

Grader's Name	
Presenter(s):	
Project Topic and Title:	Historical - Conjecture - Computational - Expository (circle one)

Math 3H03 - Poster Rubric¹

Instructions: Your group will give a 3-5 min summary/explanation of their poster. The evaluator may then ask questions. The rubric has several categories in which the poster will be scored. Each category will be scored with 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) points, then multiplied by a weight factor.

Poster Content (40%)	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Purpose (problem statement, motivation) 1 point: Purpose of work is unclear/irrelevant 2 points: Work demonstrates some purpose 3 points: Purpose of work defined, mostly understandable 4 points: Purpose of work clearly defined, very understandable 5 points: Purpose proficiently stated and explained		4	
Research (how well you covered the topic) 1 point: Contains little to no information on the project topic 2 points: Somewhat contains information on the topic 3 points: Good information on the topic 4 points: Good and accurate information of the topic 5 points: Significant detail on the topic, explained correctly, and including a connection to 3H03.		4	

Poster Design (40%)	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Flow 1 point: Insufficient flow to poster 2 points: Poster marginally flows between sections 3 points: Flow of poster clear, logical transitions between sections 4 points: Poster maintains flow throughout, well-paced 5 points: Poster maintains flow throughout, very well-paced		4	
Overall organization 1 point: Lack of overall organization, structure hard to follow 2 points: Some difficulty following structure 3 points: Structure is clear with some exceptions 4 points: Overall organization fairly strong 5 points: Exceptional overall organization, easy to follow structure		4	

¹ Adapted from the Canadian Mathematical Society Poster Judging Sheet

Presentation (20%)			
Understanding of content, preparedness 1 point: Presenter(s) appears unprepared, unable to convey ideas 2 points: Presenter(s) appears somewhat prepared, attempts to convey ideas 3 points: Presenter(s) shows some understanding of topics, prepared 4 points: Presenter(s) shows clear understanding of topics, well prepared 5 points: Presenter(s) shows insightful knowledge, easily able to convey ideas, and able to answer all questions.		2	
Concise, useful information 1 point: Presentation is not concise, too much/too little information given 2 points: Presentation is fairly concise, information usually appropriate 3 points: Presentation is concise, almost all useful information conveyed 4 points: Presentation is very concise, useful information conveyed 5 points: Presentation is extremely concise, appropriate information given		1	
Presentation style 1 point: Inappropriate presentation style, e.g., no eye contact. 2 points: Presentation style somewhat appropriate 3 points: Appropriate presentation style 4 points: Very appropriate presentation style 5 points: Presentation style extremely appropriate, e.g., engaging voice, voice projected		1	

Total Score: (out of 100)			
Comments and/or Feedback:			