

## PART III

# Weak Formulation of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM I

- Assume that  $\Omega$  is an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and its boundary  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$  is Lipschitz continuous
- Consider an example **Boundary Value Problem** (the Poisson equation)

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

- Given  $f \in C(\Omega)$ , a **classical solution** of the above problem is a function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  which satisfies the above equation and the boundary conditions **pointwise**
- Note that existence of such classical solutions for more general problems is hard to show ....
- Introduction of **weak solutions** allow one to remove some of the high smoothness requirements

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM II

- Multiply the equation by a **smooth test function**  $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and integrate over the domain  $\Omega$ , then use integration by parts

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u v \, dx = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx}_{\star} = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$$

Note that the boundary term  $\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v \, d\sigma = 0$ , since  $v \equiv 0$  on  $\Gamma$ .

- The equation ( $\star$ ) makes sense for much weaker assumptions:
  - $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , so as the test function we can take any  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$
  - For the RHS it is enough to assume that  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega) = (H_0^1(\Omega))'$
- Thus, the **weak formulation** of the boundary value problem becomes

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM III

- Relation between classical and weak solutions:
  - classical solutions are also weak solutions
  - the converse is not true, unless extra regularity is added

- Set  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  and define:

- a **bilinear form**  $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx, \quad u, v \in V$$

- a linear functional  $l : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$l(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v dx, \quad v \in V$$

- Then the weak formulation of the problem is to find  $u \in V$  such that

$$a(u, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in V$$

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM IV

- Define the following differential operator  $A$  associated with the boundary value problem as

$$A : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega), \quad \langle Au, v \rangle = a(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the **duality pairing** between  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , i.e.,  $l(v) = \langle l, v \rangle$  for  $l \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

- Then the weak formulation of the boundary value problem can be rewritten as a linear operator equation in a **dual space**

$$Au = l, \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega)$$

- Thus, the formalism of weak formulation allows one to convert a differential equation to an equality of functionals
- Note that the weak formulation does not explicitly state the boundary conditions (they are incorporated into the definition of the function spaces)
- Weak formulations directly lead to **Galerkin-type** numerical methods

## LAX–MILGRAM LEMMA I

- Theorem — There exists a one-to-one correspondence between linear continuous operators  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  and continuous bilinear forms  $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by the formula

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = a(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in V$$

Consequently, properties of **elliptic boundary value problems** defined with  $A$  can be studied using the properties of the bilinear form  $a$

- Definition — The operator  $A$  (resp. the bilinear form  $a$ ) is said to be  **$V$ -elliptic** iff  $\langle Av, v \rangle \geq \alpha \|v\|_V^2, \forall v \in V$  (resp.  $a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_V^2, \forall v \in V$ )
- Theorem — Assume that  $K$  is a non-empty closed subspace of the Hilbert space  $V$ ,  $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bilinear, **symmetric**, bounded and  $V$ -elliptic,  $l \in V'$ . Let

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) - l(v), \quad v \in V$$

Then there exists a unique  $u \in K$  such that  $E(u) = \inf_{v \in K} E(v)$  which is also the unique solution of the equation

$$u \in K, \quad a(u, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in K$$

## LAX–MILGRAM LEMMA II

- Note that, in practice, the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  may not necessarily be symmetric; The Lax–Milgram Lemma is essential for proving existence and uniqueness of certain operator equations
- Lax–Milgram Lemma - Assume  $V$  is a Hilbert space,  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a bounded,  $V$ –elliptic bilinear form on  $V$  and  $l \in V'$ . Then there is a unique solution of the problem

$$u \in V, \quad a(u, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in V$$

- Illustration — consider the case  $V = \mathbb{R}$  and a simple linear equation with the corresponding weak formulation

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad & ax = l, \\ x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad & axy = ly, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

To ensure existence of solutions we need:

- $0 < a < \infty$ , i.e., the bilinear form  $a(x, y) = axy$  must be continuous and  $\mathbb{R}$ –elliptic,
- $|l| < \infty$ , i.e., the linear functional  $l(y) = ly$  must be bounded

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM V NON-HOMOGENEOUS DIRICHLET BCs

- Consider the problem 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g, & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$
- Assume that  $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ ; since (by the [Trace Theorem](#))  $\gamma(H^1(\Omega)) = H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ , we have the existence of a function  $G \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\gamma G = g$
- Thus, we can set  $u = w + G$ , where  $w$  solves the problem with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = f + \Delta G, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

- The corresponding weak formulation is

$$w \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (fv - \nabla G \cdot \nabla v) \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Existence of a solution follows from the Lax–Milgram Lemma.



## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM VI NON-HOMOGENEOUS NEUMANN BCs

- Consider the Helmholtz equation (the corresponding Poisson equation has nonunique solutions)

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g, & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

Note that the classical solution, if exists,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$

- The weak formulation is

$$u \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v + uv) dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx + \int_{\Gamma} g v d\sigma, \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

- Assuming  $V = H^1(\Omega)$  and

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v + uv) dx,$$

$$l(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v dx + \int_{\Gamma} g v d\sigma$$

we can apply the Lax–Milgram lemma which guarantees existence of the weak solutions.

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM VII GENERAL ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

- Consider a general elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_j(A_{ij}\partial_i u) + B_i\partial_i u + Cu = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ R_{ij}(\partial_i u)n_j = g, & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \end{cases}$$

where the boundary  $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}_D \cup \bar{\Gamma}_N$  with  $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$

- The following assumptions are made regarding the data:
  - $A_{ij}, B_j, C \in L^\infty(\Omega)$
  - $\exists \theta > 0 : A_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \geq \theta|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$
  - $f \in L^2(\Omega), g \in L^2(\Gamma_N)$

## A MODEL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM VIII GENERAL ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

- The weak formulation is obtained by setting  $V = H_{\Gamma_D}^1(\Omega)$  and

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} (A_{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j v + B_i (\partial_i u) v + Cuv) dx,$$

$$l(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} g v d\sigma$$

- Existence of weak solutions again follows from the application of the Lax–Milgram Lemma

## THE GALERKIN METHOD I

- **The Galerkin Method** provides a natural framework for finding finite-dimensional approximation of weak solutions of elliptic boundary value problems
- Assume that  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is
  - bounded (i.e.,  $|a(u, v)| \leq M \|u\|_V \|v\|_V, \forall u, v \in V$ ), and
  - $V$ -elliptic (i.e.,  $a(v, v) \geq c_0 \|v\|_V^2, \forall v \in V$ )

- Given an  $N$ -dimensional subspace  $V_N \subseteq V$ , consider the problem

$$u_N \in V_N, \quad a(u_N, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in V_N$$

- With the above assumptions, Lax–Milgram Lemma guarantees existence of an unique solution  $u_N$

## THE GALERKIN METHOD II

- How to find  $u_N$  in practice?
- Consider a set of basis functions  $\{\phi\}_{i=1}^N$  such that  $V_N = \text{span}\{\phi\}_{i=1}^N$  and set

$$u_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j \phi_j$$

In terms of  $v$  take the basis functions  $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, N$

- The weak formulation becomes equivalent to a linear algebraic system

$$A\xi = \mathbf{b},$$

where:

- $\xi = (\xi_j) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is the vector of unknown coefficients,
  - $A = (a(\phi_i, \phi_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  is the **stiffness matrix**
  - $\mathbf{b} = (l(\phi_i)) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is the **load vector**
- Approximate solutions with increasing accuracy can be calculated by considering a sequence of nested spaces  $V_{N_1} \subseteq V_{N_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V$

## THE GALERKIN METHOD III

- The **Ritz–Galerkin Method** can be used when the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $a(u, v) = a(v, u)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in V$
- Evidently, the original problem is equivalent to the minimization problem

$$u \in V, \quad E(u) = \inf_{v \in V} E(v),$$

where the **energy functional** is  $E(v) = \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) - l(v)$ .

- Note that by considering the directional (Gâteaux) differential of  $E(v)$  we obtain

$$E'(u; u') = a(u, u') - l(u') = 0$$

as the necessary condition for optimality

- In the finite–dimensional setting  $V_N \subseteq V$  we have

$$u_N \in V_N, \quad E(u_N) = \inf_{v \in V_N} E(v),$$

which can be solved using standard minimization techniques.

- When  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric, the Galerkin and Ritz–Galerkin methods are equivalent.

## THE GALERKIN METHOD IV

- As regards **error estimation** in the Galerkin method, the key result is **Céa's inequality**
- Lemma — Assume  $V$  is a Hilbert space,  $V_N \subseteq V$  is a subspace,  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a bounded,  $V$ -elliptic bilinear on  $V$ , and  $l \in V'$ . Let  $u \in V$  be the solution of the problem

$$u \in V, \quad a(u, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in V$$

and  $u_N \in V_N$  be the Galerkin approximation defined in

$$u_N \in V_N, \quad a(u_N, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in V_N$$

Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u - u_N\|_V \leq C \inf_{v \in V_N} \|u - v\|_V$$

## THE GALERKIN METHOD V

- *Proof of Céa's Lemma —*
  - *Subtracting the equation for  $u_N$  from that for  $u$  and taking  $v \in V_N$  we get  $a(u - u_N, v) = 0, \forall v \in V_N$*
  - *Using this relation together with  $V$ -ellipticity and boundedness of  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  we get*

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 \|u - u_N\|_V^2 &\leq a(u - u_N, u - u_N) \\ &= a(u - u_N, u - v) \leq M \|u - u_N\|_V \|u - v\|_V \end{aligned}$$

*Thus  $\|u - u_N\|_V \leq C \|u - v\|_V$  for any arbitrary  $v \in V_N$  ■*

- Therefore, to estimate the error of the Galerkin solution, it is sufficient to estimate the approximation error  $\inf_{v \in V_N} \|u - v\|_V$
- When  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric, it defines an inner product on  $V$  whose associated norm  $\|v\|_a = \sqrt{a(v, v)}$  is equivalent to  $\|v\|_V$ . With respect to this new inner product the error of the Galerkin solution  $u - u_N$  is orthogonal to  $V_N$



## THE GALERKIN METHOD VI

- Consequences of Céa's Lemma for convergence analysis
- Proposition — Make the assumptions stated in Céa's Lemma. Assume additionally that  $V_{N_1} \subseteq V_{N_2} \subseteq \dots$  is a sequence of subspaces of  $V$  with the property

$$\overline{\bigcup_{i \geq 1} V_{N_i}} = V$$

The the Galerkin method converges, i.e.,

$$\|u - u_{N_i}\|_V \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

- *Proof* — By the above (density) assumption we can find a sequence  $u_i \in V_{N_i}$ ,  $i \geq 1$  such that

$$\|u - u_i\|_V \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

*Applying Céa's inequality we have*

$$\|u - u_{N_i}\|_V \leq C \|u - u_i\|_V$$



## THE PETROV–GALERKIN METHOD I

- Sometimes the solution  $u$  and the test function  $v$  may belong to different Hilbert spaces, respectively,  $U$  and  $V$
- Given  $a : U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $l \in V'$ , the boundary value problem may have the following weak form

$$u \in U \quad a(u, v) = l(v), \quad \forall v \in V$$

Existence of solutions of this problem is addressed by the **generalized Lax–Milgram Lemma**

- Such problem can be solved approximately using the **Petrov–Galerkin** method given by

$$u_N \in U_N \quad a(u_N, v_N) = l(v_N), \quad \forall v_N \in V_N,$$

where  $U_N \subseteq U$ ,  $V_N \subseteq V$ , and  $\dim(U_N) = \dim(V_N) = N$ .

- The Petrov–Galerkin method can be proven to be convergent, if certain compatibility conditions for the spaces  $U_N$  and  $V_N$  are satisfied (the Babuška–Brezzi conditions)