

## HOMEWORK #3

Due: March 9 (Thursday) by midnight

### Instructions:

- The assignment consists of *three* questions, worth 3, 4 and 3 points.
- Submit your assignment *electronically* to the Email address `math3q03@math.mcmaster.ca`; hardcopy submissions will not be accepted.
- It is obligatory to use the MATLAB template file available at <http://www.math.mcmaster.ca/bprotas/MATH3Q03/template.m> (see also the link in the “Computer Programs” section of the course website); submissions non compliant with this template will not be accepted.
- All plots should have suitable axis labels and legends.
- Make sure to enter your name and student I.D. number in the appropriate section of the template.
- Late submissions and submissions which do not comply with these guidelines will not be accepted.

1. Consider the problem of finding roots of the function  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , where

$$\mathbf{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 - 1 \\ x_2^2 - x_1 - 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When the Jacobian of  $\mathbf{F}$  is too difficult or too costly to evaluate, Broyden’s method is a viable alternative to Newton’s method. Starting from initial guesses  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\mathbf{D}_0$ , the iterations of Broyden’s approach are defined as follows (see Section 8.2 in Grasselli & Pelinovsky)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_k(\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k) &= -\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \\ \mathbf{D}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{D}_k + \frac{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k)^T}{(\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k)^T \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k)} \end{aligned}$$

(both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\cdot)$  are assumed to be *column* vectors). Use both Newton’s method and Broyden’s method to approximate the root  $\mathbf{x}^* = [-1, -1]^T$  of the equation  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  using the initial guesses  $\mathbf{x}_0 = [1.5, -1.5]^T$  and  $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{I}$  (the identity matrix). Then,

- (a) plot the approximation errors  $\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2$  obtained with the two approaches as functions of  $k$ ; this plot should use the logarithmic scaling for the vertical axis and appear as `figure(1)`,
- (b) plot the all the approximations  $\mathbf{x}_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , produced by the two approaches in the  $(x_1, x_2)$  coordinates; make the region shown on this plot big enough so that *all* points  $\mathbf{x}_k$  are visible also mark the exact solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$ ; this plot should appear as `figure(2)`.

You may want to use the script `script_multi_Newton.m` posted on the course website as the starting point for your implementation.

(3 points)

2. Consider Runge's function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + a^2 x^2}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

which depends on a parameter  $a > 0$ , and its truncated Taylor-series expansion

$$g_N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k x^k, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_N$  are suitable coefficients and  $N = 10$ . Construct `chebfun` objects representing  $f(x)$  and  $g_N(x)$  and then determine by trial-and-error two values of the parameter  $a$  not too different from 1 such that

- (a) the Taylor series  $g_n(x)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , is uniformly convergent to  $f(x)$  as  $n$  increases; plot both  $f(x)$  and  $g_n(x)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$  as functions of  $x$  in **figure(3)** and save the value of  $a$  in the variable **Answer1**,
- (b) the Taylor series  $g_n(x)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , is *not* uniformly convergent to  $f(x)$  as  $n$  increases; plot both  $f(x)$  and  $g_n(x)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$  as functions of  $x$  in **figure(4)** and save the value of  $a$  in the variable **Answer2**.

In both cases compute the approximation error  $\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - g_n(x)|$  and plot it as a function of the number of terms  $n = 0, \dots, N$  in **figure(5)**. This plot should use the logarithmic scaling for the vertical axis, whereas the expression  $\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |\cdot|$  can be evaluated using `chebfun` function `norm(., inf)`.

(4 points)

3. You are given the function  $f(x) = x \cos(x^2)$  in the interval  $\Omega = [-\pi, \pi]$  which is discretized using a uniform mesh with  $N + 1$  grid points (take  $x_0 = -\pi$  and  $x_N = \pi$ ).

- (a) consider the Vandermonde approach to interpolation and determine the value of  $N$  for which the condition number  $\kappa$  (obtained using MATLAB function `cond`) of the interpolation matrix exceeds the threshold  $E = 10^6$ ; write out this value of  $N$ ,
- (b) calculate the Lagrange interpolating polynomials in the case when  $N = 4$  and plot them using different colors and the step size  $h = x_{i+1} - x_i = \frac{\pi}{50}$  in **figure(6)**,
- (c) plot the function  $f(x)$  together with its interpolants constructed using the Lagrange polynomials with  $N = 4$  (in **figure(7)**) and  $N = 16$  (in **figure(8)**); all functions should be plotted for  $x \in \Omega$  using the same step size  $h$  as above; mark the interpolation points  $\{x_i, f(x_i)\}_{i=0}^N$  with symbols.

(3 points)