

Metastability and Model Theory

José Iovino

ASL North American Annual Meeting
Boise State University
March, 2017

The talk will be divided into four sections.

Section 1 Historical background.

Section 2 Uniform metastability

Section 3 Metastable convergence theorems.

Section 4 Metastability and compactness.

Ongoing research in collaboration with Eduardo Duenez (supported by NSF grant DMS-1500615)

The last result is part of ongoing research with Eduardo Dueñez and Xavier Caicedo (partially supported by NSF grant DMS-11445110).

A *measure preserving system* is a structure of the form (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, T) , where (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) is a probability space and $T : (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is a probability space isomorphism. In particular,

- ▶ T is invertible,
- ▶ T and T^{-1} are measurable,
- ▶ $\mu(T^n E) = \mu(T(E))$ for every $E \in \mathcal{X}$ and every integer n .

We are interested in recurrence properties of sets $E \in \mathcal{X}$, or functions $f \in L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$.

A *measure preserving system* is a structure of the form (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, T) , where (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) is a probability space and $T : (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is a probability space isomorphism. In particular,

- ▶ T is invertible,
- ▶ T and T^{-1} are measurable,
- ▶ $\mu(T^n E) = \mu(T(E))$ for every $E \in \mathcal{X}$ and every integer n .

We are interested in recurrence properties of sets $E \in \mathcal{X}$, or functions $f \in L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$.

Poincaré recurrence theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, T) be a measure-preserving system, and let $E \in \mathcal{X}$ be such that $\mu(E) > 0$. Then,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E \cap T^n E) \geq \mu(E)^2.$$

In particular, $\mu(E \cap T^n E) > 0$, for infinitely many n .

Von Neumann ergodic theorem (1932)

Let $U : H \rightarrow H$ be a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space H . Then the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n v$$

exists for every $v \in H$. Moreover, the limit equals $\pi(v)$, where π is the orthogonal projection from H onto the closed subspace $\{v \in H : Uv = v\}$ consisting of all U -invariant vectors.

Von Neumann ergodic theorem (1932)

Let $U : H \rightarrow H$ be a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space H . Then the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n v$$

exists for every $v \in H$. Moreover, the limit equals $\pi(v)$, where π is the orthogonal projection from H onto the closed subspace $\{v \in H : Uv = v\}$ consisting of all U -invariant vectors.

Corollary (Mean ergodic theorem)

Let (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, T) be a measure-preserving system. Then the limit of averages

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n f$$

exists for every $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$

Furstenberg's proof of Szemerédi's theorem (1977) and its subsequent refinement by Furstenberg and Katznelson (1978) suggested at least three possible directions of generalization:

1. Replacing $n \mapsto T^n$ by more general group actions, (i.e., \mathbb{Z} by other groups),
2. Considering polynomial, rather than linear actions,
3. Establishing uniform bounds for convergence.

For this talk we will restrict our attention to (3). Let us first start with a well-known example:

Furstenberg's proof of Szemerédi's theorem (1977) and its subsequent refinement by Furstenberg and Katznelson (1978) suggested at least three possible directions of generalization:

1. Replacing $n \mapsto T^n$ by more general group actions, (i.e., \mathbb{Z} by other groups),
2. Considering polynomial, rather than linear actions,
3. Establishing uniform bounds for convergence.

For this talk we will restrict our attention to (3). Let us first start with a well-known example:

Furstenberg's proof of Szemerédi's theorem (1977) and its subsequent refinement by Furstenberg and Katznelson (1978) suggested at least three possible directions of generalization:

1. Replacing $n \mapsto T^n$ by more general group actions, (i.e., \mathbb{Z} by other groups),
2. Considering polynomial, rather than linear actions,
3. Establishing uniform bounds for convergence.

For this talk we will restrict our attention to (3). Let us first start with a well-known example:

Furstenberg's proof of Szemerédi's theorem (1977) and its subsequent refinement by Furstenberg and Katznelson (1978) suggested at least three possible directions of generalization:

1. Replacing $n \mapsto T^n$ by more general group actions, (i.e., \mathbb{Z} by other groups),
2. Considering polynomial, rather than linear actions,
3. Establishing uniform bounds for convergence.

For this talk we will restrict our attention to (3). Let us first start with a well-known example:

Furstenberg's proof of Szemerédi's theorem (1977) and its subsequent refinement by Furstenberg and Katznelson (1978) suggested at least three possible directions of generalization:

1. Replacing $n \mapsto T^n$ by more general group actions, (i.e., \mathbb{Z} by other groups),
2. Considering polynomial, rather than linear actions,
3. Establishing uniform bounds for convergence.

For this talk we will restrict our attention to (3). Let us first start with a well-known example:

Furstenberg multiple recurrence theorem

Theorem (Furstenberg, 1977)

Let (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, T) be a measure-preserving system. Then for every set E of positive measure and every positive integer k there exists $n > 0$ such that

$$E \cap T^{-n}E \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)n}E \neq \emptyset.$$

Uniform Furstenberg multiple recurrence theorem

Theorem (Bergelson, Host, McCutcheon, Parreau, 2000)

For every positive integer k and every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon(k, \delta) > 0$ with the following property: For every measure-preserving system (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, T) and every measurable set E with $\mu(E) \geq \delta$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mu(E \cap T^n E \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)n} E) \geq \epsilon(k, \delta),$$

for all $N \geq 1$.

The norm convergence problem for several commuting transformations

Theorem (Tao, 2007)

If (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) is a probability space and $T_1, \dots, T_k : X \rightarrow X$ are commuting measure-preserving transformations, then for any bounded measurable functions $f_1, \dots, f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the multiple averages

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T_1^n f_1 \dots T_k^n f_k$$

converge in the $L^2(X)$ norm topology (and hence in probability) as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

- ▶ The case $k = 1$ is Von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem
- ▶ The case $k = 2$: Conze and Lesigne (1983)
- ▶ The case for higher l was established by Frantzikinakis and Kra (2005) under additional hypothesis for the operators T_i .
- ▶ The case $T_i = T^i$: Host-Kra (2005), Ziegler (2007)

Remark: Tao's argument does not establish a formula for the limit of the sequence of averages. He rather proves that the sequence converges indirectly, by showing that it is Cauchy in $L^2(X)$.

For this, he introduces the concept of **metastability** of sequences and **metastable convergence**. A crucial component of his proof is his **Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem**.

The concept of metastability has been studied from the perspective of computable analysis by Avigad-Dean-Rute, Avigad-Towsner, Kohlenbach, Kohlenbach-Leustea, Kohlenbach-Safarik, Körnlein-Kohlenbach, and Schade-Kohlenbach.

Remark: Tao's argument does not establish a formula for the limit of the sequence of averages. He rather proves that the sequence converges indirectly, by showing that it is Cauchy in $L^2(X)$.

For this, he introduces the concept of **metastability** of sequences and **metastable convergence**. A crucial component of his proof is his **Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem**.

The concept of metastability has been studied from the perspective of computable analysis by Avigad-Dean-Rute, Avigad-Towsner, Kohlenbach, Kohlenbach-Leustea, Kohlenbach-Safarik, Körnlein-Kohlenbach, and Schade-Kohlenbach.

Remark: Tao's argument does not establish a formula for the limit of the sequence of averages. He rather proves that the sequence converges indirectly, by showing that is Cauchy in $L^2(X)$.

For this, he introduces the concept of **metastability** of sequences and **metastable convergence**. A crucial component of his proof is his **Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem**.

The concept of metastability has been studied from the perspective of computable analysis by Avigad-Dean-Rute, Avigad-Towsner, Kohlenbach, Kohlenbach-Leustea, Kohlenbach-Safarik, Körnlein-Kohlenbach, and Schade-Kohlenbach.

Walsh's convergence theorem

Theorem (Walsh, 2012)

Let (X, μ) be a measure space with a measure-preserving action of a nilpotent group G . Let $g_1, \dots, g_k : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ be polynomial sequences in G (i.e. each g_i is of the form $g_i(n) = a_{i,1}^{p_{i,1}(n)} \dots a_{i,j}^{p_{i,j}(n)}$ for some $a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,j} \in G$ and polynomials $p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,j} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$). Then for any $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, the averages

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (g_1(n)f_1) \dots (g_k(n)f_k)$$

converge in $L^2(X, \mu)$ norm as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $g(n)f(x) := f(g(n)^{-1}x)$.

Remarks:

- ▶ Walsh's argument, like Tao's, relies heavily on *metastability*.
- ▶ Nilpotence plays a crucial role in Walsh's proof. A key part of his argument uses Leibman's theory of polynomials maps of groups (1998–2002), which relies heavily on nilpotence. Nilpotence is widely regarded as the *non plus ultra* condition ensuring L^2 -convergence of multiple ergodic averages.

Definitions: Samplings and metastability rates

Definition

A *sampling* of the totally ordered set $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ is a function

$$\eta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

such that $\eta(n) \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of all samplings of \mathbb{N} will be denoted $\text{Smpl}(\mathbb{N})$.

To each sampling η there corresponds the collection of intervals $[n, \eta(n)] \subset \mathbb{N}$, one for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A *rate of metastability* is a family

$$E_{\bullet} = (E_{\epsilon, \eta}) \subset \mathbb{N}$$

of natural numbers, one for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\eta \in \text{Smpl}(\mathbb{N})$.

Definitions: Samplings and metastability rates

Definition

A *sampling* of the totally ordered set $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ is a function

$$\eta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

such that $\eta(n) \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of all samplings of \mathbb{N} will be denoted $\text{Smpl}(\mathbb{N})$.

To each sampling η there corresponds the collection of intervals $[n, \eta(n)] \subset \mathbb{N}$, one for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A *rate of metastability* is a family

$$E_{\bullet} = (E_{\epsilon, \eta}) \subset \mathbb{N}$$

of natural numbers, one for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\eta \in \text{Smpl}(\mathbb{N})$.

Metastability for sequences with a given rate

Definition ([Tao])

For each sampling η and $\epsilon > 0$ let $E_{\epsilon, \eta} \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

- ▶ A sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a metric space (X, d) is $[\epsilon, \eta]$ -metastable (with bound $E_{\epsilon, \eta}$) if there exists n (no larger than $E_{\epsilon, \eta}$) such that

$$d(a_m, a_{m'}) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for all } m, m' \in [n, \eta(n)].$$

- ▶ A sequence is *metastable* (with rate E_\bullet) if it is $[\epsilon, \eta]$ -metastable (with bound $E_{\epsilon, \eta}$) for every sampling η and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Remarks

- ▶ *In general, metastability (with specified rate) is a relaxation of the Cauchy property by restricting to finite sub-tails of (a_n) .*
- ▶ *When no rates are specified, we have:*
 - ▶ *(a_n) is metastable $\Leftrightarrow (a_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

Metastability for sequences with a given rate

Definition ([Tao])

For each sampling η and $\epsilon > 0$ let $E_{\epsilon, \eta} \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

- ▶ A sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a metric space (X, d) is $[\epsilon, \eta]$ -metastable (with bound $E_{\epsilon, \eta}$) if there exists n (no larger than $E_{\epsilon, \eta}$) such that

$$d(a_m, a_{m'}) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for all } m, m' \in [n, \eta(n)].$$

- ▶ A sequence is *metastable* (with rate E_\bullet) if it is $[\epsilon, \eta]$ -metastable (with bound $E_{\epsilon, \eta}$) for every sampling η and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Remarks

- ▶ *In general, metastability (with specified rate) is a relaxation of the Cauchy property by restricting to finite sub-tails of (a_n) .*
- ▶ *When no rates are specified, we have:*
 - ▶ (a_n) is metastable $\Leftrightarrow (a_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence.

A Uniform Metastability Principle (UMP)

Proposition (Uniform Metastability Principle [Duenez-I])

Let T be a uniform theory in a signature L such that:

- ▶ L names a sort interpreted as a (discrete) linearly ordered set $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ elementarily extending $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ in models of T , and
- ▶ L includes a symbol $a(\cdot)$ for a function $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the following properties are equivalent:

1. All classical sequences $(a(n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by interpreting $a(\cdot)$ in models of the theory T are Cauchy.
2. There exists a collection $E_{\bullet} = (E_{\epsilon, \eta})$ of metastability rates that applies uniformly to all such sequences.

Furthermore, when these properties hold, the rate E_{\bullet} depends only on the theory T .

Some remarks about the UMP

- ▶ The UMP follows directly from the compactness theorem for first-order continuous logic.
- ▶ It holds for any logic for metric structures that is countably compact.
- ▶ \mathbb{N} can be replaced by any directed set (hence it holds for nets, rather than just sequences).
- ▶ It essentially states that metastable convergence with a prescribed rate is the only way to capture convergence in first-order continuous logic.

Moreover, the UMP implies the following metatheorem:

“Whenever a theorem about convergence of sequences applies to a class of complete metric structures axiomatizable in continuous first-order logic, then the theorem admits a refinement as a statement about uniformly metastable convergence.”

We now switch to applications of this metatheorem.

Some remarks about the UMP

- ▶ The UMP follows directly from the compactness theorem for first-order continuous logic.
- ▶ It holds for any logic for metric structures that is countably compact.
- ▶ \mathbb{N} can be replaced by any directed set (hence it holds for nets, rather than just sequences).
- ▶ It essentially states that metastable convergence with a prescribed rate is the only way to capture convergence in first-order continuous logic.

Moreover, the UMP implies the following metatheorem:

“Whenever a theorem about convergence of sequences applies to a class of complete metric structures axiomatizable in continuous first-order logic, then the theorem admits a refinement as a statement about uniformly metastable convergence.”

We now switch to applications of this metatheorem.

Some remarks about the UMP

- ▶ The UMP follows directly from the compactness theorem for first-order continuous logic.
- ▶ It holds for any logic for metric structures that is countably compact.
- ▶ \mathbb{N} can be replaced by any directed set (hence it holds for nets, rather than just sequences).
- ▶ It essentially states that metastable convergence with a prescribed rate is the only way to capture convergence in first-order continuous logic.

Moreover, the UMP implies the following metatheorem:

“Whenever a theorem about convergence of sequences applies to a class of complete metric structures axiomatizable in continuous first-order logic, then the theorem admits a refinement as a statement about uniformly metastable convergence.”

We now switch to applications of this metatheorem.

Some remarks about the UMP

- ▶ The UMP follows directly from the compactness theorem for first-order continuous logic.
- ▶ It holds for any logic for metric structures that is countably compact.
- ▶ \mathbb{N} can be replaced by any directed set (hence it holds for nets, rather than just sequences).
- ▶ It essentially states that metastable convergence with a prescribed rate is the only way to capture convergence in first-order continuous logic.

Moreover, the UMP implies the following metatheorem:

“Whenever a theorem about convergence of sequences applies to a class of complete metric structures axiomatizable in continuous first-order logic, then the theorem admits a refinement as a statement about uniformly metastable convergence.”

We now switch to applications of this metatheorem.

Some remarks about the UMP

- ▶ The UMP follows directly from the compactness theorem for first-order continuous logic.
- ▶ It holds for any logic for metric structures that is countably compact.
- ▶ \mathbb{N} can be replaced by any directed set (hence it holds for nets, rather than just sequences).
- ▶ It essentially states that metastable convergence with a prescribed rate is the only way to capture convergence in first-order continuous logic.

Moreover, the UMP implies the following metatheorem:

“Whenever a theorem about convergence of sequences applies to a class of complete metric structures axiomatizable in continuous first-order logic, then the theorem admits a refinement as a statement about uniformly metastable convergence.”

We now switch to applications of this metatheorem.

Some remarks about the UMP

- ▶ The UMP follows directly from the compactness theorem for first-order continuous logic.
- ▶ It holds for any logic for metric structures that is countably compact.
- ▶ \mathbb{N} can be replaced by any directed set (hence it holds for nets, rather than just sequences).
- ▶ It essentially states that metastable convergence with a prescribed rate is the only way to capture convergence in first-order continuous logic.

Moreover, the UMP implies the following metatheorem:

“Whenever a theorem about convergence of sequences applies to a class of complete metric structures axiomatizable in continuous first-order logic, then the theorem admits a refinement as a statement about uniformly metastable convergence.”

We now switch to applications of this metatheorem.

DCT structures (Dominated Convergence Theorem)

Let T_{DCT} be the theory (in a suitable signature L) of all structures of the form $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{N}, <), (X, \mu), (\mathcal{L}^\infty(X), \int), \varphi_\bullet)$, where

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ is the totally ordered set of natural numbers,
- ▶ (X, μ) is a finite measure space,
- ▶ $\varphi_\bullet : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B_1(\mathcal{L}^\infty(X))$ is a sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$.

Definition

A *DCT structure* is a countably saturated model $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{N}, <), (X, \mu), (\mathcal{L}_X, \int), \varphi_\bullet)$ of T_{DCT} .

Remark

For all practical purposes (by proxy of a construction analogous to that of Loeb measure in nonstandard analysis) \aleph_1 -saturation implies that the sort (X, μ, \int) of a DCT structure is a classical, countably additive probability space and \int is classical integration of functions $f \in \mathcal{L}_X$.

Tao's Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem

Theorem (Dominated Convergence Theorem (DCT))

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, (\mathcal{N}, <), (X, \mu), (\mathcal{L}_X, \int), \varphi_\bullet)$ be a DCT structure. If $(\varphi_n(x))$ is Cauchy for almost all $x \in X$, then $(\int \varphi_n(x) d\mu(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy.

Since DCT structures are *bona fide* measure spaces endowed with classical integration, the usual proof of DCT applies.

Corollary (Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem [Tao, 2008])

J For every metastability rate E_\bullet , there exists another metastability rate \widetilde{E}_\bullet such that whenever E_\bullet is a metastability rate for the sequences $(\varphi_n(x))$ in $[-1, 1]$, for almost all x in a finite measure space (X, μ) , then \widetilde{E}_\bullet is a metastability rate for $(\int \varphi_n(x) d\mu(x))$.

Proof.

Extend T_{DCT} to T' by adding the first-order axioms stating that $(\varphi_n(x))$ is E_\bullet -metastable for almost all x . Every model \mathcal{M} of T' embeds into a (countably saturated) DCT structure for which DCT holds. By UMP, some metastability rate \widetilde{E}_\bullet must apply to all sequences $(\int \varphi_n(x) d\mu(x))$. \square

Tao's Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem

Theorem (Dominated Convergence Theorem (DCT))

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, (\mathcal{N}, <), (X, \mu), (\mathcal{L}_X, \int), \varphi_\bullet)$ be a DCT structure. If $(\varphi_n(x))$ is Cauchy for almost all $x \in X$, then $(\int \varphi_n(x) d\mu(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy.

Since DCT structures are *bona fide* measure spaces endowed with classical integration, the usual proof of DCT applies.

Corollary (Metastable Dominated Convergence Theorem [Tao, 2008])

J For every metastability rate E_\bullet , there exists another metastability rate \widetilde{E}_\bullet such that whenever E_\bullet is a metastability rate for the sequences $(\varphi_n(x))$ in $[-1, 1]$, for almost all x in a finite measure space (X, μ) , then \widetilde{E}_\bullet is a metastability rate for $(\int \varphi_n(x) d\mu(x))$.

Proof.

Extend T_{DCT} to T' by adding the first-order axioms stating that $(\varphi_n(x))$ is E_\bullet -metastable for almost all x . Every model \mathcal{M} of T' embeds into a (countably saturated) DCT structure for which DCT holds. By UMP, some metastability rate \widetilde{E}_\bullet must apply to all sequences $(\int \varphi_n(x) d\mu(x))$. □

Metastable Mean Ergodic Theorem

Theorem (Mean Ergodic Theorem (von Neumann 1932))

Given a unitary transformation U on a Hilbert space H and a point $x \in H$, the sequence

$$AV_N(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} U^k x \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

of ergodic averages converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary (Metastable Mean Ergodic Theorem)

There exists a **universal metastability rate** E_\bullet such that the sequence of ergodic averages $(AV_N(x))$ of any point x in the unit ball of any Hilbert space H under any unitary operator U on H is E_\bullet -metastable.

Ergodic almost-everywhere convergence

Proposition (Metastable Birkhoff ergodic theorem)

For every $\delta > 0$ there exists a rate $E_{\bullet}^{(\delta)}$ such that if T is measure-preserving on a probability space (X, μ) , then for every measurable f such that $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ there exists measurable $Y \subset X$ such that

- ▶ $\mu(X \setminus Y) \leq \delta$, and
- ▶ $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j < n} f \circ T^j(y)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise with metastable rate $E_{\bullet}^{(\delta)}$ for all $y \in Y$.

Remarks

- ▶ Apart from the dependence on δ , the rate $E_{\bullet}^{(\delta)}$ is completely *universal* (independent of (X, μ, T)).
 - ▶ This should be contrasted with the almost-uniform convergence implied by Egorov's theorem, where the rates of uniform convergence depend not only on δ but also on the transformation T .
- ▶ In this formulation, it is necessary to impose a bound on $\|f\|_{\infty}$ (not merely on $\|f\|_1$).

Metastability and compactness

As we have seen the Uniform Metastability Principle (UMP) is a consequence of the compactness of first-order continuous logic. In fact, it holds in any logic for metric structures that satisfies countable compactness.

Question (Tao)

Is there a precise connection between the metastability and compactness?

PC-classes

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of L -structures.

\mathcal{C} is said to be a *PC-class* if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by a single sentence in some signature $L' \supseteq L$.

Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is a PC-class if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by an existential second-order L -sentence.

This definition applies to any logic: Given a logic \mathcal{L} one can consider the PC-classes of \mathcal{L} .

Fact

If \mathcal{L} is a compact logic, then the logic of existential second-order sentences of \mathcal{L} inherits compactness from \mathcal{L} .

PC-classes

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of L -structures.

\mathcal{C} is said to be a *PC-class* if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by a single sentence in some signature $L' \supseteq L$.

Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is a PC-class if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by an existential second-order L -sentence.

This definition applies to any logic: Given a logic \mathcal{L} one can consider the PC-classes of \mathcal{L} .

Fact

If \mathcal{L} is a compact logic, then the logic of existential second-order sentences of \mathcal{L} inherits compactness from \mathcal{L} .

PC-classes

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of L -structures.

\mathcal{C} is said to be a *PC-class* if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by a single sentence in some signature $L' \supseteq L$.

Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is a PC-class if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by an existential second-order L -sentence.

This definition applies to any logic: Given a logic \mathcal{L} one can consider the PC-classes of \mathcal{L} .

Fact

If \mathcal{L} is a compact logic, then the logic of existential second-order sentences of \mathcal{L} inherits compactness from \mathcal{L} .

PC-classes

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of L -structures.

\mathcal{C} is said to be a *PC-class* if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by a single sentence in some signature $L' \supseteq L$.

Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is a PC-class if \mathcal{C} can be axiomatized by an existential second-order L -sentence.

This definition applies to any logic: Given a logic \mathcal{L} one can consider the PC-classes of \mathcal{L} .

Fact

If \mathcal{L} is a compact logic, then the logic of existential second-order sentences of \mathcal{L} inherits compactness from \mathcal{L} .

An L -structure \mathcal{M} is RPC_{Δ} -characterizable if there exists a predicate R and an existential second-order $L \cup \{R\}$ -theory T such that the restriction of any model of T to the predicate R is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} .

Theorem (X. Caicedo, E. Duenez, I)

Let \mathcal{L} be a logic that is not countably compact. If \mathcal{M} is any a metric structure of cardinality less than the first measurable cardinal, then $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in \mathcal{M}}$ is RPC_{Δ} -characterizable in \mathcal{L} .

This allows us to show that

Uniform Metastability Principle \Leftrightarrow Compactness.

Theorem (X. Caicedo, E. Duenez, I)

Let \mathcal{L} be a logic that is not countably compact. If \mathcal{M} is any a metric structure of cardinality less than the first measurable cardinal, then $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in \mathcal{M}}$ is RPC_{Δ} -characterizable in \mathcal{L} .

This allows us to show that

Uniform Metastability Principle \Leftrightarrow Compactness.

More precisely, we have:

Corollary

Let \mathcal{L} be a logic.

1. If \mathcal{L} is countably compact, then \mathcal{L} satisfies UMP.
2. If \mathcal{L} is not countably compact, then UMP fails for $\text{RPC}_\Delta(\mathcal{L})$.

Main References



Eduardo Dueñez and José Iovino.

Model theory and metric convergence I: Metastability and dominated convergence.

Beyond First Order Model Theory, CRC Press, 2017.



Terence Tao.

Walsh's ergodic theorem, metastability, and external Cauchy convergence.

<http://terrytao.wordpress.com>.



Terence Tao.

Norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages for commuting transformations.

Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 28(2):657–688, 2008.






Miguel N. Walsh.

Norm convergence of nilpotent ergodic averages.

Ann. of Math. (2), 175(3):1667–1688, 2012.

Additional References

-  Jeremy Avigad, Edward T. Dean, and Jason Rute.
A metastable dominated convergence theorem.
J. Log. Anal., 4:Paper 3, 19, 2012.
-  Jeremy Avigad and José Iovino.
Ultraproducts and metastability.
New York J. Math., 19:713–727, 2013.
-  Terence Tao.
Compactness and contradiction.
<http://terrytao.wordpress.com>.