



We see that  $X, Y$  are strongly negatively correlated.

(Note:  $R = \pm \sqrt{R^2} = \pm \sqrt{0.784} = \pm 0.88$  but need extra info. e.g. -ve slope to know  $R = -0.88$  from  $R^2$ .)

*we found this last lecture.*

## 13.2 Single Factor Experiments and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The influence of 1 factor on a response is being investigated & tested at different 'levels' called "treatments".

e.g. Response

Treatments

- Compression strength of concrete
- Midterm grades
- Ability to stay awake

Different mixing techniques

Types of studying (e.g. last-minute cramming v. distributed studying)

Different amounts of caffeine consumed

- Visual performance of LCD screens

Different screen  
luminescence levels

- Batting performance in baseball

Different BMI (body mass indices)

Factor : the single  
variable in each case

Observations grouped by "treatment" ...

$a$  = # treatments

$n_i$  = # observations at treatment level  $i$

$i=1, \dots, a.$

For  $i$ th treatment get a random sample

↳ the random variables are  $Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{in_i}$

↳  $Y_{ij}$  is the response from observation  $j$  in treatment  $i$

We model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

mean at  $i$ th  
treatment

random error  
dependent on  
treatment

↳ usually think of

$$\text{this as } \mu + \tau_i$$

overall mean

" $i$ th treatment effect"

We assume  $\Sigma_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2)$ ; then

$$Y_{ij} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for } j=1, \dots, i \\ i=1, \dots, a \end{array}$$

We want to compare means  $\mu_i$ .

We've already looked at this with 2 treatments.

10-2 : Tests & C.I.s for difference of 2 means  
of Normal pop. variances unknown.  
( & not equal ? )

We had  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
 $H_1 : \text{say } \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

↳ the point is, nothing I've said here about the setup so far lets conclude they are equal, so for now we'll not assume it.

We used t-test (whether assuming  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$  or not).

Now we'll have  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_a$

$H_1 : \text{at least one pair differs}$   
(some  $\mu_i \neq \mu_k$ )

One option : pair up. Do a t-test for each pair:

$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_k$   
 $H_1 : \text{say } \mu_i \neq \mu_k$

pair (i, k) with  
for each  $i \neq k$ .

We'll have test stat  $t_{ik} = \frac{\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_k}{\sqrt{\frac{s_i^2}{n_i} + \frac{s_k^2}{n_k}}}$

(in case we don't  
assume  $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_k^2$ )  
(as noted in blue above)

We'll have  $\binom{a}{2}$  tests.

At significance level  $\alpha$ , prob. of incorrectly  
concluding a difference between some fixed pair  
of means  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu_k$  is  $\alpha$  ( $= P(\text{Type I error})$ )

So  $EER = \text{Experiment-wise Error Rate}$   
 $= P(\text{at least 1 Type I error}$   
 $\text{across all } \binom{a}{2} \text{ tests})$   
 $= 1 - P(\text{no type I errors})$   
 $= 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\binom{a}{2}}$

If  $\alpha = 0.05$ , and we have 6 treatments to test,  
we have  $\binom{6}{2}$  pairs of means to test, so  
 $= \frac{6!}{4!2!} = 15$  tests!!!

$$EER = P(\text{at least 1 type I error})$$

$$= 1 - (0.95)^{15} = \underline{\underline{0.54}}$$

>50% chance  
of a Type I  
error  
somewhere!!  
Oh dear!

This is NOT a good approach !!!

We need one test that will compare all means  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_a$  simultaneously.

This is where ANOVA comes into its own.

We will use an F-test.

---

For simplicity, for now we assume  $n_i = n$   
for all  $i$

(i.e. same # observations for each treatment)

We also assume completely randomized

experimental design. i.e. the samples are

tested across all treatments in random order  
& with uniform environment i.e. the

$(n \times a)$ -many  $Y_{ij}$ s are tested in random order

This simplifies our model to

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij} \quad \text{where}$$

$i=1, \dots, a$        $j=1, \dots, n$

$$\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

i.e. assume errors have

same underlying distribution.

(which, from above, we are assuming is normal).