AN APPENDIX TO A PAPER OF CATALISANO, GERAMITA, GIMIGLIANO: THE HILBERT FUNCTION OF GENERIC SETS OF 2-FAT POINTS IN $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

ADAM VAN TUYL

ABSTRACT. Implicit in the paper of Catalisano, Geramita, and Gimigliano [1] is a formula for the Hilbert function of generic sets of 2-fat points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We make this result explicit in this note.

We shall use the definitions and notation as found in [1].

Let d_1, d_2 be two positive integers and consider the fat point scheme $W = d_1Q_1 + d_2Q_2 + 2R_1 + \cdots + 2R_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ where $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, \ldots, R_s$ are s+2 points in generic position. Proposition 2.1 of [1] describes some properties of the Hilbert function of W. Furthermore, this proposition is used (see [1, Corollary 2.2]) to compute the dimensions of the secant varieties of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ embedded into $\mathbb{P}^{(d_1+1)(d_2+1)-1}$ by the morphism given by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(d_1, d_2)$.

By Terracini's Lemma (see §1 of [1]) there is a relationship between the dimensions of these embedded varieties and the Hilbert functions of generic sets of 2-fat points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, implicit in [1, Corollary 2.2] is a formula for $H(Z,(d_1,d_2))$ when $Z=2P_1+\cdots+2P_s\subseteq \mathbb{P}^1\times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a generic set of 2-fat points and $(d_1,d_2)\geq (1,1)$.

Because of the recent work on the Hilbert functions of points (for the reduced case, see [2, 6] and for the nonreduced case, see [3, 4]) in multiprojective spaces, it is of interest to have an explicit description of this formula. In fact, by coupling [1, Proposition 2.1] with a result from [5], we can describe H(Z, (i, j)) for all $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $Z = 2P_1 + \cdots + 2P_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a generic set of 2-fat points. Then

$$H(Z,(i,j)) = \begin{cases} \min\{(i+1),2s\} & \text{if } j = 0.\\ \min\{(j+1),2s\} & \text{if } i = 0.\\ \min\{(i+1)(j+1),3s\} & \text{if } (i,j) \geq (1,1) \text{ and} \\ & (i,j) \not\in \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\}. \end{cases}$$

$$3s \qquad \qquad \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and}$$

$$(i,j) \in \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\}.$$

$$3s-1 \qquad \qquad \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and}$$

$$(i,j) \in \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with j = 0. Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection morphism given by $\pi_1(P \times Q) = P$. If we set $Z_1 := \pi_1(Z) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$, then by [5, Lemma

 $^{1991\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 13 D40, 14 M05.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Hilbert function, fat points, multiprojective space.

Updated: August 3, 2011.

4.1] we have

$$H(Z,(i,0)) = H(Z_1,i)$$
 for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Because the support of Z is in generic position, the first coordinates of the points P_1, \ldots, P_s must all be distinct. Thus Z_1 is a set of s double points in \mathbb{P}^1 , and hence

$$H(Z,(i,0)) = H(Z_1,i) = \min\{(i+1),2s\} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Notice that if $(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with i = 0, then the proof is the same except that the projection morphism $\pi_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by $\pi_2(P \times Q) = Q$ is used.

So, we need to compute H(Z,(i,j)) when $(i,j) \geq (1,1)$. By [1, Theorem 1.1] (and the discussion at the start of §2 in [1]) we have

(1)
$$H(Z,(i,j)) = \dim_k R_{(i,j)} - \dim_k (I_Z)_{(i,j)} = (i+1)(j+1) - \dim_k (I_W)_{i+j}$$

where I_W is the defining ideal in S = k[x, y, z] of the fat point scheme

$$W = iQ_1 + iQ_2 + 2R_1 + \dots + 2R_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$$

and $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, \ldots, R_s$ are s+2 points in generic position.

The value of H(W, i + j) can be computed using [1, Proposition 2.1]. In particular,

(2)
$$H(W, i+j) = \min\{\dim_k S_{i+j}, \deg W\}$$

except when

$$s = 2a + 1$$
 and $(i, j) = (2, 2a)$ or $(2a, 2)$.

(Note that although [1, Proposition 2.1] assumes that $i \geq j$, if $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with i < j, then we can swap the roles of i and j to compute H(W, i + j).) In the two exceptional cases, [1, Proposition 2.1] demonstrated that

(3)
$$h^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{W}(i+j)) = \dim_{k}(I_{W})_{i+j} = 1.$$

Using the fact that $\deg W = 3s + \binom{i+1}{2} + \binom{j+1}{2}$, we can use (2) and (3) to derive the following formula for $\dim_k(I_W)_{i+j}$:

$$\dim_k(I_W)_{i+j} = \begin{cases} \max\{0, (i+1)(j+1) - 3s\} & \text{if } (i,j) \ge (1,1) \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \notin \{(2,s-1), (s-1,2)\}. \\ 0 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \in \{(2,s-1), (s-1,2)\}. \\ 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \in \{(2,s-1), (s-1,2)\}. \end{cases}$$

We now substitute the above values into (1). If $(i,j) \ge (1,1)$ and $(i,j) \not\in \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\}$, then

$$H(Z,(i,j)) = (i+1)(j+1) - \max\{0, (i+1)(j+1) - 3s\}$$

= $\min\{(i+1)(j+1), 3s\}.$

When $(i, j) \in \{(2, s - 1), (s - 1, 2)\}$, then (i + 1)(j + 1) = 3s. So, if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then

$$H(Z, (i, j)) = 3s - \dim_k(I_W)_{i+j} = 3s.$$

However, if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then

$$H(Z,(i,j)) = 3s - \dim_k(I_W)_{i+j} = 3s - 1.$$

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank A.V. Geramita for his suggestion to write this appendix. As well, I would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by NSERC.

References

- [1] M.V. Catalisano, A.V. Geramita, A. Gimigliano, Higher Secant Varieties of Segre-Veronese varieties. (2004) Preprint.
- [2] S. Giuffrida, R. Maggioni, A. Ragusa, On the postulation of 0-dimensional subschemes on a smooth quadric. Pacific J. Math. 155 (1992) 251–282.
- E. Guardo, Fat point schemes on a smooth quadric. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 162 (2001) 183-208.
- [4] E. Guardo, A. Van Tuyl, Fat Points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and their Hilbert functions. Canad. J. Math **56** (2004) 716–741.
- [5] J. Sidman, A. Van Tuyl, Multigraded regularity: syzygies and fat points. (2004) math.AC/0405247
- [6] A. Van Tuyl, The border of the Hilbert function of a set of points in $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 176 (2002) 223–247.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, ON, P7B 5E1, Canada

E-mail address: avantuyl@sleet.lakeheadu.ca