

# MINIMAL EULER CHARACTERISTICS FOR EVEN-DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS WITH FINITE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

ALEJANDRO ADEM AND IAN HAMBLETON

ABSTRACT. We consider the Euler characteristics  $\chi(M)$  of closed orientable topological  $2n$ -manifolds with  $(n-1)$ -connected universal cover and a given fundamental group  $G$  of type  $F_n$ . We define  $q_{2n}(G)$ , a generalized version of the Hausmann-Weinberger invariant [19] for 4-manifolds, as the minimal value of  $(-1)^n \chi(M)$ . For all  $n \geq 2$ , we establish a strengthened and extended version of their estimates, in terms of explicit cohomological invariants of  $G$ . As an application we obtain new restrictions for non-abelian finite groups arising as fundamental groups of rational homology 4-spheres.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Free actions on $(n-1)$ -connected $2n$ -manifolds	4
3. Minimal $K(G, n)$ -complexes and thickenings	7
4. The proof of Theorem A'	12
5. Rational homology 4-spheres	14
6. Some further remarks and questions in dimension four	17
References	19

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we address the following problem: if  $M$  denotes a closed, orientable even-dimensional manifold with a given fundamental group  $G$ , then what restriction does this impose on the Euler characteristic of  $M$ ? In the particular case when  $\chi(M) = 2$  we have the related problem of determining which finite groups can be the fundamental group of a closed topological  $2n$ -manifold  $M$  with the rational homology of the  $2n$ -sphere (see previous work on the 4-dimensional case by Hambleton-Kreck [13] and Teichner [35]).

We introduce the following invariant for discrete groups, extending a definition due to Hausmann and Weinberger [19] for 4-manifolds:

**Definition 1.1.** Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , define  $q_{2n}(G)$  as the minimum value of  $(-1)^n \chi(M)$  for a closed orientable  $2n$ -manifold  $M$  with  $(n-1)$ -connected universal cover, such that  $\pi_1(M) = G$ .

We will first assume that  $G$  is a finite group. Recall that Swan [34, p. 193] defined an invariant  $\mu_k(G)$ , for each  $k \geq 1$ , by the condition that  $|G| \mu_k(G)$  is the minimal value over all partial Euler characteristics of a free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  truncated after degree  $k$ . We

---

*Date:* March 11, 2021.

The second author was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

call this an  $k$ -step resolution. However, since projective  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules are locally free [32, §8],  $k$ -step projective resolutions can be used instead to define  $\mu'_k(G) \leq \mu_k(G)$  (see [34, Remark, p. 195]).

Let  $e_n(G)$  denote the least integer greater than or equal to all the numbers

$$\dim H^n(G, \mathbb{F}) - 2 (\dim H^{n-1}(G, \mathbb{F}) - \dim H^{n-2}(G, \mathbb{F}) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \dim H^0(G, \mathbb{F}))$$

where the coefficients range over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem A.** *If  $G$  is a finite group and  $n \geq 2$ , then*

$$\max\{e_n(G), \mu'_n(G) - \mu'_{n-1}(G)\} \leq q_{2n}(G) \leq 2\mu'_n(G).$$

**Remark 1.2.** By [34, Theorem 5.1],  $\mu'_k(G) = \mu_k(G)$  unless  $G$  has periodic cohomology of period dividing  $k + 1$ , and  $G$  admits no periodic free resolution of period  $k + 1$ . In this case, we will say that the pair  $(G, k)$  is *exceptional* (see Remark 2.10). For example,  $\mu'_3(G) < \mu_3(G)$  for some of the 4-periodic groups  $G = Q(8p, q)$  in Milnor's list (see the calculations in [24, 26]). If  $(G, n)$  is an exceptional pair we determine  $q_{2n}(G)$  in Theorem B below.

The invariants  $e_n(G)$  and the  $\mu_k(G)$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , can also be defined for infinite discrete groups of *type*  $F_n$ , meaning that there is a model for  $K(G, 1)$  with finite  $n$ -skeleton. In this case, we obtain similar estimates with a slightly weaker lower bound. Recall that a finitely presented group  $G$  is said to be *good* if topological surgery with fundamental group  $G$  holds in dimension four (see Freedman-Quinn [9, p. 99]).

**Theorem A'.** *If  $G$  is an infinite discrete group of type  $F_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ , then*

$$\max\{e_n(G), \mu_n(G) - \mu''_{n-1}(G)\} \leq q_{2n}(G).$$

*If  $n \geq 3$ , or  $n = 2$  and  $G$  is good, then  $q_{2n}(G) \leq 2\mu_n(G)$ .*

The invariants  $\mu''_k(G) \geq \mu_k(G)$  are defined by the additional condition that the resolutions be *geometrically realizable* (see Section 4 for a precise definition). For  $k = 2$ , determining the relation between these two invariants is part of Wall's (unsolved) D2 problem [36, Section 2], which for infinite groups is related to the Eilenberg-Ganea conjecture [7].

Our results sharpen and generalize the estimate proved by Hausmann-Weinberger [19, Théorème 1]:

$$e_2(G) \leq q_4(G) \leq 2(1 - \text{Def}(G)),$$

since  $\mu_2(G) \leq (1 - \text{Def}(G))$  by [34, Proposition 1], where  $\text{Def}(G)$  is the *deficiency* of  $G$ , defined as the maximum difference  $d - r$  of numbers of generators minus relations over all finite presentations of  $G$  (see [8]). The results of Kirk-Livingston [22] for  $q_4(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  show that these bounds can be improved for specific groups.

The proof of the lower bound in Theorem A for  $q_{2n}(G)$  is given in Section 2. In Section 3 we establish the upper bound  $q_{2n}(G) \leq 2\mu'_n(G)$ , by generalizing the well-known "thickening" construction for groups  $G$  which admit a *balanced presentation* with equal numbers of generators and relations (i.e.  $\text{Def}(G) = 0$ ). For  $n = 2$  this involves showing that *finite D2-complexes* (e.g. those with finite fundamental group) can embed up to homotopy equivalence in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  (see Theorem 3.8).

**Example 1.3.** For  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  an elementary abelian  $p$ -group,  $e_n(E_k) = \mu_n(E_k) - \mu_{n-1}(E_k)$ , and this number can be explicitly computed using the Kunneth formula (see Example 3.13). This can be used to show that  $q_{2n}(E_k)$  grows like a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $k$ , for example

$$\frac{k^4 - 2k^3 + 11k^2 - 34k + 48}{24} \leq q_8(E_k) \leq \frac{k^4 + 2k^3 + 11k^2 - 14k + 24}{12}.$$

For  $n$  even,  $q_{2n}(G) \geq 2$ , as the minimal possible Euler characteristic that can occur in our setting is  $\chi(M) = 2$ , which holds when  $M$  has the rational homology of a  $2n$ -sphere, and is implied by Theorem A if  $\mu_n(G) = 1$ . The condition  $\mu_2(G) = 1$  also holds for groups of deficiency zero and there are many groups with this property (see [39]). In contrast, our computations for the groups  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  show that  $q_{4n}(E_k) > 2$  for all  $n > 1$  and  $k \geq 3$ . Hence higher dimensional rational homology spheres with elementary abelian fundamental group of rank larger than 2 cannot occur.

For periodic groups we can compute  $q_{2n}(G)$  in certain cases, which in particular provides an alternate argument for [13, Corollary 4.4] and generalizes that result to higher dimensions:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite periodic group of (even) period  $q$ . Then  $q_{2n}(G) = 2$  if  $q$  divides  $n + 2$ , and  $q_{2n}(G) = 0$  if  $q$  divides  $n + 1$ .*

**Remark 1.4.** Note that in our setting,  $\chi(M) > 0$  if and only if  $n$  is even (see Corollary 2.15). Thus for  $n$  odd, the minimal possible value of  $q_{2n}(G) = -\chi(M)$  is zero. Apart from the results of Theorem B for fundamental groups of period dividing  $n + 1$ , any finite group  $G$  which acts freely and homologically trivially on some product  $S^n \times S^n$  will have  $q_{2n}(G) = 0$ . There are many such examples, including any products  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  of periodic groups, many rank two finite  $p$ -groups, including the extra-special  $p$ -groups of order  $p^3$ , and all the finite odd order subgroups of the exceptional Lie group  $G_2$  (see [11, 17, 18]).

We are especially interested in the case of rational homology 4-spheres (called  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$  manifolds) with finite fundamental group. In Section 5 we consider the following ‘‘inverse’’ problem, for which the lower bound implies significant restrictions on  $G$ .

**Question.** Which finite groups can be the fundamental group of a closed topological 4-manifold  $M$  with the rational homology of the 4-sphere ?

For example, it was observed in [13, p. 100] that if  $G$  is finite abelian, then  $d(G) \leq 3$  (see Corollary 5.1), where  $d(G)$  denotes the minimal number of generators of  $G$ . This bound follows directly by estimating the Hausmann-Weinberger invariant  $q_4(G)$ . Moreover, Teichner [35, 4.13] showed that this bound is best possible for abelian groups by explicit construction of examples.

Our methods shed light on more complicated finite groups by making use of cohomology with twisted coefficients to obtain better lower bounds for  $q_4(G)$ :

**Theorem C.** *Let  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  where  $p$  is an odd prime,  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  and  $C$  cyclic of order prime to  $p$  acts on each  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  factor in  $E_k$  via  $x \mapsto x^q$  where  $q$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .*

- (i) *If  $x^{q^2} \neq x$  for all  $1 \neq x \in E_k$ , then for all  $k > 4$ ,  $U_k$  does not arise as the fundamental group of any rational homology 4-sphere.*

- (ii) *If  $q = p - 1$ , then for all  $k > 1$ ,  $U_k$  does not arise as the fundamental group of any rational homology 4-sphere.*

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we analyze free group actions on  $(n - 1)$ -connected  $2n$ -manifolds using cohomological methods; in Section 3 we discuss minimal complexes and thickenings; in Section 4 we prove Theorem A'; in Section 5 we focus on rational homology 4-spheres; and in Section 6 we collect some remarks, examples and questions related to the invariants introduced here.

## 2. FREE ACTIONS ON $(n - 1)$ -CONNECTED $2n$ -MANIFOLDS

In this section we will apply the cohomological approach outlined in [1, §2]. The proofs of 2.1, 2.4 and 2.6 are straightforward modifications of the results there and details are omitted. We assume that  $Y$  is a closed, orientable,  $(n - 1)$ -connected  $2n$ -manifold with the free orientation-preserving action of a finite group  $G$ ; its homology has a corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module structure. Both  $H_{2n}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_0(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  are copies of the trivial module  $\mathbb{Z}$  whereas  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free abelian group with a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module structure which, by Poincaré duality, must be self-dual as a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, i.e.  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})^*$ .

We assume here that  $Y$  admits a finite  $G$ -CW complex structure, with cellular chain complex denoted by  $C_*(Y)$  (if the action is smooth this is always true, and holds up to  $G$ -homotopy equivalence in the topological case).

We denote by  $\Omega^r(\mathbb{Z})$  the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module uniquely defined in the stable category (where  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules are identified up to stabilization by projectives) as the  $r$ -fold dimension-shift of the trivial module  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We refer to [2] and [3] for background on group cohomology.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $Y$  be an  $(n - 1)$ -connected  $2n$ -manifold with a free action of a finite group  $G$  which preserves orientation. Then there is a short exact sequence in the stable category of  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of the form*

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Omega^{-n-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

**Corollary 2.3.** *The short exact sequence (2.2) yields a long exact sequence in Tate cohomology*

$$\dots \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i+n}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup \sigma} \widehat{H}^{i-n-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^i(G, H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i+n+1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

*determined by the class  $\sigma \in \widehat{H}^{-2n-1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$  which is the image of the generator  $1 \in \widehat{H}^0(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/|G|$ .*

We can analyze this sequence just as was done in [1, §2].

**Proposition 2.4.** *The cohomology class  $\sigma \in \widehat{H}^{-2n-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2n}(G, \mathbb{Z})$  can be identified with the image of the fundamental class  $c_*[Y/G]$  under the homomorphism*

$$c_*: H_{2n}(Y/G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2n}(BG, \mathbb{Z})$$

*induced by the classifying map  $c: Y/G \rightarrow BG$ . Under this identification, the class  $\sigma$  determines the extension (2.2).*

**Remark 2.5.** This property of the extension class was proved for  $n = 2$  in [13, Corollary 2.4], and the proof in the general case is similar.



By dualizing we see that a minimal representative for  $\Omega^{-j}(\mathbb{Z})$  is given by  $\Omega^j(\mathbb{Z})^*$ , the dual module. Thus for our purposes we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})^G = \mu'_n(G) + (-1)^{n+1}, \quad \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega^{-n}(\mathbb{Z})^G = \mu'_{n-1}(G) + (-1)^n.$$

Applying invariants to the exact sequence (2.8) yields the formula

$$\mu'_n(G) + (-1)^{n+1} + r = \mu'_{n-1}(G) + (-1)^n + s + (-1)^n[\chi(Y/G) - 2].$$

whence we obtain

$$s - r = \mu'_n(G) - \mu'_{n-1}(G) + (-1)^{n+1}\chi(Y/G)$$

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $Y$  is a closed,  $(n-1)$ -connected  $2n$ -manifold with a free, orientation-preserving action of  $G$ , a finite group, then for any subgroup  $H \subset G$*

$$\mu'_n(H) - \mu'_{n-1}(H) \leq (-1)^n[G : H]\chi(Y/G).$$

*Proof.* We will prove this for  $H = G$  by contradiction. Assume that  $s - r > 0$  and form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & Q_s & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & Q_s & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{-n}(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus Q_s & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus Q_r & \longrightarrow & H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{-n}(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $L$  is the quotient of  $\Omega^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus Q_r$  in the middle vertical exact sequence. Note that this middle vertical exact sequence splits (since  $L$  is torsion-free). Hence

$$\Omega^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus Q_r \cong L \oplus Q_s.$$

Note that because  $s > r$ ,

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} L^G < \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})^G$$

and as  $L$  can be realized as a kernel for a projective resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , this contradicts minimality of  $\mu_n(G)$ . Hence we have shown that  $s - r \leq 0$ . The full result follows using covering spaces.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** As mentioned in the Introduction, Swan proved that  $\mu'_k(G) = \mu_k(G)$  unless  $G$  has periodic cohomology of period dividing  $k+1$ , and  $G$  admits no periodic free resolution of period  $k+1$ . In these *exceptional* cases,  $\mu_k(G) = 1$  and  $\mu'_k(G) = 0$ . In contrast,  $\mu_k(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  has a periodic free resolution of period  $k+1$  and  $G \neq 1$ . We also note that if the pair  $(G, k)$  is exceptional, then  $k \geq 3$  is odd and  $G$  is non-cyclic. In particular,  $\mu'_k(G) = \mu_k(G)$  if  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group (see [34, Corollary 5.2]).

If the pair  $(G, n)$  is not exceptional, the numbers  $\mu_n(G)$  can be computed using group cohomology. By a result of Swan [34, Proposition 6.1], the invariant  $\mu_n(G)$  is the least integer greater than or equal to all the numbers

$$(\dim M)^{-1} (\dim H^n(G, M) - \dim H^{n-1}(G, M) + \cdots + (-1)^n \dim H^0(G, M))$$

as  $M$  ranges over all simple  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules for all primes  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ . As extending the field doesn't change dimensions we can take  $\mathbb{K}_p$  an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$  and restrict attention to absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{K}_p G$ -modules. Next we introduce

**Definition 2.11.** For any discrete group  $G$  of type  $F_n$ , let  $e_n(G)$  denote the least integer greater than or equal to all the numbers

$$\dim H^n(G, \mathbb{F}) - 2 \left( \dim H^{n-1}(G, \mathbb{F}) - \dim H^{n-2}(G, \mathbb{F}) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \dim H^0(G, \mathbb{F}) \right)$$

where the coefficients range over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$  for all primes  $p$ .

**Remark 2.12.** When  $G = P$  is a finite  $p$ -group, the trivial module  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is the only simple module, and we can verify that  $\mu_n(P) - \mu_{n-1}(P) = e_n(P)$ .

We have the following elementary inequality:

**Lemma 2.13.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a closed orientable  $2n$ -manifold with fundamental group  $G$  of type  $F_n$  whose universal cover is  $(n-1)$ -connected. Then for any subgroup  $H \subset G$  of finite index*

$$e_n(H) \leq [G : H](-1)^n \chi(X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{F}$  denote any field of coefficients. The connectivity of the universal cover implies that

$$H^i(G, \mathbb{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathbb{F}) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1$$

and

$$\dim H^n(G, \mathbb{F}) \leq \dim H^n(X, \mathbb{F}).$$

By Poincaré duality we have

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{F}) \cong H^{2n-k}(G, \mathbb{F}) \text{ for } n+1 \leq k \leq 2n.$$

Combining these facts and using covering space theory we obtain the inequality.  $\square$

Applying the mod  $p$  coefficient sequence yields an attractive corollary

**Corollary 2.14.** *If  $X$  is a closed orientable  $2n$  manifold with finite fundamental group  $G$  and  $(n-1)$ -connected universal cover, then for all primes  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ , and subgroups  $H \subset G$ ,*

$$\dim H^{n+1}(H, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p - \dim H^n(H, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \leq (-1)^n ([G : H] \chi(X) - 2).$$

Applying this to any subgroup  $C \subset G$  of prime order, we obtain

**Corollary 2.15.** *If  $X$  is a closed orientable  $2n$ -manifold with  $(n-1)$ -connected universal cover and non-trivial finite fundamental group  $G$ , then  $\chi(X) > 0$  if and only if  $n$  is even.*

### 3. MINIMAL $K(G, n)$ -COMPLEXES AND THICKENINGS

We now turn our attention to the **existence** of orientable  $2n$ -manifolds having fundamental group of type  $F_n$  and  $(n-1)$ -connected universal cover. We recall the following well-known construction:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group of type  $F_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then there exists a closed orientable  $2n$ -manifold  $Z$  such that  $\pi_1(Z) = G$  with  $(n-1)$ -connected universal cover.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  denote a finite CW complex of dimension  $n$  with  $\pi_1(K) = G$  whose universal covering is  $(n-1)$ -connected. For example, take a finite, cellular model for the classifying space  $BG$ , and consider its  $n$ -skeleton  $K$ . Then we can construct a smooth  $2n$ -manifold  $Z = M(K)$  by doubling a  $2n$ -dimensional thickening of  $K$ . Note that

$$\pi_n(M(K)) = \pi_n(K) \oplus \pi_n(K)^*$$

and that

$$\pi_n(K) = \pi_n(\tilde{K}) \cong H_n(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus the universal cover  $\tilde{Z}$  of  $M(K)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -connected closed orientable  $2n$ -manifold with a free action of  $G$  such that

$$H_n(\tilde{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_n(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z})^*.$$

A variation of this construction is to let  $Z$  denote the boundary of a regular neighbourhood for some embedding  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  of the finite  $n$ -complex in Euclidean space.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $G$  be a discrete group of type  $F_n$ . A finite CW complex  $K$  of dimension  $n \geq 2$ , with fundamental group  $\pi_1(K) = G$  and  $\pi_i(K) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , is called a  $K(G, n)$ -complex.

The chain complex  $C_*(\tilde{K})$  of the universal covering of a  $K(G, n)$ -complex affords a free  $n$ -step resolution of the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Conversely, we wish to realize a given finitely generated  $n$ -step free resolution

$$\mathcal{F} : F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

as the equivariant chain complex of a suitable  $K(G, n)$ -complex. Note that by Swan [34, Theorem 1.2], we have  $\mu_n(G) \leq (-1)^n \chi(\mathcal{F})$  and that the lower bound is attained by some resolution.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group of type  $F_n$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $n$ -step resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. If  $n \geq 3$ , then there exists a finite  $K(G, n)$ -complex  $K$  and a  $G$ -equivariant chain homotopy equivalence  $C_*(\tilde{K}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 3$ , we can apply [16, Lemma 8.12] to show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is chain homotopy equivalent to a finitely generated free complex  $\mathcal{F}'$  which agrees with the 2-skeleton of a model for  $K(G, 1)$ . Then the construction of [33, Lemma 3.1] (credited to Milnor) provides the required complex  $K$  by successively attaching  $i$ -cells equivariantly using the boundary maps from the chain complex  $\mathcal{F}'$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** For finite groups, Swan [34, Corollary 5.1] shows that under certain additional assumptions, one can geometrically realize the actual sequence  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots$  of ranks for the  $i$ -chains of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We also record the facts due to Swan that  $\mu_n(G) \geq 1$  for  $n$  even, and  $\mu_n(G) \geq 0$  for  $n$  odd if  $G \neq 1$  is finite (see [34, §1]).

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $n \geq 3$ , then for any discrete group of type  $F_n$  we have  $q_{2n}(G) \leq 2\mu_n(G)$ . In particular, if  $n$  is even and  $G$  is a finite group with  $\mu_n(G) = 1$ , then  $G$  is the fundamental group of a rational homology  $2n$ -sphere.*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 3.3 to a minimal  $n$ -step resolution  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_n(G)$ , and obtain a finite  $K(G, n)$ -complex  $K$ . The manifold  $Z = M(K)$  constructed in Proposition 3.1 provides the upper bound  $q_{2n}(G) \leq \chi(Z) = 2\mu_n(G)$ .  $\square$

We now consider the case  $n = 2$ , where the argument above fails at the first step. To establish our upper bound for  $q_4(G)$  we need a more general construction and some results of C. T. C. Wall [36, 37].

**Definition 3.6.** a finite complex  $X$  satisfies Wall's D2-conditions if  $H_i(\tilde{X}) = 0$ , for  $i > 2$ , and  $H^3(X; \mathcal{B}) = 0$ , for all coefficient bundles  $\mathcal{B}$ . Here  $\tilde{X}$  denotes the universal covering of  $X$ . If these conditions hold, we will say that  $X$  is a D2-complex. If every D2-complex with fundamental group  $G$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite 2-complex, then we say that  $G$  has the D2-property.

In [36, p. 64], Wall proved that a finite complex  $X$  satisfying the D2-conditions is homotopy equivalent to a finite 3-complex. We will therefore assume that all our D2-complexes have  $\dim X \leq 3$ . It is not known at present whether all discrete groups have the D2-property. Note that  $\mu_2(G) \leq (1 - \text{Def}(G))$  by [34, Proposition 1], and equality holds if  $G$  has the D2-property.

**Proposition 3.7** ([36], [12, Corollary 2.4]). *Any finitely generated free resolution*

$$\mathcal{F} : F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

*over  $\mathbb{Z}G$  is chain homotopy equivalent to  $C_*(X)$ , where  $X$  is a finite D2-complex.*

If we apply this to a minimal resolution with  $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_2(G) = \mu'_2(G)$ , then if  $G$  is finite the module  $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$  is a minimal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rank representative of the stable module  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$ . The following result may also be of independent interest (it applies to any finitely presented group  $G$  which is *good* in the sense of Freedman [9, p. 99], in particular to poly-(finite or cyclic) groups).

**Theorem 3.8.** *Any finite D2-complex with good fundamental group embeds up to homotopy in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a finite D2-complex, and let  $u : K \subset X$  denote the 2-skeleton of  $X$ . Then by [12, Lemma 2.1], there is a simple homotopy equivalence  $f : X \vee r(S^2) \simeq K$ , where  $r = b_3(X)$ , where the  $r(S^2)$  denotes the wedge sum of  $r$  copies of  $S^2$ .

We recall some of the notation from [12, §2]. There is an identification

$$(3.9) \quad \pi_2(K \vee r(S^2)) \cong \pi_2(K) \oplus \Lambda^r \cong \pi_2(X) \oplus C_3(X) \oplus F$$

and we fix free  $\Lambda$ -bases  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  for  $C_3(X) \cong \Lambda^r$ , and  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  for  $F \cong \Lambda^r$ . The same notation  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{f_j\}$  will also be used for continuous maps  $S^2 \rightarrow K \vee r(S^2)$  in the homotopy classes of  $\pi_2(K \vee r(S^2))$  defined by these basis elements. Notice that the maps  $f_j : S^2 \rightarrow K \vee r(S^2)$  may be chosen to represent the inclusions of the  $S^2$  wedge factors.

An examination of the proof of [12, Lemma 2.1] shows that the simple homotopy equivalence  $f : X \vee r(S^2) \simeq K$  is obtained by extending a certain simple homotopy equivalence  $h : K \vee r(S^2) \rightarrow K \vee r(S^2)$  over the (stabilized) inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \vee r(S^2) & \overset{h'}{\dashrightarrow} & K' \\ \uparrow u \vee \text{id} & & \uparrow \\ K \vee r(S^2) & \xrightarrow{h} & K \vee r(S^2) \end{array}$$

by attaching the 3-cells of  $X$  in domain by the maps  $e_i = [\partial D_i^3]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and 3-cells in the range via the maps  $f_i = [\partial D_i^3]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , which homotopically cancel the  $S^2$  wedge factors, to obtain a complex  $K' \simeq K$ .

Then we have  $h \circ [\partial D_i^3] = f_i$  by the construction of  $h$  (see [12, p. 364]). Hence we can extend  $h$  over  $X$  by the identity on the 3-cells attached in domain and range along the maps  $\{f_i: S^2 \rightarrow K \vee r(S^2)\}$ . We obtain a map

$$h': X \vee r(S^2) \rightarrow K' := K \vee r(S^2) \cup \bigcup \{D_i^3 : [\partial D_i^3] = f_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

extending  $h$ . From the construction of the map  $h$  (see [12, p. 364]) follows that  $h'$  is a (simple) homotopy equivalence, which induces a simple homotopy equivalence  $f: X \vee r(S^2) \simeq K$ , after composition with the obvious projection  $K' \rightarrow K$ .

Let  $N$  denote a regular neighbourhood of an embedding of  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ , and let  $N_r \supset N$  be a regular neighbourhood of  $K \vee r(S^2) \supset K$ , obtained by boundary connected sum of

$$N_r = N \natural r(S^2 \times D^3) \subset \mathbb{R}^5$$

of  $N$  with disjoint copies of  $S^2 \times D^3$ , where the  $S^2$  factors represent the  $r$  wedge factors in  $K \vee r(S^2)$ . Each copy of  $S^2 \times D^3$  is part of the standard decomposition of a 5-sphere:  $S^5 = S^2 \times D^3 \cup D^3 \times S^2$ , and the connected sums take place within  $\mathbb{R}^5$  via the identification  $\mathbb{R}^5 \# S^5 \approx \mathbb{R}^5$ . By construction, the boundary  $\partial N_r = \partial N \# r(S^2 \times S^2)$ .

Since  $\pi_1(X)$  is a good group, the topological  $s$ -cobordism theorem [9, Theorem 7.1A], implies that the simple homotopy self-equivalence  $h: K \vee r(S^2) \rightarrow K \vee r(S^2)$  induces a self-homeomorphism  $g: N_r \rightarrow N_r$ , relative to the identity on  $K \subset N_r$ .

Let  $W := N_r \cup \bigcup_{\beta} \{(D^3 \times D^2)_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  denote the result of attaching 3-handles to  $N_r$  via disjoint embeddings

$$\beta_i: (S^2 \times D^2)_i \rightarrow \partial N_r \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

in  $\partial N_r$ . The attaching maps are defined by  $\beta_i = g^{-1} \circ \alpha_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , where

$$\alpha_i: S^2 \times D^2 \rightarrow \partial N_r$$

denotes the standard inclusion into the  $i^{\text{th}}$  summand  $(S^2 \times S^2)_i$  of  $\partial N_r$ . It follows that the attaching maps of the 3-handles in  $W$  are homotopic to the attaching maps  $[\partial D_i^3] = e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , of the 3-cells of  $X$ . Therefore  $X \vee r(S^2) \simeq W$  is a 5-manifold thickening of  $X \vee r(S^2)$ . Let  $u': X \rightarrow W$  denote the induced embedding of  $X$  in  $W$  up to homotopy equivalence.

We can also use the inclusion maps  $\alpha_i: S^2 \times D^2 \rightarrow \partial N_r$  to attach 3-handles to  $N_r$  within  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . Let

$$N' = N_r \cup \bigcup_{\alpha} \{(D^3 \times D^2)_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$$

where each 3-handle  $D^3 \times D^2 \subset S^5$  is standardly embedded (and disjoint from a small 5-ball used for the connected sum  $\mathbb{R}^5 \# S^5 \approx \mathbb{R}^5$ ).

Moreover, note that the attaching maps  $\{\alpha_i\}$  of the 3-handles are homotopic to  $[\partial D_i^3] = f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , which are just the inclusions of the  $S^2$  wedge summands of  $K \vee r(S^2)$ . It follows that  $N' \simeq K'$ . Since  $\beta_i = g^{-1} \circ \alpha_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , it follows that  $g: N_r \rightarrow N_r$  extends to a homeomorphism  $g': W \rightarrow N'$ . Then the composition  $g' \circ u': X \rightarrow N' \subset \mathbb{R}^5$  gives an embedding of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  up to homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *For  $G$  a finitely presented good group,  $q_4(G) \leq 2\mu_2(G)$ . In particular,  $\mu_2(G) = 1$  and  $G$  finite implies that  $G$  is the fundamental group of a rational homology 4-sphere.*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 3.7 to realize a minimal 2-step resolution by a finite D2-complex, and then Theorem 3.8 provides a suitable  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$  manifold.  $\square$

*The proof of Theorem A.* Concatenating our previous results, we have obtained the estimates

$$\max\{e_n(G), \mu'_n(G) - \mu'_{n-1}(G)\} \leq q_{2n}(G) \leq 2\mu_n(G).$$

for any finite group  $G$ . It remains to obtain the sharper upper bound  $2\mu'_n(G)$  in the exceptional case.

If the pair  $(G, n)$  is exceptional, note that  $n$  is odd and  $\mu'_n(G) = 0 < \mu_n(G) = 1$ , so we are claiming in Theorem A that  $q_{2n}(G) \leq 0$ . Moreover,  $\chi(M^{4k+2}) \leq 0$  for any closed  $(2k - 1)$ -connected manifold, since  $b_{2k+1}(M) \geq 2$ . To establish the stronger upper bound we need an example with Euler characteristic zero.

However since  $G$  is a finite periodic group of period dividing  $n + 1$ , there exists a finitely dominated Swan complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) = G$  and universal covering  $\tilde{X} \simeq S^n$ . It follows that  $X \times S^n$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite Poincaré complex (by the product formula for Wall's finiteness obstruction [10, Theorem 0.1]), and to a closed topological  $2n$ -manifold from surgery theory. Since  $X \times S^n$  has Euler characteristic zero, the stronger upper bound  $2\mu'_n(G)$  is realized in the exceptional cases.  $\square$

The next result due to Swan and Wall shows that arbitrary periodic groups appear as fundamental groups of rational homology spheres.

**Lemma 3.11.** *If  $G$  is a finite group with periodic cohomology of period dividing  $2k + 2$ , then  $\mu_{2k}(G) = 1$  for  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We will discuss the case  $k = 1$  for groups of period 4. Swan [33] constructed a finitely dominated Poincaré 3-complex  $Y$  with  $\pi_1(Y) = G$ , and Wall [38, Corollary 2.3.2] shows that  $Y$  is obtained from a D2-complex by attaching a single 3-cell. The chain complex  $C_*(\tilde{Y})$  provides a projective resolution

$$\mathcal{F}' : P \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\chi(\mathcal{F}') = 1$ , where  $P$  is projective,  $F_1$  and  $F_0$  are free and  $I(G)^* = \ker d_2(\mathcal{F}')$ . This shows that  $\mu'_2(G) = 1$  and so  $\mu_2(G) = \mu'_2(G) = 1$  by Swan's results.

One can give a direct argument for this last step. By adding a projective  $Q$  so that  $P \oplus Q = F'$  is free, we obtain a free resolution

$$\mathcal{F} : F \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $I(G)^* \oplus Q = \ker d_2(\mathcal{F})$ . By the "Roiter Replacement Lemma",  $I(G)^* \oplus Q = J \oplus F'$ , where  $F'$  is free and  $J$  is locally isomorphic to  $I(G)^*$ , so  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(J) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} I(G)^*$ . We now divide out the image of  $F'$  in  $F$  (a direct summand) to obtain a free resolution

$$\mathcal{F}'' : F'' \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $J = \ker d_2(\mathcal{F}'')$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{F}'') = 1$ . Hence  $\mu_2(G) = 1$ .

A similar argument shows that  $\mu_{2k}(G) = 1$ , for all  $k > 1$ , if  $G$  has periodic cohomology with period dividing  $2k + 2$ . Details will be left to the reader.  $\square$

**Remark 3.12.** The calculation in Lemma 3.11 together with Theorem 3.8 provides an alternate proof of [13, Corollary 4.4]. However, the essential ingredients are the same in both arguments.

*The proof of Theorem B.* By assumption, the group  $G$  is periodic of even period  $q$ . If  $q$  divides  $n + 2$ , then  $n$  is even and  $\mu_n(G) = 1$  by Lemma 3.11. If  $n > 2$  we apply Corollary 3.5, and if  $n = 2$  we apply Corollary 3.10. In either case, we obtain  $q_{2n}(G) = 2$ . If  $q$  divides  $n + 1$ , then  $n$  is odd and  $\mu'_n(G) = 0$ . The examples constructed in the proof of Theorem A show that this bound is realized, and hence  $q_{2n}(G) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.13.** If  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  then we can use the Kunneth formula to compute these invariants. The term  $\mu_n(E_k)$  has a polynomial of degree  $n$  as its leading term. For  $n = 2, 3, 4$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k^2 - 3k + 4}{2} &\leq q_4(E_k) \leq k^2 - k + 2 \\ \frac{k^3 - 3k^2 + 8k - 12}{6} &\leq q_6(E_k) \leq \frac{k^3 + 5k - 6}{3} \\ \frac{k^4 - 2k^3 + 11k^2 - 34k + 48}{24} &\leq q_8(E_k) \leq \frac{k^4 + 2k^3 + 11k^2 - 14k + 24}{12} \end{aligned}$$

For instance, for  $k = 2$  this only gives the rough estimate  $1 \leq q_8(E_2) \leq 6$ , but we know that  $q_8(E_2) = 2$  by performing surgery<sup>1</sup> on  $L^7(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times S^1$ . However, for  $k = 3$  the lower bound gives  $q_8(E_3) \geq 3$ , and hence  $E_3$  is not the fundamental group of a rational homology 8-sphere.

#### 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM A'

In this section we establish a lower bound for  $q_{2n}(G)$ , for  $G$  an infinite discrete group of type  $F_n$ . With the results of Lemma 2.13, Corollary 3.5, and Corollary 3.10, this will complete the proof of Theorem A'.

The invariants  $\mu''_k(G)$  used in the statement of Theorem A' are defined as follows.

**Definition 4.1.** For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $\mu''_k(G) = (-1)^k \cdot \min\{\chi(\mathcal{F})\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  varies over all  $k$ -step resolutions

$$\mathcal{F} : F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, which arise geometrically as the chain complex of the universal covering for a finite  $CW$ -complex of dimension  $k$  with fundamental group  $G$ .

The sign  $(-1)^k$  is introduced to agree with Swan's conventions. Note the inequalities

$$\mu'_k(G) \leq \mu_k(G) \leq \mu''_k(G)$$

relating these invariants to those defined by Swan. We define  $\mu''_1(G) = d(G) - 1$ , where  $d(G)$  denotes the minimal number of generators for  $G$ .

<sup>1</sup>Here  $L^7(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  denotes the 7-dimensional lens space with fundamental group  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , and the surgery is performed on the  $S^1$  factor by removing  $D^6 \times S^1$  and gluing in  $S^5 \times D^2$ .

**Remark 4.2.** By Proposition 3.3, we have  $\mu_k(G) = \mu_k''(G)$  if  $n \geq 3$ . Note that  $\mu_2''(G) = 1 - \text{Def}(G)$ . If  $\mu_2(G) < \mu_2''(G)$  for some finitely presented group  $G$ , then there would be a counter-example to Wall's D2 problem (but no such examples are known at present). In addition, we do not know if the strict inequality  $\mu_1(G) < d(G) - 1$  can occur.

We now establish the lower bound for infinite groups.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group of type  $F_n$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . If  $Y$  is a closed,  $(n-1)$ -connected  $2n$ -manifold with a free, orientation-preserving action of  $G$ , a finite group, then for any subgroup  $H \subset G$  of finite index*

$$\mu_n(H) - \mu_{n-1}''(H) \leq (-1)^n [G : H] \chi(Y/G).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove this inequality for  $H = G$ , and then apply covering space theory. Let  $M$  denote a closed, orientable  $2n$ -dimensional manifold with  $n \geq 2$  and fundamental group  $G$  of type  $F_n$ , such that  $\pi_i(M) = 0$  for  $1 < i < n$ . Let  $K \simeq M$  be a finite CW-complex homotopy equivalent to  $M$ , and let  $C := C(\tilde{K})$  denote the chain complex of its universal covering. It is a finite chain complex, with each  $C_i$  a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. We note that the homology of  $M$  is computed from the chain complex  $C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore  $\chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i c_i$ , where  $c_i := \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G} C_i$ .

We may assume that the  $(n-1)$ -skeleton  $K^{(n-1)} \subset K$  has  $(-1)^{n-1} \chi(\tilde{K}^{(n-1)}) = \mu_{n-1}''(G)$ , by applying Wall's construction of a *normal form* to replace  $K$  by a homotopy equivalent complex if necessary (see [38, p. 238]).

The long exact sequences of the triples  $(K, K^{(i)}, K^{(i-1)})$ , for cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -coefficients gives:

$$0 \rightarrow H^i(K, K^{(i-1)}) \rightarrow H^i(K^{(i)}, K^{(i-1)}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(K, K^{(i)}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(K, K^{(i-1)}) \rightarrow 0$$

If we let  $Z^i := \ker \delta^i$  and  $B^i := \text{im } \delta^{i-1}$  (for later use) in the cochain complex  $(C^*, \delta^*)$ , then the sequence above becomes

$$0 \rightarrow Z^i \rightarrow C_i^* \rightarrow Z^{i+1} \rightarrow H^{i+1}(C) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H^i(C) = H_{2n-i}(C) = 0$ , for  $n+1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$ , and  $H^{2n}(C) = \mathbb{Z}$ , we can splice the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Z^i \rightarrow C_i^* \rightarrow Z^{i+1} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $n \leq i \leq 2n-1$ , and obtain a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z^n \rightarrow C_n^* \rightarrow C_{n+1}^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{2n-1}^* \rightarrow C_{2n}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

Since this a resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, with  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(C_i^*) := c_i$ , we have

$$(-1)^n \chi_{\text{upper}}(C) := (-1)^n \sum_{i=n}^{2n} (-1)^i c_i \geq \mu_n(G).$$

On the other hand, by the normal form construction, we have

$$(-1)^n \chi_{\text{lower}}(C) := (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i c_i = (-1)^n (-1)^{n-1} \mu_{n-1}''(G) = -\mu_{n-1}''(G).$$

Therefore  $q_{2n}(G) \geq (-1)^n \chi(M) \geq \mu_n(G) - \mu_{n-1}''(G)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** Note that  $\mu_1'(G) \leq \mu_1(G) \leq d(G) - 1$  by Swan [34, Proposition 1], so this is slightly different than the estimate in Theorem A for finite groups if  $n = 2$ .

## 5. RATIONAL HOMOLOGY 4–SPHERES

We now specialize our results to the case when  $M$  is a rational homology 4–sphere with finite fundamental group  $G$ . We would like to find restrictions on  $G$ , by computing  $\mu_2(G) - \mu_1(G)$ . Note that  $\mu_1(G) = \mu'_1(G)$  and  $\mu_2(G) = \mu'_2(G)$  and that for any solvable finite group,  $\mu_1(G) = d(G) - 1$  [6, Proposition 1]. Let  $A$  denote a finite abelian group minimally generated by  $d$  elements, then using Theorem 2.9 we have the estimate

$$\mu_2(A) - \mu_1(A) = \frac{d^2 - 3d + 4}{2} \leq \chi(M) = 2$$

and so we recover the estimate proved in [35, 3.4]:

**Corollary 5.1.** *If  $G$  is a finite abelian group minimally generated by  $k > 3$  elements, then it cannot be realized as the fundamental group of a closed 4–manifold which is a rational homology sphere.*

Our next objective will be to consider examples where twisted coefficients can be used to establish conditions for non–abelian groups. Recall the result due to Swan [34, Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 6.1]: for any finite group  $G$ ,  $\mu_n(G)$  is the smallest integer which is an upper bound on

$$(\dim M)^{-1} (h^n(G, M) - h^{n-1}(G, M) + \cdots + (-1)^n h^0(G, M))$$

where  $K$  is a field,  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, and  $h^n(G; M) := \dim_K H^n(G; M)$ . Moreover we can assume that  $K$  is algebraically closed and has characteristic  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ , and it suffices to verify the upper bound on absolutely irreducible modules.

We now focus on an interesting class of non–abelian groups for which the absolutely irreducible modules are easy to determine. The following proposition follows from elementary representation theory (see [40, Corollary 6.2.2])

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $G$  a finite group with maximal normal  $p$ –group denoted by  $O_p(G)$ . Then the simple  $KG$ -modules are precisely the simple  $K[G/O_p(G)]$ -modules, made into  $KG$ -modules via the quotient homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G/O_p(G)$ .*

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  denote a semi-direct product, where  $E_k \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  with  $k > 1$  and  $C$  is cyclic of order relatively prime to  $p$ . Then for any algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}_p$  of characteristic  $p$ , the absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{K}_p U_k$ -modules are one dimensional characters  $\alpha: C \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_p^\times$  on which  $E_k$  acts trivially.*

The cyclic group  $C$  acts on the vector spaces  $H^i(E_k; \mathbb{K}_p)$  via one-dimensional characters  $\alpha: C \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_p^\times$ . Using the multiplicative structure in cohomology and the Bockstein, this is determined by  $N_k = H^1(E_k; \mathbb{K}_p) \cong \text{Hom}(E_k, \mathbb{K}_p)$  as an  $\mathbb{K}_p[C]$ -module. More precisely, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \beta(N_k) \rightarrow H^2(E_k; \mathbb{K}_p) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(N_k) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\mathbb{K}_p C$ -modules and if  $N_k \cong L(\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\alpha_k)$  (sum of characters) then

$$\beta(N_k) \cong \begin{cases} N_k \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} L(\alpha_i) & \text{for } p \text{ odd} \\ S^2(N_k) \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} L(\alpha_i^2) & \text{for } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Lambda^2(N_k) \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} L(\alpha_i \alpha_j).$$

Using the fact that for any  $\mathbb{K}_p U_k$ -module  $M$ ,  $H^t(U_k; M) \cong H^t(E_k; M|_E)^C$  for every  $t \geq 0$ , we see that for any character  $L(\beta)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(U_k; L(\beta)) - h^1(U_k; L(\beta)) + h^0(U_k; L(\beta)) = \\ & \begin{cases} \dim[\Lambda^2(N_k) \otimes \beta]^C + \dim L(\beta)^C & \text{for } p \text{ odd} \\ \dim[S^2(N_k) \otimes \beta]^C + \dim[\Lambda^2(N_k) \otimes \beta]^C - \dim[N_k \otimes \beta]^C + \dim L(\beta)^C & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

At primes  $q$  dividing  $|C|$ , we work over the field  $\mathbb{K}_q$ , and note that  $H^i(U_k, L) = H^i(C, L^{E_k})$ . Hence an absolutely irreducible  $L$  with some  $h^i(U_k, L) \neq 0$  must also have a trivial action of  $E_k$ . Arguing as before,  $L$  is the inflation of a character  $C/O_q(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_q^\times$ . Thus we have  $H^i(U_k, L) = [H^i(O_q(C), \mathbb{K}_q) \otimes L]^C/O_q(C)$ . As  $O_q(C)$  is cyclic, all these terms are isomorphic and of non-zero rank (equal to one) if and only if the action of  $C/O_q(C)$  is trivial and we obtain that

$$h^2(U_k; L) - h^1(U_k; L) + h^0(U_k; L) \leq 1.$$

We apply our analysis to obtain a calculation for  $\mu_2(U_k)$  and  $e_2(U_k)$ :

**Proposition 5.4.** *For  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  as above, with  $N_k = H^1(E_k; \mathbb{K}_p)$ , then  $\mu_2(U_k)$  is given by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{cases} \max\{\dim[\Lambda^2(N_k) \otimes L(\beta)]^C + \dim L(\beta)^C\} & \text{for } p \text{ odd} \\ \max\{\dim[S^2(N_k) \otimes \beta]^C + \dim[\Lambda^2(N_k) \otimes \beta]^C - \dim[N_k \otimes \beta]^C + \dim L(\beta)^C\} & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

as  $L(\beta)$  ranges over all characters  $\beta: C \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_p^\times$ , and

$$e_2(U_k) = \begin{cases} \dim \Lambda^2(N_k)^C - \dim N_k^C + 2 & \text{for } p \text{ odd} \\ \dim S^2(N_k)^C + \dim \Lambda^2(N_k)^C - 2 \dim N_k^C + 2 & \text{for } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

We apply this to the special case when  $p$  is an odd prime and the action on  $N_k = H^1(E_k, \mathbb{K}_p)$  is *isotypic*, i.e. it is the direct sum of copies of a fixed character  $L(\alpha)$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  where  $p$  is odd and the action of  $C$  on the vector space  $E_k$  gives rise to the sum of  $k$  copies of a fixed character  $L(\alpha)$  over the splitting field  $\mathbb{K}_p$ , with  $k > 1$ .*

(i) *If  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ ,  $e_2(U_k) = 2$ ,  $\mu_2(U_k) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$  and  $\mu_2(U_k) - \mu_1(U_k) = \frac{k(k-3)}{2}$ .*

(ii) *If  $\alpha^2 = 1$ ,  $e_2(U_k) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 2$ ,  $\mu_2(U_k) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1$  and  $\mu_2(U_k) - \mu_1(U_k) = \frac{k(k-3)}{2} + 1$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 5.4 to compute  $\mu_2(U_k)$  and  $e_2(U_k)$ . Choose  $\beta = \alpha^{-2}$ , then  $\Lambda^2(H^1(E_k; \mathbb{K}_p)) \otimes L(\beta)$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{K}_p[C]$ -module of dimension equal to  $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ . In the special case  $\beta = 1$  we obtain the extra term. The calculation for  $e_2(U_k)$  follows from its expression in terms of invariants. As  $U_k$  is solvable we have  $\mu_1(U_k) = k$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  where  $p$  is odd,  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  and  $C$  cyclic of order prime to  $p$  acts on each  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  factor in  $E_k$  via  $x \mapsto x^q$  where  $q$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .*

(i) If  $x^{q^2} \neq x$  for all  $1 \neq x \in E_k$ , then

$$\max\left\{2, \frac{k(k-3)}{2}\right\} \leq q_4(U_k) \leq k(k-1)$$

(ii) If  $q = p - 1$ , then

$$\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 2 \leq q_4(U_k) \leq k(k-1) + 2$$

**Remark 5.7.** Note that for  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ ,  $e_2(U_k) = 2 < \mu_2(U_k) - \mu_1(U_k)$  for  $k \geq 5$ . Hence 5.6 improves on the lower bound given in [19]. On the other hand, if  $\alpha^2 = 1$  then  $\mu_2(U_k) - \mu_1(U_k) < e_2(U_k)$  for all  $k > 1$ . This shows that the two invariants play a role in establishing lower bounds for  $q_4(G)$ .

We now apply our estimate to homology 4–spheres.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  where  $p$  is an odd prime,  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$  and  $C$  cyclic of order prime to  $p$  acts on each  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  factor in  $E_k$  via  $x \mapsto x^q$  where  $q$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (i) If  $x^{q^2} \neq x$  for all  $1 \neq x \in E_k$ , then for all  $k > 4$ ,  $U_k$  does not arise as the fundamental group of any rational homology 4–sphere.
- (ii) If  $q = p - 1$ , then for all  $k > 1$ ,  $U_k$  does not arise as the fundamental group of any rational homology 4–sphere.

*Proof.* For the groups  $U_k = E_k \times_T C$  where  $x^{q^2} \neq x$  for  $x \in E_k$ , we consider the inequality

$$\max\left\{2, \frac{k(k-3)}{2}\right\} \leq q_4(U_k) \leq k(k-1).$$

If a rational homology 4–sphere  $X$  with fundamental group  $U_k$  exists, then  $q_4(U_k) = 2$  and we'd have  $\frac{k(k-3)}{2} \leq 2$ , which implies that  $k \leq 4$ . Note that the upper bound implies the existence of a rational homology 4–sphere with fundamental group  $U_2$ . In the case when  $q = p - 1$  our estimate 5.6 shows that  $2 < q_4(U_k)$  for all  $k > 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.9.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote a field with  $q = 2^k$  elements. Then the cyclic group of units  $C = \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$  acts transitively on the non-zero elements of the underlying mod 2 vector space  $E_k = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ . If we write  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_2[u]/(p(u))$ , where  $p(u)$  is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , then the action can be described as multiplication by  $u$ . Expressing it in terms of the basis  $\{1, u, \dots, u^{k-1}\}$  we obtain a faithful representation  $C \rightarrow GL(k, \mathbb{F}_2)$  with characteristic polynomial  $p(t)$ . This gives rise to a semi-direct product  $J_k = E_k \times_T C$  where the action of  $C$  on  $N_k = H^1(E_k, \mathbb{K}_2)$  decomposes into non-trivial, distinct characters determined by the roots of  $p(t)$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a root of this polynomial, so are all the powers  $\{\alpha^{2^i}\}_{i=0, \dots, k-1}$  and these appear as a complete set of eigenvalues for the action on the  $k$ –dimensional vector space. Note in particular that for  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ ,  $2^i + 2^j = 2^k - 1$  can only happen if  $k = 2$  and  $j = 1$  or  $i = 1$ . Applying Proposition 5.4, we can do a calculation to obtain  $\mu_2(J_k) = 1$  for  $k > 2$ .

From these examples we conclude that there exist rational homology 4–spheres with fundamental group equal to  $J_k$  for  $k > 2$ , and so groups of arbitrarily high rank can occur as such groups, in contrast to the situation for abelian groups appearing in Corollary 5.1.

For  $J_2 \cong A_4$ , the alternating group on four letters, we obtain  $e_2(J_2) = 3$ ,  $\mu_2(J_2) = 2$  and so  $3 \leq q_4(A_4) \leq 4$ . The cohomological computations also imply that  $\mu_4(A_4) = 1$ , whence there does exist a rational homology 8–sphere with fundamental group  $A_4$ .

**Proposition 5.10.** *For the alternating groups  $G = A_4$  or  $G = A_5$ , we have  $q_4(G) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* By the estimates above, for  $G = A_4$  we only need to rule out  $q_4(G) = 3$ , so suppose that there exists  $M^4$  with  $\pi_1(M) = A_4$  and  $\chi(M) = 3$ . Applying the universal coefficient theorem, we can use the computation at  $p = 2$  (see [2, Theorem 1.3, Chapter III]) to show that  $H_4(A_4; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Hence  $\widehat{H}^{-5}(A_4, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and applying Proposition 2.6 we infer that  $\pi_2(M)$  is stably isomorphic to  $J \oplus J^*$ , where  $J$  denotes a minimal representative of  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\chi(M) = 3$ , we have  $H^0(G; \pi_2(M)) = \mathbb{Z}$ . From the exact sequence for Tate cohomology [3, Chap. IV.4], we have a surjection  $\mathbb{Z} = H^0(G; \pi_2(M)) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G; \pi_2(M))$ . However,

$$\widehat{H}^0(G; \pi_2(M)) = \widehat{H}^0(G; J \oplus J^*) = \widehat{H}^{-3}(G; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \widehat{H}^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$$

and since  $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , this is impossible.

For  $G = A_5$  we apply the fact that for every non-periodic finite subgroup  $G$  of  $SO(3)$ ,  $\mu_2(G) = 2$  (see Remark 6.3). The rest of the argument is analogous to that for  $A_4$ , since the restriction map  $H^*(A_5; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(A_4; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism. This is true because both groups share the same 2–Sylow subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ , with normalizer  $A_4$  (see [2, Theorem 6.8, Chapter II]). This implies that  $e_2(A_5) = 3$ ,  $H_4(A_5; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H_2(A_5; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  (note that the other two  $p$ –Sylow subgroups are cyclic, so don’t contribute to even degree homology). Therefore we can rule out  $q_4(A_5) = 3$  whence  $q_4(A_5) = 4$ .  $\square$

## 6. SOME FURTHER REMARKS AND QUESTIONS IN DIMENSION FOUR

In this section we will briefly discuss some questions about rational homology 4–spheres whose fundamental groups are finite.

**§6A. Existence via Surgery.** The main open problem is to characterize the finite groups  $G$  for which  $q_4(G) = 2$ . To make progress, we need more constructions of rational homology 4–spheres.

Examples of  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ –manifolds can be constructed by starting with a rational homology 3–sphere  $X$ , forming the product  $X \times S^1$ , and then doing surgery on an embedded  $S^1 \times D^3 \subset X \times S^1$  representing a generator of  $\pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ . This construction is equivalent to the “thickened double” construction  $Z = M(K)$  for a finite 2–complex of Proposition 3.1 (compare [13, §4]).

**Example 6.1.** The groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ –groups, since we can do surgery on an embedded circle  $L^3(p, 1) \times S^1$  representing  $p$ –times a generator of  $\pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ . These examples are *not* of the thickened double form  $M(K)$  because the minimal rank of  $\pi_2(K)$  representing  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$  is greater than  $|G| - 1$ , and hence the extension describing  $\pi_2(M)$  is non-trivial (by Proposition 2.4).

Since the quotient of a free finite group action on a rational homology 3–sphere is again a rational homology 3–sphere, one could use the examples  $X = Y/G$  studied by [1], where  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}S^3$  and  $G$  is a finite group acting freely on  $Y$ . However, to obtain a  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$  with finite fundamental group by this construction,  $Y$  must itself have finite fundamental group.

**Remark 6.2.** The finite fundamental groups of closed, oriented 3-manifolds have periodic cohomology of period 4, but not all 4-periodic groups arise this way. A complete list of 4-periodic groups is given in Milnor [27, §3], and those which can act freely and orthogonally on  $S^3$  were listed by Hopf [20]. Perelman [23] showed that the remaining groups in Milnor's list do not arise as the fundamental group of any closed, oriented 3-manifold, and that the closed 3-manifolds with finite fundamental group are exactly the 3-dimensional spherical space forms.

**Remark 6.3.** For every non-periodic finite subgroup  $G$  of  $SO(3)$ , we have  $\mu_2(G) = 2$  and hence  $q_4(G) \leq 4$  (see [15, Proposition 2.4]). Note that each such subgroup has a 2-fold central extension  $G^* \subset SU(2)$  which acts freely on  $S^3$ , and let  $X = S^3/G^*$  denote the quotient 3-manifold. On  $N := X \times S^1$ , we can do surgery on disjoint circles representing (i) a generator of the central subgroup of  $G^*$  and (ii) a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , to reduce the fundamental group from  $\pi_1(N) = G^* \times \mathbb{Z}$  to  $G$ . We thus obtain a 4-manifold  $M$  with  $\chi(M) = 4$  and  $\pi_1(M) = G$ , realizing the upper bound for  $q_4(G)$ . Our estimates give  $2 \leq q_4(G) \leq 4$  for the cases not yet determined, namely where  $G$  is dihedral of order  $4n$  or  $G$  is the symmetric group  $S_4$ .

**Remark 6.4.** Teichner [35, 3.7] indicated that topological surgery could produce examples with finite fundamental group from certain 4-manifolds with infinite fundamental group. This technique should be investigated further.

**§6B. Groups of Deficiency Zero.** There are many finite groups with deficiency zero: for example, Wamsley [39] showed that a metacyclic group  $G$  with  $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  has  $\text{Def}(G) = 0$ . In particular, the class of finite groups arising as fundamental groups of rational homology 4-spheres includes groups with periodic cohomology of arbitrarily high period. There is an extensive literature on this problem: for example, see [6, 4, 5, 8, 21, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31].

According to Swan,  $1 \leq \mu_2(G) \leq 1 - \text{Def}(G)$  (see [34, Proposition 1, Corollary 1.3]), hence if  $G$  is a finite group of deficiency zero, we have  $\mu_2(G) = 1$ . Thus for such groups by Proposition 3.1, we can construct an orientable 4-manifold  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M) = G$  and  $\chi(M) = 2$ . More generally, this can be done whenever  $\mu_2(G) = 1$  by Theorem B (see Corollary 3.10). Then  $M$  is a rational homology 4-sphere, and in these cases there is a minimal representative  $J$  for the stable module  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(J) = |G| - 1$ . (compare [13, Corollary 4.4]). For example, if  $G$  is the fundamental group of a closed, oriented 3-manifold, then  $J \cong I(G)^*$ .

**Example 6.5.** Teichner [35, 3.4, 4.15] proved that if  $G$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ -group, then  $d(H_1(G)) \leq 7$ , and used a mapping torus construction to produce a non-abelian  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ -group  $G$  with  $d(H_1(G)) = 4$ .

**§6C. Algebraic Questions.** For the rational homology 4-spheres  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M) = G$  constructed in Theorem B, we have  $\pi_2(M) = H_2(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = J \oplus J^*$ , where  $J$  is a minimal representative for  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$  over  $\mathbb{Z}G$ , with  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(J) = |G| - 1$ .

Moreover,  $J$  is locally and hence rationally isomorphic to the augmentation ideal  $I(G)$ , and the equivariant intersection form  $s_M$  on  $\pi_2(M) = J \oplus J^*$  is metabolic, with totally isotropic submodule  $0 \oplus J^*$ . Similar results hold for the higher dimensional examples constructed in Proposition 3.1.

More generally, for any finite group  $G$ , the existence of a representative  $J$  for the stable module  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(J) = |G| - 1$  is equivalent to the condition  $\mu_2(G) = 1$  (see Proposition 3.7).

**Question.** Is there a finite group  $G$  with  $\mu_2(G) = 1$ , such that  $G$  is neither periodic nor admits a balanced presentation ?

For any closed oriented 4-manifold  $M$  with finite fundamental group  $G$ , we have seen in 2.4 that  $\pi_2(M)$  is *stably* given by an extension of  $\Omega^{-3}(\mathbb{Z})$  by  $\Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})$  (see also [13, Proposition 2.4]) and that the extension class in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}G}^1(\Omega^{-3}(\mathbb{Z}), \Omega^3(\mathbb{Z})) \cong H_4(G; \mathbb{Z})$  is given by the image of the fundamental class of  $M$ . For any rational homology 4-sphere  $M$  with finite fundamental group  $G$ , the condition  $\chi(M) = 2$  implies that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_2(M)) = 2(|G| - 1)$  and  $H^0(G; \pi_2(M)) = 0$ .

**Question.** If  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ , what is the (unstable) structure of  $\pi_2(M)$  as an integral representation ? Is the equivariant intersection form  $s_M$  always metabolic (in the sense defined in [14, §2]) ?

Finally we point out that many questions in the representation theory of finite groups can be investigated by induction and restriction to proper subgroups. At present we do not see how to apply this technique in our setting.

**Question.** If  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ -manifold with finite fundamental group  $G$ , then its non-trivial finite coverings have Euler characteristic  $> 2$  (and hence are not  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ -manifolds). How can we decide if proper subgroups of  $G$  are also  $\mathbb{Q}S^4$ -groups ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem and I. Hambleton, *Free finite group actions on rational homology 3-spheres*, Forum Math. Sigma **7** (2019), Paper No. e28, 23.
- [2] A. Adem and R. J. Milgram, *Cohomology of finite groups*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 309, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [3] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [4] C. M. Campbell and E. F. Robertson, *A deficiency zero presentation for  $SL(2, p)$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 17–20.
- [5] ———, *On 2-generator 2-relation soluble groups*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **23** (1980), 269–273.
- [6] J. Cossey, K. W. Gruenberg, and L. G. Kovács, *The presentation rank of a direct product of finite groups*, J. Algebra **28** (1974), 597–603.
- [7] S. Eilenberg and T. Ganea, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of abstract groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **65** (1957), 517–518.
- [8] D. B. A. Epstein, *Finite presentations of groups and 3-manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **12** (1961), 205–212.
- [9] M. H. Freedman and F. Quinn, *Topology of 4-manifolds*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [10] S. M. Gersten, *A product formula for Wall's obstruction*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 337–346.
- [11] I. Hambleton, *Some examples of free actions on products of spheres*, Topology **45** (2006), 735–749.
- [12] ———, *Two remarks on Wall's  $D2$  problem*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **167** (2019), 361–368.
- [13] I. Hambleton and M. Kreck, *On the classification of topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), 85–104.

- [14] ———, *Cancellation, elliptic surfaces and the topology of certain four-manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **444** (1993), 79–100.
- [15] ———, *Cancellation of lattices and finite two-complexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **442** (1993), 91–109.
- [16] I. Hambleton, S. Pamuk, and E. Yalçın, *Equivariant CW-complexes and the orbit category*, Comment. Math. Helv. **88** (2013), 369–425.
- [17] I. Hambleton and O. Ünlü, *Examples of free actions on products of spheres*, Q. J. Math. **60** (2009), 461–474.
- [18] ———, *Free actions of finite groups on  $S^n \times S^n$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 3289–3317.
- [19] J.-C. Hausmann and S. Weinberger, *Caractéristiques d’Euler et groupes fondamentaux des variétés de dimension 4*, Comment. Math. Helv. **60** (1985), 139–144.
- [20] H. Hopf, *Zum Clifford-Kleinschen Raumproblem*, Math. Ann. **95** (1926), 313–339.
- [21] D. L. Johnson and J. W. Wamsley, *Minimal relations for certain finite  $p$ -groups*, Israel J. Math. **8** (1970), 349–356.
- [22] P. Kirk and C. Livingston, *The Hausmann-Weinberger 4-manifold invariant of abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 1537–1546.
- [23] J. Lott, *The work of Grigory Perelman*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. I, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007, pp. 66–76.
- [24] I. Madsen, *Reidemeister torsion, surgery invariants and spherical space forms*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **46** (1983), 193–240.
- [25] J. L. Mennicke and B. H. Neumann, *More on finite groups with few defining relations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **46** (1989), 132–136.
- [26] R. J. Milgram, *Evaluating the Swan finiteness obstruction for periodic groups*, Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1126, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 127–158.
- [27] J. Milnor, *Groups which act on  $S^n$  without fixed points*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 623–630.
- [28] B. H. Neumann, *Yet more on finite groups with few defining relations*, Group theory (Singapore, 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989, pp. 183–193.
- [29] T. W. Sag and J. W. Wamsley, *Minimal presentations for groups of order  $2^n$ ,  $n \leq 6$* , J. Austral. Math. Soc. **15** (1973), 461–469.
- [30] ———, *On computing the minimal number of defining relations for finite groups*, Math. Comp. **27** (1973), 361–368.
- [31] D. G. Searby and J. W. Wamsley, *Minimal presentations for certain metabelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), 342–348.
- [32] R. G. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 552–578.
- [33] ———, *Periodic resolutions for finite groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72** (1960), 267–291.
- [34] ———, *Minimal resolutions for finite groups*, Topology **4** (1965), 193–208.
- [35] P. Teichner, *Rationale Homologie 4-Sphären*, Master’s thesis, University of Mainz, 1988.
- [36] C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 56–69.
- [37] ———, *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes. II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **295** (1966), 129–139.
- [38] ———, *Poincaré complexes. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 213–245.
- [39] J. W. Wamsley, *The deficiency of metacyclic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 724–726.
- [40] P. Webb, *A course in finite group representation theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 161, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
 VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* adem@math.ubc.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY  
 HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S 4K1, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* hambleton@mcmaster.ca