

# Notes on Banach spaces and Hilbert spaces

J. M. Egger

January 13, 2014

## 1 Summary

The category of Banach spaces and linear contractions, **Ban**, resembles that of vector spaces and linear transformations, **Vec**, except that its structure is finer; by this, I mean that it distinguishes pairs of concepts which collapse to a single concept in **Vec**.

1. **Ban** is complete and co-complete, but products and coproducts coincide only in the nullary case, and in the trivial cases which follow from that.
2. Epis and monos needn't be regular in **Ban**; one has both (regular epi/mono)- and (epi/regular mono)-factorisation systems in **Ban**, in place of a single (epi/mono)-factorisation system as in **Vec**.
3. **Ban** has two monoidal structures generalising tensor product of vector spaces; these correspond to the “tensor” and “par” of linear logic. Both have  $\mathbb{C}$  as unit; hence, there is a natural transformation between them, but its components are invertible only in trivial cases.

In particular, the full subcategory of finite-dimensional Banach spaces form a  $*$ -autonomous category in which tensor and par differ.

Thinking of Hilbert spaces as a particular kind of Banach space, they naturally acquire the structure of a **Ban**-enriched category. But to do justice to the further (also **Ban**-enriched) “dagger” structure, one observes that there is a slightly larger class of generalised Hilbert spaces which also admit the structure of a **Ban**-enriched dagger category. The categorical yoga required to define **gHilb** requires only that **Ban** is a closed involutive monoidal category.

## 2 Basics about Banach spaces

A *norm* on a (real or complex) vector space  $V$  is a function  $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

1.  $\|\alpha + \beta\| \leq \|\alpha\| + \|\beta\|$
2.  $\|\lambda \cdot \gamma\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|\gamma\|$
3.  $\|\alpha\| = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$

If  $\sum \|\alpha_k\| < \infty$ , then the partial sums  $\sum_{k < n} \alpha_k$  form a Cauchy sequence. Hence, in the presence of Cauchy-completeness, one can define  $\sum \alpha_k$  to be the limit of  $\sum_{k < n} \alpha_k$  whenever  $\sum \|\alpha_k\| < \infty$ . Such series are naturally called *norm-convergent*.

A *Banach space*  $P$  is a complex vector space  $p$  together with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_P$  for which  $p$  is Cauchy-complete. The set of vectors of norm  $\leq 1$  is called the *unit ball* of  $P$  and denoted  $\mathcal{U}(P)$ .

$$\mathcal{U}(P) = \{\alpha \in p \mid \|\alpha\|_P \leq 1\} \tag{1}$$

A *linear contraction*  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a linear transformation  $\omega : p \rightarrow q$  satisfying  $\|\omega(\alpha)\|_Q \leq \|\alpha\|_P$ ; equivalently, one which restricts to a map  $\mathcal{U}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(Q)$ . More generally, a *multilinear contraction*  $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n \rightarrow Q$

is a multilinear transformation  $\psi : p_1 \times \dots \times p_n \rightarrow q$  satisfying  $\|\psi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\|_Q \leq \|\alpha_1\|_{P_1} \cdots \|\alpha_n\|_{P_n}$ ; equivalently, one which restricts to a map  $\mathcal{U}(P_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{U}(P_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(Q)$ .

The symmetric multicategory of Banach spaces and multilinear contractions,  $\mathbf{Ban}_{multi}$ , happens to be representable; that is, for every  $n$ -tuple of Banach spaces  $(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ , there exists a universal multilinear contraction  $\otimes : P_1 \times \dots \times P_n \rightarrow P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n$ . Explicitly, for  $n > 0$ ,  $P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n$  is the Cauchy completion of  $p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n$  with respect to the following norm.

$$\|\beta\|_{\otimes} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j < k} \|\alpha_{1,j}\|_{P_1} \cdots \|\alpha_{n,j}\|_{P_n} \mid \beta = \sum_{j < k} \alpha_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{n,j} \right\} \quad (2)$$

(In the nullary case, we take "1" :  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .) Thus the (mere) category of Banach spaces and linear contractions,  $\mathbf{Ban}$ , admits a symmetric monoidal structure defined on objects by the binary and nullary cases of  $\otimes$ .

A bilinear contraction  $\psi : P \times Q \rightarrow R$  can be recast as a bilinear transformation  $\psi : p \times q \rightarrow r$  satisfying

$$\sup \{ \|\psi(\alpha, \beta)\|_R \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U}(P) \} \leq \|\beta\|_Q \quad (3)$$

—hence, the Curry of  $\psi$  (*qua* linear transformation  $q \rightarrow p \multimap r$ ) factors through  $s := \{ \omega \in p \multimap r \mid \|\omega\|_S < \infty \}$ , where

$$\|\omega\|_S := \sup \{ \|\omega(\alpha)\|_R \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U}(P) \}, \quad (4)$$

and is furthermore contractive with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_S$ . It is easily verified that  $s$  and  $\|\cdot\|_S$  form a Banach space, henceforth denoted  $P \multimap R$  or  $R \multimap P$ . Thus the symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathbf{Ban}, \otimes, \mathbb{C})$  is closed.

It happens that a linear transformation  $\omega : p \rightarrow r$  satisfies  $\omega \in P \multimap R$  (*i.e.*,  $\|\omega\|_{P \multimap R} < \infty$ ) if and only if it is continuous with respect to the topologies induced by  $\|\cdot\|_P$  and  $\|\cdot\|_R$ .

### 3 More about Banach spaces

Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}$  defines a functor  $\mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ ; moreover, the universal bilinear contraction  $\otimes : P \times Q \rightarrow P \otimes Q$  restricts to a map  $\mathcal{U}(P) \times \mathcal{U}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(P \otimes Q)$ , which, by abuse of notation, we also denote  $\otimes$ . Then  $(\mathcal{U}, \otimes, 1)$  defines a monoidal functor  $(\mathbf{Ban}, \otimes, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Set}, \times, 1)$ . This has a monoidal left adjoint whose functor part is denoted  $\ell^1$ ; explicitly,  $\ell^1(J) = \{ \alpha : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|\alpha\|_{\ell^1} < \infty \}$ , where  $\|\alpha\|_{\ell^1} = \sum |\alpha_j|$ .

This adjunction may be monoidal, but it is not monadic. Nevertheless, the comparison functor  $\mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}(\mathcal{U}\ell^1)$  is fully faithful and reflective; moreover,  $\mathcal{U}\ell^1$  is  $\aleph_1$ -ary. We can conclude that  $\mathbf{Ban}$  is locally  $\aleph_1$ -presentable—therefore, in particular, complete, co-complete, and not self-dual. However, the full subcategory  $\mathbf{Ban}_{fd}$  of finite-dimensional Banach spaces is  $*$ -autonomous.

The dual tensor product (“par”), defined on  $\mathbf{Ban}_{fd}$  by

$$P \boxtimes Q := (*Q \otimes *P)^* \cong P \multimap *Q,$$

extends to the whole of  $\mathbf{Ban}$ . Explicitly, for arbitrary Banach spaces  $P$  and  $Q$ ,  $P \boxtimes Q$  is the Cauchy completion of  $p \otimes q$  with respect to the following norm.

$$\|\zeta\|_{\boxtimes} = \sup \{ |(\omega \otimes \psi)(\zeta)| \mid \omega \in \mathcal{U}(P \multimap \mathbb{C}), \psi \in \mathcal{U}(Q \multimap \mathbb{C}) \} \quad (5)$$

Whenever  $\zeta \in p \otimes q$  can be written in the form  $\sum_{j < k} \alpha_j \otimes \beta_j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta\|_{\boxtimes} &= \sup \left\{ \left| (\omega \otimes \psi) \left( \sum_{j < k} \alpha_j \otimes \beta_j \right) \right| \mid \omega \in \mathcal{U}(P \multimap \mathbb{C}), \psi \in \mathcal{U}(Q \multimap \mathbb{C}) \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j < k} \omega(\alpha_j) \cdot \psi(\beta_j) \right| \mid \omega \in \mathcal{U}(P \multimap \mathbb{C}), \psi \in \mathcal{U}(Q \multimap \mathbb{C}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{j < k} |\omega(\alpha_j)| \cdot |\psi(\beta_j)| \mid \omega \in \mathcal{U}(P \multimap \mathbb{C}), \psi \in \mathcal{U}(Q \multimap \mathbb{C}) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j < k} \|\alpha_j\| \cdot \|\beta_j\| \end{aligned}$$

—hence  $\|\zeta\|_{\boxtimes} \leq \|\zeta\|_{\otimes} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j < k} \|\alpha_j\| \cdot \|\beta_j\| \mid \zeta = \sum_{j < k} \alpha_j \otimes \beta_j \right\}$ . Thus the identity on  $p \otimes q$  extends to a linear contraction  $\nu : P \otimes Q \rightarrow P \boxtimes Q$ . Curiously,  $\nu$  need not be injective: the “nuclear tensor product” of Banach spaces is defined by  $P \otimes_{nuc} Q = (P \otimes Q) / \ker \nu$ .

Similarly, for arbitrary Banach spaces  $P, Q, R$ , the associativity isomorphism  $p \otimes (q \otimes r) \rightarrow (p \otimes q) \otimes r$  extends to a linear contraction

$$P \otimes (Q \boxtimes R) \rightarrow (P \otimes Q) \boxtimes R$$

generalising the linear distributions which come for free in the finite-dimensional case.

On the subject of which, a linear contraction  $\omega : P \rightarrow Q$  is: mono, if it is injective; regular mono, if it preserves norm; epi, if its range is dense; regular epi, if  $\|\beta\|_Q = \inf \{\|\alpha\|_P \mid \omega(\alpha) = \beta\}$ . So the epi/regular-mono factorisation of  $\omega$  is given by the closure of its range, with the restriction of  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ ; the regular-epi/mono factorisation of  $\omega$  is given by equipping  $P / \ker \omega$  with the appropriate norm. It is important fact that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a regular-injective object in **Ban**—that is, injective wrt regular monos. From this, it follows that the canonical maps  $P \rightarrow (*P)^*$  are regular mono. We recall that an object of a closed monoidal category is called *reflexive* if that map happens to be iso; thus to show that a Banach space  $P$  is reflexive, it suffices to show that the range of  $P \rightarrow (*P)^*$  is dense.

Finally, the conjugate of a complex vector space  $V$ , denoted  $\overline{V}$ , is defined by changing the scalar multiplication of  $V$  and leaving all else the same; similarly also the conjugate of a Banach space;  $V \mapsto \overline{V}$  underlies an endofunctor of **Vec**, and  $P \mapsto \overline{P}$  underlies an endofunctor of **Ban**, in each case defined on arrows by  $\omega \mapsto \omega$ . There is a natural isomorphism  $\underline{\text{span}}(\overline{J}) \rightarrow \text{span}(J)$ , where  $\underline{\text{span}}$  denotes the left adjoint of the forgetful functor **Vec**  $\rightarrow$  **Set**; similarly, there is a natural isomorphism  $\overline{\ell^1(J)} \rightarrow \ell^1(J)$ . Since (arguably) every vector space is isomorphic to one of the form  $\text{span}(J)$ , one can conclude that there is an isomorphism  $\overline{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} V$  for every vector space  $V$ , hence also an isomorphism  $\overline{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} P$  for every Banach space  $P$ . Nevertheless, there is no natural isomorphism  $\overline{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ ; nor a natural isomorphism  $\overline{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} P$ . But there is a coherent natural isomorphism  $\overline{V \otimes W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{W} \otimes \overline{V}$  defined by  $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \beta \otimes \alpha$ ; this induces to coherent natural isomorphisms  $\overline{P \otimes Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{Q} \otimes \overline{P}$  and  $\overline{P \boxtimes Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{Q} \boxtimes \overline{P}$ . Thus  $(\mathbf{Vec}, \otimes, \mathbb{C}, \overline{\phantom{x}})$  is a semitrivial example of an involutive monoidal category, and  $(\mathbf{Ban}, \otimes, \mathbb{C}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{C}, \overline{\phantom{x}})$  that of an involutive linear-distributive category.

## 4 Basics about Hilbert spaces

A *Hermitian form* on a vector space  $V$  is a function  $\langle -, - \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

1.  $\langle \alpha, \beta + \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle$
2.  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \cdot \beta \rangle = \lambda \cdot \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$
3.  $\overline{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$

A Hermitian form is called: *definite*, if  $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$ ; *positive*, if  $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$ . An *inner product* is a Hermitian form which is both positive and definite. The *induced norm* of an inner product is  $\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$ . It is an easy theorem that

$$|\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| \leq \|\alpha\| \cdot \|\beta\| \tag{6}$$

for any inner product (and its induced norm) on any vector space.

A *Hilbert space*  $\mathbf{h}$  is conventionally defined as a vector space  $h$  together with an inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$  such that  $h$  is Cauchy-complete in the induced norm of  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$ . We denote the induced norm of  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{h}}$  (not  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{H}}$ ); the Banach space comprising  $h$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{h}}$  will be called the *induced Banach space* of  $\mathbf{h}$ ,

and it will be denoted  $H$ . The map  $\mathbf{h} \mapsto H$ , together with the canonical self-enrichment of  $\mathbf{Ban}$ , allows us to define a  $(\mathbf{Ban}, \otimes, \mathbb{C})$ -enriched category of Hilbert spaces,  $\mathbf{Hilb}$ . Explicitly,  $\mathbf{Hilb}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) = K \circlearrowleft H$ .

It is commonplace to observe that an inner product on  $h$  may be construed as a bilinear map  $\overline{h} \times h \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ; hence also as a linear map  $\overline{h} \otimes h \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . However, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (6 above) entails that it also defines a bilinear contraction  $\overline{H} \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ; hence also a linear contraction  $\overline{H} \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The latter can be Curried into a linear contraction  $v : \overline{H} \rightarrow {}^*H$ , and, according to the Riesz Representation Theorem, this Curried form is surjective. Now it is easy to show that  $\overline{H} \rightarrow {}^*H$  preserves norm. In fact, for an arbitrary contraction of the form  $\langle -, - \rangle : K \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the assertion that its Curry  $K \rightarrow {}^*H$  preserve norm is equivalent to the assertion

$$(\forall \alpha \in K)(\exists \beta \in H) |\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| = \|\alpha\| \cdot \|\beta\| \quad (7)$$

—so, in the case at hand, one can simply choose  $\beta = \alpha$ . Thus a Hilbert space  $\mathbf{h}$  may be regarded as a Banach space together with a particular sort of isomorphism  $\overline{H} \rightarrow {}^*H$ .

Specifically, the Hermitianness axiom ( $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ ), when expressed diagrammatically,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\overline{H} \otimes H} & \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} & \overline{\mathbb{C}} \\ \uparrow \chi & & \downarrow \overline{(\quad)} \\ \overline{H} \otimes \overline{H} & & \\ \downarrow = & & \\ \overline{H} \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

(where  $\chi$  denotes the isomorphism given by  $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \beta \otimes \alpha$  discussed above) can be Curried as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{H} & \xrightarrow{v} & {}^*H & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{H}^* \\ \parallel & & & & \uparrow v^* \\ H & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & ({}^*H)^* \end{array}$$

So, in particular, a Hilbert space is reflexive as a Banach space.

These facts are what allows us to define an (enriched) dagger structure on  $\mathbf{Hilb}$ . Briefly,  $(\quad)^\dagger : \overline{\mathbf{Hilb}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k})} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{h})$  is defined by

$$\overline{K \circlearrowleft H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{H} \circlearrowleft \overline{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^*H \circlearrowleft {}^*K \xrightarrow{\sim} H \circlearrowleft K$$

where the last isomorphism is the inverse of the canonical map  $H \circlearrowleft K \rightarrow {}^*H \circlearrowleft {}^*K$ ; equivalently, the canonical map  ${}^*H \circlearrowleft {}^*K \rightarrow ({}^*H)^* \circlearrowleft ({}^*K)^*$ , composed with the isos  $H \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^*H)^*$  and  $K \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^*K)^*$ .

## 5 Generalised Hilbert spaces

Let us define a *contractive Hermitian form* on a Banach space  $H$  to be one satisfying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (6)—equivalently, a linear contraction  $\langle -, - \rangle : \overline{H} \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the Hermitianness diagram above. Let us say that a contractive Hermitian form is *weakly definite* if it satisfies (7) above—equivalently, if its Curry  $v : \overline{H} \rightarrow {}^*H$  is invertible. Finally, we define a *generalised Hilbert space*  $\mathbf{h}$  to comprise a Banach space  $H$  together with a weakly definite contractive Hermitian form  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$ . Then there is a  $\mathbf{Ban}$ -enriched dagger category  $\mathbf{gHilb}$  of generalised Hilbert spaces with  $\mathbf{gHilb}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) = K \circlearrowleft H$ , and dagger map  $\mathbf{gHilb}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{gHilb}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{h})$  defined exactly as above.

Given a Hilbert space  $\mathbf{h}$  and a self-adjoint unitary  $\sigma$  (i.e., an arrow  $H \rightarrow H$  with  $\sigma = \sigma^\dagger = \sigma^{-1}$ ), one can define a generalised Hilbert space  $\mathbf{h}^\sigma$  having the same underlying Banach space  $H$ , but the “deviant” inner

product  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^\sigma} = \langle \alpha, \sigma\beta \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$ . Given two such generalised Hilbert spaces,  $\mathbf{h}^\sigma$  and  $\mathbf{k}^\tau$ , and an  $\omega \in K \circlearrowleft H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, \omega\beta \rangle_{\mathbf{k}^\tau} &= \langle \alpha, \tau\omega\beta \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= \langle \omega^\dagger\tau\alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} \\ &= \langle \sigma\omega^\dagger\tau\alpha, \sigma\beta \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} \\ &= \langle \sigma\omega^\dagger\tau\alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

—hence the “deviant” dagger (which we denote  $\ddagger$ )  $\overline{\mathbf{gHilb}(\mathbf{h}^\sigma, \mathbf{k}^\tau)} \rightarrow \mathbf{gHilb}(\mathbf{k}^\tau, \mathbf{h}^\sigma)$  relates to the conventional dagger  $\overline{\mathbf{Hilb}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k})} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{h})$  of Hilbert spaces via  $\omega^\ddagger = \sigma\omega^\dagger\tau$ .

If I understand correctly, every generalised Hilbert space is equivalent (in a dagger category theoretic sense) to one of the form  $\mathbf{h}^\sigma$ . (I just need to find an appropriate citation.) Thus, in particular, the underlying categories of  $\mathbf{Hilb}$  and  $\mathbf{gHilb}$  are equivalent. But the underlying dagger categories of  $\mathbf{Hilb}$  and  $\mathbf{gHilb}$  are certainly not equivalent.

Generalised Hilbert spaces are not much of a generalisation: they arose purely because I have never quite figured out how to express positivity in a sufficiently categorical manner, and because they suffice for my purposes. Or, at least, they have sufficed for my purposes so far.