

## Lecture 24

### 8.C Characteristic and Minimal Polynomials

COMMENT - most results hold over  $\mathbb{R}$ , but we only prove case  $F = \mathbb{C}$

Def<sup>n</sup> Let  $V$  be a v.s. over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .  
Suppose  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are the distinct eigenvalues  
with multiplicities  $d_1, \dots, d_m$ . Then

$$(z - \lambda_1)^{d_1} (z - \lambda_2)^{d_2} \cdots (z - \lambda_m)^{d_m}$$

is the characteristic polynomial of  $T$

Remark In IB03, used the determinant to matrix  
 $A - \lambda I_n$  to define this equation.  
Can prove the two def<sup>s</sup> are the same  
(see chapter 10)

Lemma If  $g(z)$  is the characteristic polynomial  
of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then

①  $\deg g(z) = \dim V$

② roots of  $g(z)$  = eigenvalues of  $T$

Proof ①  $\deg g(z) = d_1 + \dots + d_m = \dim V$

②  $\lambda$  is a root of  $g(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{d_1} \dots (z - \lambda_m)^{d_m}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \lambda = \lambda_i$  for some  $i$

□

Thm (Cayley-Hamilton) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$   
with characteristic polynomial  $g(z)$ .  
Then

$$g(T) = 0$$

Proof We have

$$V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$$

with  $d_i = \dim G(\lambda_i, T)$

For each  $i$ ,  $(T - \lambda_i I)$  is nilpotent on  $G(\lambda_i, T)$ ,

i.e.

$$(T - \lambda_i I)^{d_i} v = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in G(\lambda_i, T)$$

Since  $g(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{d_1} \dots (z - \lambda_m)^{d_m}$ , we have

$$g(T) = (T - \lambda_1 I)^{d_1} \dots (T - \lambda_m I)^{d_m}$$

Need to show  $[g(T)]v = 0$  for  $v \in V$

Since  $v \in V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_m \text{ with } v_i \in G(\lambda_i, T)$$

Since  $[g(T)]$  is a linear map

$$[g(T)]v = [g(T)]v_1 + \dots + [g(T)]v_m$$

Look  $[g(T)]v_j$

$$\begin{aligned} [g(T)]v_j &= (T - \lambda_1 I)^{d_1} \dots (T - \lambda_m I)^{d_m} v_j \\ &= (T - \lambda_1 I)^{d_1} \dots (T - \lambda_m I)^{d_m} (T - \lambda_j I)^{d_j} v_j \end{aligned}$$

Some operator

operators commute, so "push"  $(T - \lambda_j I)^{d_j}$  to the end

$$\text{So } [g(T)]v_j = (*) (T - \lambda_j I)^{d_j} v_j = 0$$

Thus  $[g(T)]v = 0$  for all  $v \in V$

□

Ex (from 1B03)

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{char poly} = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 6-\lambda & 7 \\ 2 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (6-\lambda)(4-\lambda) - 14 = \lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 10$$

Cayley-Hamilton implies when we "evaluate"  $A$ ,  
get zero matrix, i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^2 - 10 \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + 10 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Minimal Polynomial

Def<sup>n</sup> A monic polynomial is a polynomial where highest degree coefficient is 1, i.e

$$p(z) = \underline{1} \cdot z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1z + a_0$$

Thm Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then there is a unique monic polynomial  $p(z)$  of smallest degree such that  $p(T) = 0$

Proof Let  $n = \dim V$  so  $\dim \mathcal{L}(V) = n^2$

Consider the operators

$$I, T, T^2, T^3, \dots, T^{n^2} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$$

Since  $\dim \mathcal{L}(V) = n^2$ , these  $n^2 + 1$  operators must be linearly dependent. Let  $m$  be the smallest integer such that

$$b_0 I + b_1 T + b_2 T^2 + \dots + b_m T^m = 0 \text{ with } b_m \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (b_0/b_m)I + (b_1/b_m)T + \dots + \left(\frac{b_{m-1}}{b_m}\right)T^{m-1} + T^m = 0$$

$$\text{Set } p(z) = (b_0/b_m) + (b_1/b_m)z + \dots + \left(\frac{b_{m-1}}{b_m}\right)z^{m-1} + z^m$$

Claim  $p(z)$  is the desired polynomial

We have  $p(T)=0$  and  $p(z)$  monic, and by construction,  $p(z)$  has smallest degree.

Suppose  $r(z)$  also monic, same degree, and  $r(T)=0$ .  
If  $r(z) \neq p(z)$ , then  $(r-p)(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $< \deg p(z)$  and  $(r-p)(T)=0$ . This is a contradiction. So  $p(z)$  is unique □

Def Given  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , the minimal polynomial of  $T$  is the unique polynomial of the above theorem.

Cor If  $p$  is a minimal polynomial of  $T$ , then  $\deg p \leq \dim V$

Proof Since char. poly  $g(z)$  is a monic poly such that  $g(T)=0$ ,

$$\deg p(z) \leq \deg g(z) \leq n = \dim V.$$

□

## Additional Properties

Thm Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $g(z) \in \mathcal{P}(F)$  such that  $g(T) = 0$ . Then minimal polynomial  $p(z)$  divides  $g(z)$ , i.e.  $g(z) = p(z)r(z)$  for some polynomial  $r(z)$

Cor If  $g(z)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then the minimal poly  $p(z)$  of  $T$  divides  $g(z)$

Thm If  $p(z)$  is minimal poly of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then  $p(\lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue

Cor Suppose  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are the distinct eigenvalues with  $d_i$  the multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ . Then

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{a_1} (z - \lambda_2)^{a_2} \cdots (z - \lambda_m)^{a_m}$$

with  $1 \leq a_i \leq d_i$

Note do not know  $a_i$ 's exactly

Ex  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$  of mult 1  
 $\lambda_2 = 2$  of mult 3

$\Rightarrow$  char. poly  $q(z) = (z-1)^1(z-2)^3$

So the minimal polynomial is one of

$(z-1)(z-2)$  or  $(z-1)(z-2)^2$  or  $(z-1)(z-2)^3$

To figure out which one, see which polynomial has the prop  $p(T) = 0$

$$(A - I_4)(A - 2I_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

not the min poly

$$(A - I_4)(A - 2I_4)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so  $(z-1)(z-2)^2$  is the min poly



key ideas \*

- \* characteristic polynomial
- \* minimal polynomial
- \* Cayley-Hamilton Theorem