

Lecture 22 8.B Decomposition of an Operator

Recall Given $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ want to decompose V such that

$$V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$$

where T is invariant on U_i

If V has a basis of eigenvectors, say v_1, \dots, v_n , can do this:

$$V = \text{span}(v_1) \oplus \text{span}(v_2) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{span}(v_n)$$

However T may not have eigenvectors (e.g. if T is not diagonalizable)

Over \mathbb{C} , we can "fix" this problem with generalized eigenvectors

Lemma If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(F)$, then
 T invariant on $\text{null}(p(T))$ and $\text{range}(p(T))$

I.e. if $v \in \text{null}(p(T))$, then $Tv \in \text{null}(p(T))$
if $v \in \text{range}(p(T))$, then $Tv \in \text{range}(p(T))$

Thm Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{C} and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be distinct eigenvalues. Then

- ① $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$
- ② T is invariant on $G(\lambda_i, T)$
- ③ $(T - \lambda_i I)|_{G(\lambda_i, T)}$ is nilpotent

Proof ② Since $G(\lambda_i, T) = \text{Null}((T - \lambda_i I)^n)$
 $= \text{Null}(p(T))$ where $p(z) = (z - \lambda_i)^n$
By the lemma, T is invariant on $G(\lambda_i, T)$

- ③ Let $v \in G(\lambda_i, T)$. So $(T - \lambda_i I)^n v = 0$
So $(T - \lambda_i I)$ is nilpotent on $G(\lambda_i, T)$.

① (Main idea only)

Do induction on $\dim V = n$. If $\dim V = 1$,
then T has an eigenvalue (since $F = \mathbb{C}$) and
 $V = G(\lambda, T)$

Suppose $\dim V = n \geq 1$. Since $F = \mathbb{C}$, T has an eigenvalue, say λ_1 .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \text{Null}((T - \lambda_1 I)^n) \oplus \text{Range}((T - \lambda_1 I)^n) \\ &= G(\underline{\lambda_1}, T) \oplus U \end{aligned}$$

Now $\dim U < n$ (since $\dim G(\lambda_1, T) \geq 1$)

Also, T invariant on U by lemma. Since

$$U = \text{range}(p(T)) \text{ where } p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^n$$

Finally, eigenvalues of $T|_U$ are $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ (to check)

So, apply induction to $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$, i.e.

$$U = G(\lambda_2, T|_U) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_n, T|_U)$$

Need to check $G(\lambda_i, T) = G(\lambda_i, T|_U)$ } details
for $i=2, \dots, n$. } stripped

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } V &= G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus U \\ &= G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus G(\lambda_2, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_n, T) \end{aligned}$$



Cor Suppose $F = \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then there is a basis of V consisting of generalized eigenvectors

Proof $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$. Combine the bases of the $G(\lambda_i, T)$'s to form a basis of V □

Multiplicity

Defⁿ The multiplicity of λ is $\dim G(\lambda, T)$

Thm If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ distinct eigenvalues with multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_ℓ , then

$$\dim V = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$$

Proof Follows from $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_\ell, T)$. □

Connection to 1B03

1B03

2R03

algebraic multiplicity of λ = mult of λ = $\dim G(\lambda, T)$
geometric multiplicity of λ = $\dim E(\lambda, T)$

Can now easily prove statements from 1B03

Thm For any eigenvalue λ ,
 $1 \leq \text{geo. mult of } \lambda \leq \text{alg. mult of } \lambda$

Proof Follows from fact
 $\dim E(\lambda, T) \leq \dim G(\lambda, T)$ □

Thm A diagonalizable \Leftrightarrow geo. mult of λ_i = alg mult of λ_i
for all eigenvalues

Proof A diagonalizable \Leftrightarrow linear map T associated to this matrix is diagonalizable
 $\Leftrightarrow V = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_n, T) = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_n, T)$
 $\Leftrightarrow E(\lambda_i, T) = G(\lambda_i, T)$ for all i
 \Leftrightarrow geo mult of λ_i = alg mult of λ_i □

Block Diagonal Matrices

Def A block diagonal matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix of form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & A_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & A_l \end{bmatrix}$$

where each A_i is a square matrix on diagonal.

Ex

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thm Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $F = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be distinct eigenvalues with $d_i = \text{mult of } \lambda_i$. Then there is a basis of V such that

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & A_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & A_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } A_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & * \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

\uparrow
 $d_i \times d_i$

Proof Recall $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_n, T)$.

For each λ_i , can write

$$T = (T - \lambda_i I) + \lambda_i I$$

Since $(T - \lambda_i I)|_{G(\lambda_i, T)}$ is nilpotent on $G(\lambda_i, T)$, there is a basis of $G(\lambda_i, T)$

such that

$$M(T - \lambda_i I)|_{G(\lambda_i, T)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow d_i \times d_i$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda_i I |_{G(\lambda_i, T)}) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{with respect} \\ \text{to the} \\ \text{same} \\ \text{basis} \end{array}$$

So

$$\mathcal{M}(T |_{G(\lambda_i, T)}) = \mathcal{M}(T - \lambda_i I |_{G(\lambda_i, T)}) + \mathcal{M}(\lambda_i I |_{G(\lambda_i, T)})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Put the bases of the $G(\lambda_i, T)$'s together to get a basis of V . Since T invariant on $G(\lambda_i, T)$'s, the matrix of T is

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} & & 0 \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} & \\ 0 & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Next lecture: A worked out example.

Key ideas:

- V has a basis of generalized eigenvectors (over \mathbb{C})
- multiplicity
- with respect to basis of generalized eigenvectors $M(T)$ is block diagonal (over \mathbb{C}).