the four "basic food groups": chocolate cake, ice cream, coca cola, and cheesecake). The daily nutrition requirement for Gaspar is: 1800 calories, 20 oz of chocolate, 30 oz of sugar, and 25 oz of fat. The nutritional content per unit of each food is shown in Table 1.

|  | Calories | Chocolate (oz) | Sugar (oz) | Fat (oz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 piece of chocolate cake | 400 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 scoop of ice cream | 200 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 1 can of coca cola | 150 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 piece of cheesecake | 500 | 0 | 4 | 5 |

Table 1
The market prices of these food are:

|  | Price |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 piece of chocolate cake | $\$ 2.50$ |
| 1 scoop of ice cream | $\$ 0.70$ |
| 1 can of coca cola | $\$ 0.85$ |
| 1 piece of cheesecake | $\$ 3.00$ |

Formulate (and solve) a LP problem to give Gaspar a daily shopping list under his tight student's budget.

## LP Formulation

We can set up the following LP problem by letting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\text { number of pieces of chocolate cake } \\
& x_{2}=\text { number of scoops of ice cream } \\
& x_{3}=\text { number of cans of coca cola } \\
& x_{4}=\text { number of pieces of cheesecake }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\min z=2.5 x_{1}+0.7 x_{2}+0.85 x_{3}+3 x_{4}$
s.t. : $400 x_{1}+200 x_{2}+150 x_{3}+500 x_{4} \geq 1800$, (calories to keep him alive)
: $3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \geq 20$, (oz of chocolate to keep him happy)
: $2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+4 x_{3}+4 x_{4} \geq 30$, (oz of sugar to keep him smart)
: $2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+x_{3}+5 x_{4} \geq 25$, (oz of fat to keep him strong)
: $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \geq 0$.

## Setup the LP spreadsheet

Step 1. Input all the parameters of the problem.

| Gaspar's Diet Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chocolate Cake | Ice Cream | Coca Cola | Cheesecake | Total |  | Required |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost | 2 | 0.7 | 0.85 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Calories | 400 | 200 | 150 | 500 |  |  | 1800 |
| Chocolate | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 20 |
| Sugar | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 30 |
| Fat | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 25 |

Step 2. Enter the formulas for the "total" columns after fill the decision variables with feasible values, say $4,4,2$, 2. (\$18.50 is the corresponding cost)

| Gaspar's Diet Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chocolate Cake | Ice Cream | Coca Cola | Cheesecake | Total |  | Required |
|  | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| Cost | 2 | 0.7 | 0.85 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 0}$ |  |  |
| Calories | 400 | 200 | 150 | 500 | 3700.00 |  | 1800 |
| Chocolate | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20.00 |  | 20 |
| Sugar | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 32.00 | 30 |  |
| Fat | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 36.00 |  | 25 |

Step 3. Open the "Solver Parameter" box under "tools" menu.

- Set the target cell: total cost. Choose "min";
- Define "changing cells", which are the decision variables;
- Define "constraints", don't forget the "nonnegative constraints for the decision variables.
- Now you are ready to hit "Solve"...

| Gaspar's Diet Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chocolate Cake | Ice Cream | Coca Cola | Cheesecake | Total |  | Required |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| Cost | 2 | 0.7 | 0.85 | 3 | $\mathbf{9 . 1 3}$ |  |  |
| Calories | 400 | 200 | 150 | 500 | $\mathbf{2 3 7 5 . 0 0}$ | 1800 |  |
| Chocolate | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20.00 |  | 20 |
| Sugar | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 30.00 |  | 30 |
| Fat | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 42.50 |  | 25 |

The best diet plan for Gaspar is to have 10 scoops of ice cream and 2.5 cans of coca cola per day, nothing else. This will cost him $\$ 9.13$ a day. Too much calorie and fat!!!

## Explore the alternatives:

- First we note that Gaspar is in the risk of gaining weight. We need to restrict his daily intake of calories with 2000. We need to add another constraint. And hit the "solve", we have

| Gaspar's Diet Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chocolate Cake | Ice Cream | Coca Cola | Cheesecake | Total |  | Required |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| Cost | 2 | 0.7 | 0.85 | 3 | $\mathbf{8 . 3 0}$ |  |  |
| Calories | 400 | 200 | 150 | 500 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 1800 |  |
| Calories | 400 | 200 | 150 | 500 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 2000 |  |
| Chocolate | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14.00 |  | 20 |
| Sugar | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 30.00 |  | 30 |
| Fat | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 32.00 |  | 25 |

Wait a minute... this solution is not feasible! We need to start with a feasible solution.

## Case Study: BestChip



Product-Ingredient Mix

|  | Ingredient |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product | Corn | Wheat | Potato |
| Regular chips | 70 | 20 | 10 |
| Green onion chips | 30 | 15 | 55 |
| Party mix | 20 | 50 | 30 |


| BC is considering expanding <br> market to the following <br> candidate sites: | We have collected |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Yuma, AZ | the following data: |
| - Fresno, CA | Property cost: |
| - Tucson, AZ | Building, and |
| - Pomona, CA | Maintenance |
| - Santa Fe. NM | Raw material shipping cost <br> - Flagstaff, AZ each location. |
| - Las Vegas, NV  |  |
| - St. George, UT | w. Huang |

Site Information and Material Shipping Cost

|  |  | Material |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Shipping Cost (STIon) |  |  |  |  |
| Site Location | Purchase Cost (\$/Year) | Corn | Wheat | Potato |
| Yuma, AZ | 125,000 | 10 | 5 | 16 |
| Fresno, CA | 130,000 | 12 | 8 | 11 |
| Tucson, AZ | 140,000 | 9 | 10 | 15 |
| Pomona, CA | 160,000 | 11 | 7 | 14 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 150,000 | 8 | 14 | 10 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | 170,000 | 10 | 12 | 11 |
| Las Vegas, NV | 155,000 | 13 | 12 | 9 |
| St. George, UT | 115,000 | 14 | 15 | 8 |


| $B C$ has six major customers: |  | Demand Information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Demand |  |  |
| 1. Jones, Salt Lake City | Also we know: | Company | Location |  |  |  |
| 2. YZCO, Albuquerque |  |  |  | Regular | Green Onion | Party Mix |
| 3. Square Q, Phoenix | Demand of each customer for each product. | Jones | Salt Lake City | 1,300 | 900 | 1,700 |
| 4. AJ Stores, San Diego |  | YZCO | Albuquerque | 1,400 | 1,100 | 1,700 |
| 5. Sun Quest, Los Angeles6. Harm's Path, Tucson | All demand must be met. | Square Q | Phoenix | 1,200 | 800 | 1,800 |
|  |  | AJ Stores | San Diego | 1,900 | 1,200 | 2,200 |
|  | Shipping cost depends on the distance and tonnage, which is $\$ 0.15$ per ton-mile, | Sun Quest | Los Angeles | 1,900 | 1,400 | 2,300 |
| 111282007 w.thang |  | Harm's Path | Tucson | 1,500 | 1,000. | 1,400 |


|  | For simplicity reason, we <br> ignore many other detailed <br> information, including: | BC manager wants to know: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Other constraints:

- each plant, when opened, can produce 20,000 tons of product (mixed) per year.


## LP (or ILP) formulation:

Number the plants, customers, products, ingredients, and states:

| $i=$ | Plant in |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yuma, AZ |
| 2 | Fresno, CA |
| 3 | Tueson, AZ |
| 4 | Pomona, CA |
| 5 | Santa Fe, NM |
| 6 | Flagstaff, AZ |
| 7 | Las Vegas, NY |
| 8 | St. George, UT |


| $j=$ | Customer \& Location |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jones, Salt Lake City |
| 2 | YZCO, Albuquerque |
| 3 | Square Q, Phenix |
| 4 | AJ Stores, San Diego |
| 5 | Sun Quest, Los Angeles |
| 6 | Harm's Path, Tucson |


| $k=$ | Product |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regular chips |
| 2 | Green onion chips |
| 3 | Party mix |


| $l=$ | ingredients |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Corn |
| 2 | Wheat |
| 3 | Potato |

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
s= & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\text { States } & \mathrm{AZ} & \mathrm{CA} & \mathrm{NM} & \mathrm{NV} & \mathrm{UT}
\end{array}
$$

We define the following decision variables:
$x_{i j k}=$ tons of product $k$ sent from plant $i$ to customer $j$;
$y_{i}=1$, if plant $i$ is open for production, 0 otherwise;
$\delta_{s}=1$, if states $s$ is used, 0 otherwise.
We also define the following intermediate variables:

- $f_{i}=$ fixed cost associated with opening plant $i$.

| $i$ | $f_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 125,000 |
| 2 | 130,000 |
| 3 | 140,000 |
| 4 | 160,000 |
| 5 | 150,000 |
| 6 | 170,000 |
| 7 | 155,000 |
| 8 | 115,000 |

- $c_{i j}=$ cost to ship 1 ton of final products from plant $i$ to customer $j$.

| Distances | SL City | Albuq. | Phoenix | S. Diego | LA | Tucson |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Yuma | 712 | 646 | 186 | 172 | 282 | 242 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Fresno | 818 | 917 | 592 | 350 | 222 | 708 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Tucson | 779 | 497 | 116 | 412 | 488 | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Pomona | 661 | 760 | 345 | 114 | 29 | 460 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Santa Fe | 626 | 63 | 526 | 877 | 848 | 558 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Flagstaff | 519 | 326 | 144 | 495 | 466 | 260 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Las Vegas | 420 | 574 | 288 | 338 | 271 | 404 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | St. George | 302 | 604 | 434 | 455 | 388 | 550 |

Unit shipping cost from Plants i to Customers j (\$0.15 times the mile distance)

| $\mathbf{C i j}$ | $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 106.83$ | $\$ 96.89$ | $\$ 27.89$ | $\$ 25.74$ | $\$ 42.33$ | $\$ 36.24$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 122.66$ | $\$ 137.51$ | $\$ 88.85$ | $\$ 52.46$ | $\$ 33.26$ | $\$ 106.19$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 116.84$ | $\$ 74.51$ | $\$ 17.39$ | $\$ 61.86$ | $\$ 73.20$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 99.12$ | $\$ 113.97$ | $\$ 51.69$ | $\$ 17.15$ | $\$ 4.41$ | $\$ 69.03$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 93.95$ | $\$ 9.45$ | $\$ 78.92$ | $\$ 131.49$ | $\$ 127.20$ | $\$ 83.63$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 77.82$ | $\$ 48.92$ | $\$ 21.63$ | $\$ 74.19$ | $\$ 69.93$ | $\$ 38.96$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{7}$ | $\$ 62.96$ | $\$ 86.03$ | $\$ 43.14$ | $\$ 50.69$ | $\$ 40.62$ | $\$ 60.62$ |
| $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\$ 45.35$ | $\$ 90.65$ | $\$ 65.09$ | $\$ 68.19$ | $\$ 58.13$ | $\$ 82.43$ |

- $d_{k j}=$ demand in tons of product $k$ from customer $j$.

| $d_{k j}$ | $j=1$ | $j=2$ | $j=3$ | $j=4$ | $j=5$ | $j=6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k=1$ | 1300 | 1400 | 1200 | 1900 | 1900 | 1500 |
| $k=2$ | 900 | 1100 | 800 | 1200 | 1400 | 1000 |
| $k=3$ | 1700 | 1700 | 1800 | 2200 | 2300 | 1400 |

- $m_{i l}=$ cost in dollars of sending 1 ton of raw material $l$ to plant $i$.

| $m_{\text {il }}$ | $i=1$ | $i=2$ | $i=3$ | $i=4$ | $i=5$ | $i=6$ | $i=7$ | $i=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $l=1$ | 10 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 14 |
| $l=2$ | 5 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| $l=3$ | 16 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 8 |

- $r_{l k}=$ percentage of product $k$ that is raw material $l$.

| $r_{l k}$ | $l=1$ | $l=2$ | $l=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k=1$ | 70 | 20 | 10 |
| $k=2$ | 30 | 15 | 55 |
| $k=3$ | 20 | 50 | 30 |

We now have the following mixed ILP model
$\min z=$ Location purchasing cost + Shipping for Products + Shipping for Raw Materials $=\sum_{i=1}^{8} f_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{8} \sum_{j=1}^{6} c_{i j} \sum_{k=1}^{3} x_{i j k}+\sum_{i=1}^{8} \sum_{l=1}^{3} m_{i l} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{6} r_{l k} x_{i j k}$,
s.t : $\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i j k} \geq d_{k j}, j=1,2, \ldots, 6, k=1,2,3 \quad$ (Demands need to be met)
$: \sum_{j=1}^{6} \sum_{k=1}^{3} x_{i j k} \leq 20000 y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 8, \quad \quad$ (Plant capacity constraints)
$: y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{6} \leq 10 \delta_{1}$,
: $y_{2}+y_{4} \leq 10 \delta_{1}$,
$: y_{5} \leq 10 \delta_{3}$,
: $y_{7} \leq 10 \delta_{5}$,
: $y_{8} \leq 10 \delta_{5}$,
$: \sum_{s=1}^{5} \delta_{s} \leq 2$.
(State AZ is counted)
(State CA is counted)
(State NM is counted)
(State NV is counted)
(State UT is counted)
(No more than 2 states are used)

This model has $8+5=130-1$ integer variables and $8 \times 6 \times 3=144$ nonnegative continuous variables.

