

Last time: ORTHOGONAL MATRICES

$A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ with $\underline{\underline{A^{-1} = A^T}}$.

Facts: If A is orthogonal, then: • $A^T A = A A^T = I_n$.

- Rows and columns of A are orthonormal bases of \mathbb{R}^n
- $\|Ax\| = \|x\|$ and $Ax \cdot Ay = x \cdot y$ for all x, y in \mathbb{R}^n
(ie A preserves lengths of vectors (hence distances/angles))

ORTHOGONAL DIAGONALIZATION

— Making process of diagonalization simpler

If $A = PDP^{-1}$ with D diagonal,

then $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ eigenvalues of A

and $P = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$ has columns the corresponding eigenvectors of A

since (rearranging) $AP = P D$ (so $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$)
for each i)

Let's start with real matrices.

Defⁿ We say that A (real matrix) is orthogonally diagonalizable if $A = PDP^{-1}$ for D (real) diagonal & P orthogonal (i.e. $P^{-1} = P^T$ so $A = PD P^T$).

So what the reasoning above tells us is

Theorem $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, A is orthogonally diagonalizable exactly when A has an orthonormal set of n eigenvectors.

When does this happen?

Where can we hope to find A with an orthogonal diagonalization?

First suppose $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ has an orthogonal diag.

Then $A = PDP^T$ for P orthogonal, D diagonal.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{What is } A^T &= (PDP^T)^T = (P^T)^T D^T P^T = P D^T P^T = P D P^T \\ &= A \end{aligned}$$

i.e. A symmetric. In fact:

Theorem (Spectral Theorem for Symmetric Matrices)

$A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is orthogonally diagonalizable
exactly when A is symmetric

Goal: Find a procedure for finding an orthogonal
diagonalization of a symmetric matrix A .

To do this we'll need:

Theorem If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is symmetric, then

- (i) the eigenvalues of A are all real numbers;
- (ii) eigenvectors from different eigenspaces (ie. eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues) are orthogonal to one another.

[Proof] To prove this we need to enter the world of complex matrices/vectors:

Recall: $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j}$ then $\bar{A} = [\bar{a}_{ij}]_{i,j}$

(This will play a big role later !!)

You should verify that $\overline{(AB)} = \bar{A}\bar{B}$

the conjugate transpose of $A \rightarrow A^T = \bar{A}^T$

↑
The
conjugate
of A

$$\text{In } \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}. \quad \text{In } \mathbb{C}^n \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T \bar{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Here comes the proof:

(i) Let λ be an eigenvalue of A . We'll prove $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$.

We know $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

We'll solve for λ by multiplying the equation by $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T$.
 ↪ taking dot product with \mathbf{v}

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v} = \bar{\mathbf{v}}^T \lambda \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \lambda (\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T \mathbf{v})$$

$$= \lambda (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \quad \text{So } \lambda = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2}$$

So now, enough to show $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v}$ is real.

$$\text{real} \\ \text{i.e. } \overline{(\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v})} = \bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v}.$$

$$(\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v}) = \bar{\mathbf{v}}^T \bar{A} \bar{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}^T A \bar{\mathbf{v}} \quad (\text{as } A \text{ real})$$

$$= (\bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A^T \mathbf{v})^+ \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{just a number, so} \\ \text{equal to its own transpose} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A^T \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{v}}^T A \mathbf{v} \quad \text{as } A \text{ symmetric.}$$

(ii) Take \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 eigenvectors of distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ (i.e. $A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i, i=1,2$)

We want to show $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Av_1) \cdot v_2 &= (Av_1)^T v_2 = v_1^T A^T v_2 = v_1^T A v_2 \\
 &= v_1 \cdot (\lambda_2 v_2) = \lambda_2 (v_1 \cdot v_2) \\
 (Av_1) \cdot v_2 &\stackrel{\neq}{=} \lambda_1 (v_1 \cdot v_2) \\
 \text{So } \underbrace{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}_{\neq 0} (v_1 \cdot v_2) &= 0 \\
 \text{So } v_1 \cdot v_2 &= 0. //
 \end{aligned}$$

Recap: How to diagonalize a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$?

1. Find eigenvalues of A (solve characteristic eq.)
 $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$
2. Find eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues
 v_1, \dots, v_m

Q : Are there n linearly independent eigenvectors?

If yes, then

3. $A = PDP^{-1}$ where $P = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_n \end{pmatrix}$ and
 $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ for λ_i eigenvalue corresponding to v_i

So our procedure for finding an orthogonal diagonalization of a symmetric matrix A is as follows :

Step 1 Find the eigenvalues of A .

Step 2 Find a basis of eigenvectors for each eigenspace.

[So far this is exactly the same procedure as diagonalization. Here, since A symmetric ($n \times n$ say) by Theorem, we definitely will get n linearly independent eigenvectors. The issue is, we need to "orthonormalize" them i.e. find an orthonormal set of n eigenvectors.]

Step 3 For each eigenspace/eigenvalue use Gram—Schmidt Process to find an orthonormal basis for each eigenspace in turn.

[By the above theorem, this is enough. Within eigenspaces, the basis vectors are orthogonal by Gram—Schmidt, and basis vectors from distinct eigenspaces are orthogonal by the theorem.]

Step 4 Form P with columns the vectors found from Step 3. $[P$ is orthogonal & $A = PDP^{-1}$ with eigenvalues of A on the diagonal of $D].$

Example Find an orthogonal diagonalization of
 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. \leftarrow A symmetric.

Solution (skeleton)

Step 1 Find eigenvalues i.e. solve $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

$$\text{i.e. } 0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = \dots = -(\lambda+1)^2(\lambda-2)$$

So eigenvalues are $\lambda = -1, 2$

Step 2 Find eigenvectors for each eigenvalue.

i.e. solve system $(A - \lambda I)v = 0$ for each λ .

$$\lambda = -1$$

i.e. solve $(A + I)v = 0$

Augmented matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\text{red.}]{\text{row}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{So } v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = 0. \text{ If } v_2 = s \\ v_3 = t$$

$$\text{then eigenvectors have form } (-s-t) \\ = s \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for the eigenspace of $\lambda = -1$.

$$\lambda = 2$$

i.e. solve $(A - 2I)v = 0$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\text{red.}]{\text{row}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{So } v_1 = v_2 = v_3; \text{ so if } v_3 = s$$

then eigenvectors have the form $\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s \\ s \end{pmatrix} = s \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

So $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for the eigenspace of $\lambda = 2$.

Step 3 "Orthonormalize" the basis for each eigenspace.

Run Gram-Schmidt on

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \dots$$

get $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3} \\ -1/\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

Run Gram-Schmidt on $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ i.e. normalize $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

get $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Notice (or check!) that all 3 vectors are orthogonal

Step 4 $P = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Notice When an eigenspace is spanned by a single vector
Step 3 ("run Gram-Schmidt") is really easy—just normalize the basis vector.

In particular, this will happen for every eigenspace if A (symmetric) has n distinct eigenvalues.

Analogue (of orthogonal matrices) for Complex matrices

If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ then A orthogonal i.e. $A^{-1} = A^T$
has orthonormal columns & rows because of
how the real dot product is defined.

(For columns v_1, \dots, v_n , say, $\|v_i\|=1$, $v_i \cdot v_j = 0, i \neq j$.)

But in \mathbb{C}^n , $x \cdot y = x_1\bar{y}_1 + \dots + x_n\bar{y}_n$ so the
analogue to orthogonal matrix is

Defⁿ A in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unitary if $A^{-1} = \underbrace{\overline{A}^T}_{\text{conjugate transpose of } A} =: A^*$

Theorem If A, B unitary then (i) A^* (ii) A^T (iii) AB are
all unitary.

(iv) $\|\det(A)\| = 1$.

Theorem For A in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the following equivalent

(1) A unitary

(2) rows of A form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n (wrt complex dot product)

(3) columns of A " " " " " " - " -

(4) $\|Ax\| = \|x\|$ for any x in \mathbb{C}^n

(5) $Ax \cdot Ay = x \cdot y$ for any x, y in \mathbb{C}^n (complex dot product)

Theorem [I] $[I]_{B', B} \leftarrow$ Any transition matrix between orthonormal bases B, B' of a complex inner product space is unitary.

We also have here the concept of unitary diagonalization.

Defⁿ A in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to unitary diagonalizable if $A = PDP^{-1}$ where D diagonal & P is unitary ($P^{-1} = \bar{P}^T = P^*$ so $A = PDP^*$).

As in the orthogonal case, by the theorem above:

Theorem $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has a unitary diagonalization exactly when A has orthonormal eigenvectors.

When do we have unitary diagonalization?

Just as in real case let's look at what $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has to look like if A has a unitary diagonalization:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= PDP^{-1} \quad \text{So} \quad \bar{P}^T = P^+ \\
 A^* &= \bar{A}^T = (\overline{PDP^{-1}})^T = (\bar{P} \bar{D} \bar{P}^{-1})^T \\
 &= P \bar{D} \bar{P}^T \quad (\text{as } \bar{D} = \bar{D}^+) \\
 &= P \bar{D} P^* = P \bar{D} P^{-1} \quad \text{↑ diagonal}
 \end{aligned}$$

If all eigenvalues of A are real, $D = \bar{D}$ and

then we know $\underline{A = A^* = \bar{A}^T}$.

(Important Definition : $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called Hermitian if $A = A^*$).

<u>Aside</u> on <u>Def's</u>	<u>Real</u>	<u>Complex</u>
	A <u>orthogonal</u> : $A^{-1} = A^T$	A <u>unitary</u> $A^{-1} = A^* = \bar{A}^T$
	A <u>symmetric</u> : $A = A^T$	A <u>Hermitian</u> $A = A^*$

↗
Almost analogue of
symmetric. Why "almost"?

Theorem If A is unitary diagonalizable, then A is Hermitian if all eigenvalues are real
(By argument above.)

But we do have:

Theorem If A is Hermitian, then A is unitary diagonalizable.

Observation about identifying Hermitian matrices:

$A = \bar{A}^T$ so "mirrored" entries are conjugate to one another and in particular diagonal entries are real.
e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2-i \\ 2+i & 5 \end{pmatrix}$

Go back argument above. Suppose A is unitary diagonalizable. We showed (from $A = PDP^*$) that

$$A^* = P\bar{D}P^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now compute } AA^* &= (PDP^*)(P\bar{D}P^*) \\ &= (PDP^{-1})(P\bar{D}P^{-1}) \\ &= P D \bar{D} P^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar{D}\bar{D} = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda_1|^2 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & |\lambda_n|^2 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now compute } A^*A &= (P\bar{D}P^*)(PDP^*) \\ &= (P\bar{D}P^{-1})(PDP^{-1}) \\ &= P\bar{D}D P^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

but $\bar{D}\bar{D} = \bar{D}\bar{D}$ so we get $\underbrace{AA^* = A^*A}$.

Defⁿ Matrices A with $AA^* = A^*A$ are called normal.

In fact:

Theorem A is unitary diagonalizable exactly when A is normal.

Procedure for finding a unitary diagonalization of a Hermitian matrix is same as for finding an

orthogonal diagonalization of a symmetric matrix.—

Because of this theorem:

Theorem If A is Hermitian, then eigenvectors from different eigenspaces are orthogonal (don't forget : with respect to the complex dot product)