

## Lecture 6 2.A Linear Independence II

Last time: span

Today : linear independence

Def<sup>n</sup>. A list of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  in  $V$  is linearly independent if only choice of  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$  that satisfy  
$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$$
  
is  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$

- empty list is linearly independent
- A list of vectors that is not linearly independent is linearly dependent, i.e., there is a ~~nontrivial~~ choice of  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  such that  
$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$$

Ex 1.  $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$  in  $F^3$  linearly independent

2. If  $v \in V$ , and if  $v \neq 0$ , then  $v$  is linearly independent.

3. If  $v, w \in V$ ,  $v, w \neq 0$  and  $v \neq cw$  for any  $c \in F$ , then  $v, w$  are linearly independent.

4. In  $P_m(F)$ ,  $1, z, z^2, \dots, z^m$  is linearly independent.

Ex  $(1,0,0), (1,1,0), (a,b,0)$  in  $F^3$  is linearly dependent  
Since

$$1 \cdot (a,b,0) + (-b)(1,1,0) + (b-a)(1,0,0) = (0,0,0)$$

Fact If one of  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is the  $0$  vector, then  
 $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly dependent

Proof: Suppose  $v_1 = 0$ . Then

$$0 = c \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot v_2 + 0 \cdot v_3 + \dots + 0 \cdot v_m$$

is a nontrivial sol<sup>n</sup> for all  $0 \neq c \in F$

(Linear dependence lemma) Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  linearly dependent.  
Then there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that

①  $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$

②  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$

Proof ① We are given  $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$  with not  
all  $a_i = 0$

Let  $j$  be the largest index such that  $a_j \neq 0$ . So

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_j v_j = 0 \quad \text{with } a_j \neq 0$$

Rearrange

$$v_j = \frac{(-a_1)}{a_j} v_1 + \frac{(-a_2)}{a_j} v_2 + \dots + \frac{(-a_{j-1})}{a_j} v_{j-1} \quad (*)$$

So  $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$

- (2) Since  $v_1, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$   
 $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m) \subseteq \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$

Let  $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . So

$$u = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j + \dots + b_m v_m \quad \text{for } b_i \in F$$

By (\*) can replace  $v_j$  with an expression in  $v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}$ .

$$u = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j \left[ \frac{(-a_1)}{a_j} v_1 + \dots + \frac{(-a_{j-1})}{a_j} v_{j-1} \right] + \dots + b_m v_m.$$

So  $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m)$ .

Thus  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \subseteq \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m)$   $\square$

Thm In a finite dimensional V.S., every list of linearly independent vectors is less than or equal to the length of every spanning list of vectors

Proof Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be linearly independent in  $V$   
Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  span  $V$   
Want to show  $m \leq n$

Since  $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ ,  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  is linearly dependent.

Why?  $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = u_1$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . So  
 $(-1)u_1 + a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = 0$   $\leftarrow$  a linear dependence

By lemma, can remove one of  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  so new list of  $u_1$ , and  $n-1$  of  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a list that spans  $V$ .

Now repeat process! At  $j^{\text{th}}$  step

$$u_j \in \text{span}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{j-1}, \underbrace{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{n-(j-1)}}}_{n-(j-1)}) = V$$

So  $u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_j, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{n-(j-1)}}$  linearly dependent. Since  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j$  are linearly independent, when we remove an element, we remove one of the  $v_i$ 's.

So  $u_1, \dots, u_j, \underbrace{v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_{n-j}}}_{n-j}$  spans  $V$

At each step, we remove one  $v_i$  and add one  $u_j$ .

So  $m \leq n$

□

Consequence: In  $F^n$ , no list of  $p > n$  vectors is linearly independent.

Proof: We know  $(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  span  $F^n$ . So any linearly independent list of vectors is  $\leq n$ .

□

Remark: In 1B03, prove this using pivots of a matrix (don't need matrices)

Thm Every subspace of a finite dim v.s is also finite dimensional.

Proof Let  $U \subseteq V$  be a subspace.

If  $U = \{0\}$ , then  $U = \text{span}\{0\}$  is fin dim  $\leftarrow$  so done!

Suppose  $\{0\} \neq U$  and  $U$  not finite dim.

So, we can find  $u_1 \in U$  such that  $U \neq \text{span}(u_1)$ .

and for each  $j \geq 1$ , there is  $u_j \in U - \text{span}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{j-1})$

So

$u_2 \notin \text{span}(u_1)$ ,  $u_3 \notin \text{span}(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $u_4 \notin \text{span}(u_1, u_2, u_3), \dots$

(note: all  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots$  are in  $U \subseteq V$ )

By independence lemma,  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots$  is linearly independent

But  $V$  is finite dim, so a finite set spans  $V$ .

We now have a contradiction to the previous result, i.e.

there is an infinite list of elements that are linearly indep  
and there is a finite list of elements that span  $V$ .

So,  $U$  must be finite dimensional

□

Next lecture Bases  $\Rightarrow$  combine two ideas of span  
+ linear independence

Key ideas

- \* linear independence + dependence
- \* linear independence lemma