## An appendix to a paper of Catalisano, Geramita, Gimigliano: The Hilbert function of generic sets of 2-fat points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

Adam Van Tuyl

**Abstract.** Implicit in the paper of Catalisano, Geramita, and Gimigliano [1] is a formula for the Hilbert function of generic sets of 2-fat points in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . We make this result explicit in this note.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D40, 14M05

We shall use the definitions and notation as found in [1].

Let  $d_1, d_2$  be two positive integers and consider the fat point scheme  $W = d_1Q_1 + d_2Q_2 + 2R_1 + \cdots + 2R_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  where  $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, \ldots, R_s$  are s + 2 points in generic position. Proposition 2.1 of [1] describes some properties of the Hilbert function of W. Furthermore, this proposition is used (see [1, Corollary 2.2]) to compute the dimensions of the secant varieties of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^{(d_1+1)(d_2+1)-1}$  by the morphism given by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(d_1, d_2)$ .

By Terracini's Lemma (see §1 of [1]) there is a relationship between the dimensions of these embedded varieties and the Hilbert functions of generic sets of 2-fat points in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Thus, implicit in [1, Corollary 2.2] is a formula for  $H(Z, (d_1, d_2))$  when  $Z = 2P_1 + \cdots + 2P_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a generic set of 2-fat points and  $(d_1, d_2) \ge (1, 1)$ .

Because of the recent work on the Hilbert functions of points (for the reduced case, see [2, 6] and for the nonreduced case, see [3, 4]) in multiprojective spaces, it is of interest to have an explicit description of this formula. In fact, by coupling [1, Proposition 2.1] with a result from [5], we can describe H(Z, (i, j)) for all  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $Z = 2P_1 + \cdots + 2P_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a generic set of 2-fat points. Then

$$H(Z,(i,j)) = \begin{cases} \min\{(i+1),2s\} & \text{if } j = 0,\\ \min\{(j+1),2s\} & \text{if } i = 0,\\ \min\{(i+1)(j+1),3s\} & \text{if } (i,j) \ge (1,1) \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \notin \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\},\\ 3s & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \in \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\},\\ 3s-1 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \in \{(2,s-1),(s-1,2)\}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  with j = 0. Let  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be the projection morphism given by  $\pi_1(P \times Q) = P$ . If we set  $Z_1 := \pi_1(Z) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$ , then by [5, Lemma 4.1] we have

$$H(Z, (i, 0)) = H(Z_1, i)$$
 for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Because the support of Z is in generic position, the first coordinates of the points  $P_1, \ldots, P_s$  must all be distinct. Thus  $Z_1$  is a set of s double points in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and hence

$$H(Z, (i, 0)) = H(Z_1, i) = \min\{(i+1), 2s\}$$
 for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Notice that if  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  with i = 0, then the proof is the same except that the projection morphism  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  defined by  $\pi_2(P \times Q) = Q$  is used.

So, we need to compute H(Z, (i, j)) when  $(i, j) \ge (1, 1)$ . By [1, Theorem 1.1] (and the discussion at the start of §2 in [1]) we have

$$H(Z, (i, j)) = \dim_k R_{(i,j)} - \dim_k (I_Z)_{(i,j)}$$
  
=  $(i+1)(j+1) - \dim_k (I_W)_{i+j}$  (1)

where  $I_W$  is the defining ideal in S = k[x, y, z] of the fat point scheme

$$W = iQ_1 + jQ_2 + 2R_1 + \dots + 2R_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$$

and  $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, \ldots, R_s$  are s + 2 points in generic position.

The value of H(W, i + j) can be computed using [1, Proposition 2.1]. In particular,

$$H(W, i+j) = \min\{\dim_k S_{i+j}, \deg W\}$$
(2)

except when

$$s = 2a + 1$$
 and  $(i, j) = (2, 2a)$  or  $(2a, 2)$ .

(Note that although [1, Proposition 2.1] assumes that  $i \ge j$ , if  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  with i < j, then we can swap the roles of i and j to compute H(W, i + j).) In the two exceptional cases, [1, Proposition 2.1] demonstrated that

$$h^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{W}(i+j)) = \dim_{k}(I_{W})_{i+j} = 1.$$
 (3)

Using the fact that deg  $W = 3s + {\binom{i+1}{2}} + {\binom{j+1}{2}}$ , we can use (2) and (3) to derive the following formula for dim<sub>k</sub>( $I_W$ )<sub>i+j</sub>:

$$\dim_k(I_W)_{i+j} = \begin{cases} \max\{0, (i+1)(j+1) - 3s\} & \text{if } (i,j) \ge (1,1) \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \notin \{(2,s-1), (s-1,2)\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \in \{(2,s-1), (s-1,2)\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ (i,j) \in \{(2,s-1), (s-1,2)\}. \end{cases}$$

We now substitute the above values into (1). If  $(i, j) \ge (1, 1)$  and  $(i, j) \notin \{(2, s - 1), (s - 1, 2)\}$ , then

$$H(Z,(i,j)) = (i+1)(j+1) - \max\{0,(i+1)(j+1) - 3s\}$$
  
= min{(i+1)(j+1),3s}.

When  $(i, j) \in \{(2, s - 1), (s - 1, 2)\}$ , then (i + 1)(j + 1) = 3s. So, if  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , then

$$H(Z, (i, j)) = 3s - \dim_k (I_W)_{i+j} = 3s.$$

However, if  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , then

$$H(Z, (i, j)) = 3s - \dim_k(I_W)_{i+j} = 3s - 1.$$

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank A.V. Geramita for his suggestion to write this appendix. As well, I would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by NSERC.

## References

- M.V. Catalisano, A.V. Geramita, A. Gimigliano, Higher Secant Varieties of Segre-Veronese varieties (2004). Preprint.
- [2] S. Giuffrida, R. Maggioni, A. Ragusa, On the postulation of 0-dimensional subschemes on a smooth quadric. Pacific J. Math. 155 (1992) 251–282.
- [3] E. Guardo, Fat point schemes on a smooth quadric. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 162 (2001) 183-208.
- [4] E. Guardo, A. Van Tuyl, Fat Points in P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup> and their Hilbert functions. Canad. J. Math 56 (2004) 716–741.
- [5] J. Sidman, A. Van Tuyl, Multigraded regularity: syzygies and fat points. (2004) math.AC/0405247
- [6] A. Van Tuyl, The border of the Hilbert function of a set of points in P<sup>n1</sup> ×···× P<sup>nk</sup>.
   J. Pure Appl. Algebra 176 (2002) 223-247.

Adam Van Tuyl Department of Mathematical Sciences Lakehead University Thunder Bay, ON, P7B 5E1, Canada

Email: avantuyl@sleet.lakeheadu.ca