

## Lecture 20

### Recall:

- An estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  for  $\theta$  is unbiased if  $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$ .
- we saw that the sample mean,  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator.
- However,  $\bar{X}$  is not the only unbiased estimator of the mean.
- For example: the following are unbiased estimators for  $\mu$ :
  - the median of a random sample
  - the 10% trimmed mean:  
 $\bar{X}_{tr(10)}$  = the average not including the top 10% and bottom 10% of the data.
- How do we decide what estimator to use?
- Since an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is a RV, the variance

is a measure of how spread out the sampling distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$  is.

- Therefore, if  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are two <sup>unbiased</sup> estimators for  $\theta$ , and  $V(\hat{\theta}_1) \leq V(\hat{\theta}_2)$ , then point estimates of  $\theta$  by  $\hat{\theta}_1$  will, on average, be more likely to be close to  $\theta$ , than point estimates for  $\theta$  via  $\hat{\theta}_2$ .
- This suggests a common method for choosing an estimator: minimize the variance.

Defn: Let  $\theta$  be a parameter. Of all estimators for  $\theta$ , the unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  with minimal variance is called the Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE).

Example: If  $X$  is a RV with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is a random sample of  $X$ , then  $X_1$  and  $\bar{X}$  are both unbiased estimators for  $\mu$ , but  $V(X_1) = \sigma^2$  and  $V(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ .

- There are general techniques for determining MVUE's for parameters but these are beyond the scope of the course.

- One important fact:

Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then  $\bar{X}$  is the MUE for  $\mu$ .

---

- Another way we can choose good estimators is by minimizing error.

- Error is a measurement of how precise an estimator is.

- Given an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$ , the standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $\sqrt{V(\hat{\theta})} = \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$ .

- One issue with this is that the standard error may contain unknown parameters

- if these parameters can be estimated, we can substitute them in to get the estimated standard error, denoted by  $S_{\hat{\theta}}$ ,  $SE(\hat{\theta})$ , or  $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\theta}}$

Ex: Suppose that we are sampling  $N(\mu, \sigma^2) = X$  with a sample size  $n$ . The sample mean  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$ , and  $\bar{X}$  is again normal with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2/n$ . Therefore, the standard error of  $\bar{X}$  is

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \sqrt{V(\bar{X})} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Now, if  $\sigma$  is also an unknown parameter we can substitute an estimator for  $\sigma$  to get the/a estimated standard error of  $\sigma_{\bar{X}}$ ,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{X}} = \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (\text{if } S \text{ is an estimator for } \sigma).$$

## Biased Estimators.

- Sometimes, we have no choice but to a biased estimator (for ex: often use  $S$  as an estimator for  $\sigma$ ).

- when using biased estimators, it is often useful to consider the mean square error. (MSE).

- If  $\hat{\theta}$  is an estimator for  $\theta$ , then we define:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) := E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2]$$

second moment of  $\hat{\theta} - \theta$ .

Note that we can write.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) &= E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}]\right)^2\right] + (\theta - E[\hat{\theta}])^2 \\ &= V(\hat{\theta}) + \left(-\left(E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta\right)\right)^2 \\ &= V(\hat{\theta}) + \underbrace{\left(E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta\right)^2}_{\text{bias}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that this generalizes the (square of) the standard error in the case of unbiased estimators, since if  $E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta = 0$ , then

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2.$$

-The MSE gives a good way of comparing two estimators.

-If  $\theta$  is a parameter and  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are estimators, then the relative efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_2$  to  $\hat{\theta}_1$ , is defined to be

$$\frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_1)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_2)}.$$

If the rel. efficiency is  $< 1$  we say that  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is a more efficient estimator of  $\theta$  than  $\hat{\theta}_2$ , in the sense that it has smaller MSE.

An estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  for  $\theta$  such that

$$\frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta})}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}')} < 1$$

for any  $\theta$ -estimator  $\hat{\theta}' \neq \hat{\theta}$  is called optimal,  
but such estimators rarely exist.