

MATH 4LT/6LT3 Assignment #4  
Due: Monday, 10 November by 11:59pm.

1. Recall that the language

$$SAP = \{\ulcorner M \urcorner \mid M \text{ is a DTM that accepts } \ulcorner M \urcorner\},$$

is the Self Acceptance Problem. We saw that this language is CE but not coCE. Let  $L = 0 \cdot SAP \cup 1 \cdot \overline{SAP}$ . So a string from  $\{0, 1\}^*$  is in  $L$  if it is of the form  $0\sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in SAP$  or of the form  $1\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is in the complement of  $SAP$ . Prove that  $L$  is not CE and is also not coCE.

**Solution:** One way to show this is to many-one reduce languages that are not CE or coCE to  $L$ . This would imply that  $L$  is not CE and not coCE. We can use the languages  $SAP$  and  $\overline{SAP}$  for this. Define  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  to be the functions that on a string  $x$  outputs the strings  $0 \cdot x$  and  $1 \cdot x$  respectively. Clearly both of these functions are computable.

We claim that  $\rho_0$  is a many-one reduction from  $SAP$  to  $L$ . This is almost immediate, since if  $x \in SAP$ , then by definition  $0 \cdot x$  is in  $L$ . Also, if  $x \notin SAP$ , then  $0 \cdot x \notin L$ , since the only strings in  $L$  whose first symbol is 0 are of the form  $0 \cdot y$  for some string  $y \in SAP$ . Similarly,  $\rho_1$  is a many-one reduction from  $\overline{SAP}$  to  $L$ .

Since  $SAP$  is not coCE and  $\overline{SAP}$  is not CE then by Theorem 2.8.7,  $L$  is neither CE nor coCE.

2. Exercise 2.10.15 from the textbook.

**Solution:** Suppose that  $B$  is a computable language, and let  $M_B$  be a halting DTM with  $L(M_B) = B$ . Let  $M'_B$  be the DTM that does the following on input  $x \in \Sigma^*$ :

- Run  $M_B$  on  $x$ ,
- Once  $M_B$  has halted, if it accepts  $x$ , then erase the tape and write a 1 on the tape and halt, with the head over cell #1. If  $M_B$  rejects  $x$ , then erase the tape and write a 0 on the tape and halt with the head over cell #1.

We claim that  $M'_B$  computes the function  $\chi_B$ , since by design, if  $x \in B$ , then on input  $x$ ,  $M'_B$  will output 1 and if  $x \notin B$ , then  $M'_B$  will output 0. So  $\chi_B$  is a computable function.

Conversely, suppose that  $\chi_B$  is computable and that the DTM  $M$  computes it (so it is a halting DTM as well). Let  $M_B$  be the DTM that on input  $x$  does the following:

- Run  $M$  and wait for the computation to end.
- If the first cell of the tape contains a 1,  $M_B$  halts in its accept state. Otherwise,  $M_B$  halts in its reject state.

Then  $M_B$  is a halting DTM with  $L(M_B) = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid \chi_B(x) = 1\} = B$ . So,  $B$  is computable.

3. Exercise 2.10.16 from the textbook.

**Solution:** Let  $B$  be a CE language and  $M_B$  a DTM with  $L(M_B) = B$ . Let  $M$  be the DTM that on input  $x$  does the following:

- Runs  $M_B$  on input  $x$ .
- If  $M_B$  halts in the reject state, then  $M$  will loop.
- If  $M_B$  halts in the accept state, then  $M$  accepts  $x$ .

The DTM  $M$  has the property that if  $x \in B$  then  $M_B$  will halt in its accept state on input  $x$  and hence that  $M$  will do the same. So  $x \in L(M)$ . On the other hand, if  $x \notin B$  then either  $M_B$  will loop on  $x$ , in which case  $M$  will as well, or  $M_B$  halts and enters its reject state. In this case,  $M$  loops on input  $x$ . So, if  $x \notin B$ , then  $M$  fails to halt on input  $x$ . Thus the set of input strings for which  $M$  halts is exactly  $B$ .

Conversely, if  $M$  has the stated property for  $B$ , let  $M_B$  be the DTM that on input a string  $x$  does the following:

- Run  $M$  on  $x$ .
- If  $M$  halts, in either the accept or reject state, then  $M_B$  accepts  $x$ .

It follows that  $L(M_B)$  is equal to the set of strings on which  $M$  halts and so  $L(M_B) = B$ , showing that  $B$  is CE.

4. Exercise 2.10.18, part 1 from the textbook.

**Solution:** Let  $B$  and  $C$  be computable languages with  $M_B$  and  $M_C$  halting DTMs with  $L(M_B) = B$  and  $L(M_C) = C$ . Let  $M$  be the DTM that does the following on input  $x = a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ :

- Initialize  $i = 0$ .
- While  $i \leq n$ ,
  - Run  $M_B$  on input  $a_1a_2 \dots a_i$  and run  $M_C$  on input  $a_{i+1}a_{i+2} \dots a_n$ .  
If both DTMs accept,  $M$  accepts  $x$ .
  - If not, set  $i = i + 1$ .
- $M$  rejects  $x$ .

We claim that  $M$  is a halting DTM with  $L(M) = B \cdot C$ . It is halting, since, no matter the length of the input string  $x = a_1a_2 \dots a_n$ ,  $M$  will halt after at most  $|x|$  iterations of the while loop, and both  $M_B$  and  $M_C$  are halting DTMs. If  $M$  accepts a string  $x$ , then the only way for this to happen is that for some  $i \leq n$ ,  $M_B$  accepts  $a_1a_2 \dots a_i$  and  $M_C$  accepts  $a_{i+1}a_{i+2} \dots a_n$ . This implies that  $a_1a_2 \dots a_i \in B$  and  $a_{i+1}a_{i+2} \dots a_n \in C$ , which means that  $x \in B \cdot C$ .

Conversely, if  $x = u \cdot v$  for some  $u \in B$  and  $v \in C$ , then in the  $i$ th iteration of the while loop, with  $i = |u|$ ,  $M_B$  will accept  $a_1a_2 \dots a_i$  and  $M_C$  will accept  $a_{i+1}a_{i+2} \dots a_n$  and so  $M$  will accept  $x$ . Thus  $L(M) = B \cdot C$ , establishing that this language is computable.

Note that another approach, via non determinism can also be used to show that  $B \cdot C$  is computable. Rather than searching through all possible ways to express an input string  $x$  as the concatenation of two other strings  $u$  and  $v$  and checking to see if these strings are in  $B$  and  $C$  respectively, a nondeterministic DTM would first “guess” the value of  $i$  and then check to see if  $a_1a_2 \dots a_i \in B$  and  $a_{i+1}a_{i+2} \dots a_n \in C$ .

5. Exercise 2.10.24 from the textbook.

**Solution:** To slightly simplify this presentation, assume that  $\Sigma$  contains distinct symbols 0 and 1 (and possibly others) so that we can make use of our standard encoding of ordered pairs of strings. Let  $B$  be a nonempty CE language and  $M_B$  a DTM with  $L(M_B) = B$ . Let  $\sigma \in B$

be some fixed string that we will use to define a computable function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  with  $B = \{f(x) \mid x \in \Sigma^*\}$ . The idea behind defining  $f$  is via a related function  $g : \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ , where  $g(x, n)$  is equal to  $x$  if on input  $x$ , the DTM  $M_B$  has halted within  $n$  steps and accepts  $x$ , and is equal to the fixed string  $\sigma$  otherwise.

It can be seen that the range of  $g$  is  $B$ , since if  $x \in B$ ,  $M_B$  will accept  $x$ , and if the computation of  $M_B$  on input  $x$  has length  $n$ , then  $g(x, n) = x$ . On the other hand, the only strings that are in the range of  $g$  are, by design, strings that  $M_B$  accepts. The definition of  $g$  provides an algorithm for computing it: on input  $x$  and  $n$ , run  $M_B$  on input  $x$  for up to  $n$  steps and see what happens. If within this number of steps,  $M_B$  halts and accepts  $x$ , then output  $x$ . Otherwise, output  $\sigma$ .

Strictly speaking, we need to present a one-variable computable function on  $\Sigma^*$  whose range is  $B$ . We can use our encoding method for ordered pairs to do this. Let  $M$  be a DTM that does the following on input  $z \in \Sigma^*$ :

- If  $z$  is not of the form  $\langle x, y \rangle$  for any strings  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ , then  $M$  halts and outputs the string  $\sigma$ . So, in this case,  $M$  erases its tape, writes the string  $\sigma$  on it and halts in the accept state.
- Otherwise,  $z = \langle x, y \rangle$  for some strings  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ . In this case, run  $M_B$  on input  $x$  for up to  $|y|$  steps. If  $M_B$  has halted in the accept state before  $|y|$  steps,  $M$  halts in the accept state with the string  $x$  on its tape. If not, then  $M$  halts in the accept state with  $\sigma$  on its tape.

It can be seen that  $M$  is a halting DTM that computes a function (closely related to  $g$ ) whose range is the set  $B$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  is a computable function whose range is the language  $B$ . Let  $M_f$  be a DTM that computes  $f$ . We will rely on the fact that the elements of  $\Sigma^*$  can be effectively enumerated in the following sense. There is a listing of the members of  $\Sigma^*$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$  for which there is an algorithm that one by one, outputs the strings in this sequence. Such a listing can be produced by first listing all strings of length 0, then all strings of length 1, then all strings of length 2, and so on. For a given length  $n$ , the strings of length  $n$  can be listed in

some definite order, such as lexicographically. Let  $M$  be a DTM that outputs this list.

Let  $M_B$  be the DTM that on input a string  $x$  does the following:

- Initialize  $i = 0$ .
- Iterate:
  - Run  $M$  until it has produced the string  $x_i$
  - Run the DTM  $M_f$  on input  $x_i$ .
  - If  $M_f$  halts with  $x$  on its tape (so if  $f(x_i) = x$ ), then  $M$  halts in its accept state.
  - If not (so  $f(x_i) \neq x$ ), set  $i = i + 1$ .

It can be seen that on input  $x$  the DTM  $M$  will halt (in its accept state) if and only if  $x$  is in the range of  $f$ . So  $L(M)$  is equal to the range of the function  $f$ , showing that  $B$  is a CE language.

The following question is for students enrolled in MATH 6LT3. Students in MATH 4LT3 can treat it as a bonus question.

B1 Exercise 2.10.39.

**Solution:** We make use of the fact that the following language is not computable:

$$HALT_\epsilon = \{\ulcorner M \urcorner \mid M \text{ is a DTM with } \epsilon \in L(M)\}.$$

This can be (easily) shown using Rice's Theorem, or can be shown by many-one reducing some known noncomputable language, such as SAP, to it.

Now, suppose that there is a computable function  $g : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  with  $|b(1^n)| \leq |g(1^n)|$  for each  $n \geq 0$ , and suppose  $M_g$  is a DTM that computes  $g$ . Let  $M'$  be the DTM that does the following on input  $x$ :

- If  $x$  is not of the form  $\ulcorner M \urcorner$  for any DTM  $M$ , then  $M'$  halts in the reject state.
- If  $x = \ulcorner M \urcorner$  for some DTM  $M$ , set  $i$  to be the number of states of  $M$ .

- Run  $M_g$  on input  $1^n$  and let  $1^m$  be its output (so  $g(1^n) = 1^m$ ).
- Run  $M$  on input  $\epsilon$  for up to  $m + 1$  steps.
- If before  $m$  steps,  $M$  has halted, then  $M'$  accepts  $x$  if  $M$  has halted in the accept state, and rejects  $x$  if  $M$  has halted in the reject state.
- If  $M$  hasn't halted by  $m + 1$  steps, then  $M'$  rejects  $x$ .

By design,  $M'$  is a halting DTM, since for any DTM  $M$ ,  $M'$  will halt on input  $\ulcorner M \urcorner$  after at most  $m + 1$  steps, where  $M$  has  $n$  states and  $g(1^n) = 1^m$ . Furthermore,  $M'$  will only accept strings of the form  $\ulcorner M \urcorner$  where  $M$  is a DTM that accepts the empty string. To see this, suppose that  $M$  accepts the empty string. Then by definition, it will halt on input  $\epsilon$  after at most  $b(1^n)$  steps. So after at most  $g(1^n)$  steps,  $M$  will have halted. If it has halted in the accept state, then  $M'$  will accept the string, and if not it will reject. If by  $g(1^n)$  steps  $M$  has not halted, then by the definition of  $b$ , we know that  $M$  will never halt on input  $\epsilon$  and so  $\ulcorner M \urcorner \notin \text{HALT}_\epsilon$ . Thus  $M$  is a halting DTM with  $L(M) = \text{HALT}_\epsilon$ , contradicting that this language is not computable.