



- Let  $a = \#$  of treatments.

- For the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment, we get a random sample

$$\{Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{in_i}\}$$

where  $n_i$  is the size of the sample for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ). (so  $Y_{ij}$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  observation at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment.

- to simplify, we assume  $n_i = n_j = n$  for all  $i, j$ .

- we assume a "linear statistical model" of the form

$$Y_{ij} = \underbrace{\mu + \tau_i}_{\mu_i} + \epsilon_{ij} \quad \begin{cases} i \in \{1, \dots, a\} \\ j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{cases}$$

↳  $\mu$  is a common mean across all treatments

↳  $\tau_i$  is a parameter associated to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment called the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment effect

↳  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is a random error term.

- we assume that the error terms are independent and identically distributed with normal distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  (this is a simplifying assumption... a completely randomized experiment).

- note: can also write  $\mu_i = \mu + \tau_i$ , where now

$\mu_i$  is the mean of the response associated to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment.

↳ we may therefore think of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment as distributed as  $N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ .

- we assume that the experimenter specifically chose the  $a$  treatments and that they want to test hypotheses about the treatment means  $\mu_i$ , or estimate the treatment effects (a fixed-effects model)

- Goal: develop ANOVA for fixed-effects models.

- we assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i = 0$ .

- we want to test the hypothesis  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_a$ .

- since  $\mu_i = \mu + \tau_i$ , this is equivalent to the test given by

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_a = 0 \quad H_1: \tau_i \neq 0 \text{ for at least one } i.$$

- If  $H_0$  is true, then each observation is sampled from a normal distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- we want to analyze the situation via the ANOVA identity  $SS_T = SS_{\text{treatments}} + SS_E$

- we introduce some notation:

$$y_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij}, \quad \bar{y}_{i\cdot} = y_{i\cdot}/n \quad i=1, \dots, a$$

$$y_{\cdot\cdot} = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij}, \quad \bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot} = y_{\cdot\cdot}/(na)$$

(i.e. "." means sum over that variable).

- the total variability in the data is given by

$$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot})^2$$

- Then

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot})^2}_{SS_T} = \underbrace{n \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot})^2}_{SS_{\text{treatments}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i\cdot})^2}_{SS_E}$$

$\uparrow$   
 $a n - 1$   
 degrees of freedom

$\updownarrow$   
 $a - 1$   
 degrees of freedom

$\uparrow$   
 $a(n-1)$   
 degrees of freedom

- we define the mean square for treatments as

$$MS_{\text{treatments}} = \frac{SS_{\text{treatments}}}{a-1}$$

- we define the mean square for error as

$$MS_{E_i} = \frac{SS_E}{a(n-1)}.$$

- we can show that

$$E(MS_{\text{treatments}}) = \sigma^2 + \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i^2}{a-1}$$

and

$$E(MS_E) = \sigma^2.$$

-  $MS_E$  and  $MS_{\text{treatments}}$  are independent.

- we choose a test statistic:

$$F_0 = \frac{MS_{\text{treatments}}}{MS_E}$$

- If  $H_0$  is true, then  $F_0$  follows an

F-distribution,  $F_{a-1, a(n-1)}$ .

- Note that if  $H_0$  is true, then  $E(MS_{\text{treatments}}) = \sigma^2$ ,

so if  $H_1$  is true,  $E[MS_{\text{treat}}] / E[MS_E] \geq 1$ .

- thus, we want to reject  $H_0$  if we find that  $F_0$  is too large (i.e. a one sided, upper tailed test).

- For a given significance level  $\alpha$ , we reject  $H_0$  iff

$$f_0 > f_{\alpha, a-1, n(a-1)}$$

- Note that computations for this test are often summarized in an ANOVA table:

Source of variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F <sub>0</sub>
Treatments	$SS_{\text{Treatments}}$	$a - 1$	$MS_{\text{Treat}} = \frac{SS_{\text{Treat}}}{a-1}$	$MS_{\text{Treat}} / MS_E$
Error	$SS_E$	$a(n-1)$	$MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{a(n-1)}$	
Total	$SS_T$	$an - 1$		